



NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE



# PLANNING FOR BUSH FIRE PROTECTION

A guide for councils, planners, fire  
authorities and developers

PRE-RELEASE ISSUED AUGUST 2018



PREPARE. ACT. SURVIVE.

© State of New South Wales through the NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE 2018

The NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE (NSW RFS) encourages the availability, dissemination and exchange of public information. You may copy, distribute, display, download and otherwise freely deal with this material for personal, in-house or non-commercial use, on the condition that you include the copyright notice © State of New South Wales through the NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE 2018 on all such uses. In the event that you wish to copy, distribute, display, download, store, or use this material for a purpose other than personal, in-house or non-commercial use, you must obtain permission from the NSW RFS by writing to the following address:

Commissioner  
NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE  
Locked Mail Bag 17  
Granville NSW 2142

#### **DISCLAIMER STATEMENT**

While the material within this [insert type of publication] is current at the time of writing changes in circumstances after the time of publication may impact on the accuracy of the material. Individuals are responsible for ensuring they have the most current version of this publication.

The information and material contained herein is general in nature and is intended for your use and information. The NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE (NSW RFS) disclaims, to the extent permitted by law, all warranties, representations or endorsements, express or implied, with regard to the material contained herein. The NSW RFS does not warrant or represent that the material contained herein is free from errors or omissions, or that it is exhaustive. Users should exercise their own skill and care with respect to its uses. You must not assume that this material will be suitable for the particular purpose that you had in mind when using it.

The NSW RFS disclaims any liability (including but not limited to liability by reason of negligence) to the users of the material for any loss, damage, cost or expense whether direct, indirect, consequential or special, incurred by, or arising by reason of, any person using or relying on the material and whether caused by reason of, any error, omission or misrepresentation in the material or otherwise. Users of the Website will be responsible for making their own assessment of the material and should verify all relevant representations, statements and information with their own professional advisers.

## Minister's foreword

### New South Wales is one of the most bush fire prone places in the world.

Over time, as our population increases and moves into new areas, the issue of preparing for and mitigating against the risk of bush fire has become increasingly complex.

One of our most important assets during a bush fire is a well prepared community.

Since 2001, Planning for Bush Fire Protection (PBP) has been considered industry best practice in the provision of bush fire protection standards.

Improved government policy, industry standards, technology and research following significant fire events now sees PBP continue to evolve, and provide increased protection for people and their properties in bush fire prone areas.

The NSW Rural Fire Service has a statutory obligation to protect life, property and the environment. The National Disaster Resilience Strategy (COAG 2011) emphasises the importance of the strategic planning system in contributing to the creation of safer and sustainable communities. The NDRS identifies risk-based land management and planning arrangements as a vital component in building disaster resilient communities.

The NSW Office of Emergency Management 2017 State Level Emergency Risk Assessment listed land use planning as a top priority for NSW over the next 5 years.

PBP 2018 builds on the outcomes and lessons of bush fire events experienced over the past decade including the 2009 Black Saturday bush fires in Victoria.

It also draws upon the better understanding and experience of fire events in NSW, including those which impacted areas like the Blue Mountains, Coonabarabran and Southern Highlands in 2013, as well as more recent fire events in 2017 and 2018.

With lessons learned from major bush fire events, along with changes to building code and construction standards, this substantially revised 2018 edition of PBP is intended for use by councils, town planners, NSW fire authorities, developers, planning and bush fire consultants, surveyors, and building practitioners (including accredited certifiers).



Minister for Police, and Minister for Emergency Services,  
the Hon. Troy Grant MP

While the updated PBP focuses on ensuring properties are built to appropriate standards, it also aims to streamline processes for people building in bush fire prone areas.

The principles in this revised edition of PBP will significantly assist those involved in building safe communities within bush fire prone areas, helping to increase resilience and public confidence through the NSW land use planning process. This revised version strikes an appropriate balance of flexibility, community safety and housing affordability.

# Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
| 1.1      | Aim and objectives.....  | 8         |
| 1.2      | Bush fire protection measures.....   | 8         |
| 1.3      | Limitations of this document.....  | 9         |
| 1.4      | How to use this document.....  | 10        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>FRAMEWORK</b>   | <b>15</b> |
| 2.1      | Legal framework.....   | 16        |
| 2.2      | Bush fire prone land mapping.....  | 16        |
| 2.3      | Strategic planning.....  | 17        |
| 2.4      | Development assessment.....  | 17        |
| 2.5      | Construction provisions: the National Construction Code and bush fire standards..... | 19        |
| 2.6      | Other NSW RFS bush fire safety programs.....   | 19        |
| 2.7      | Bush fire survival plan.....   | 20        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>BUSH FIRE PROTECTION MEASURES</b>   | <b>23</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction.....  | 24        |
| 3.2      | Asset Protection Zone (APZ).....   | 24        |
| 3.3      | Building construction and design.....  | 27        |
| 3.4      | Access arrangements.....   | 27        |
| 3.5      | Water supply and utilities.....  | 28        |
| 3.6      | Emergency management arrangements.....   | 29        |
| 3.7      | Landscaping.....   | 29        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>STRATEGIC PLANNING</b>  | <b>31</b> |
| 4.1      | Strategic principles.....  | 32        |
| 4.2      | Strategic planning in bush fire prone areas.....                                     | 32        |
| 4.3      | Regional strategies and plans.....   | 34        |
| 4.4      | Local Environmental Plans.....   | 34        |
| 4.5      | Development control plans.....   | 35        |
| 4.6      | Masterplans and precinct plans.....  | 35        |

**5 RESIDENTIAL AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS 37**

5.1 Background .....38  
5.2 Specific objectives.....40  
5.3 Bush fire protection measures .....40

**6 SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION PURPOSE DEVELOPMENTS 49**

6.1 Introduction.....50  
6.2 Specific objectives.....51  
6.3 Varied objectives for specific uses .....51  
6.4 Bush fire protection measures .....53  
6.5 Development of existing SFPP facilities.....60

**7 RESIDENTIAL INFILL DEVELOPMENT 65**

7.1 Introduction.....66  
7.2 Specific objectives.....66  
7.3 Home-based occupations.....66  
7.4 Bush fire protection measures .....66  
7.5 Additional construction requirements .....70  
7.6 Better bush fire outcomes .....75  
7.7 Determination of the bush fire attack level .....75  
7.8 Fences and gates .....75  
7.9 Grassland Deeming Provisions.....76

**8 OTHER DEVELOPMENT 79**

8.1 Introduction.....80  
8.2 Other residential development.....80  
8.3 Other non-residential development.....82  
8.4 Commercial and industrial development.....85

# Appendices

## APPENDIX 1 SITE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

86

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| A1.1  | Introduction .....                                       | 86 |
| A1.2  | Determine vegetation formation .....                     | 86 |
| A1.3  | Grassland assessment .....                               | 89 |
| A1.4  | Determine slope .....                                    | 89 |
| A1.5  | Determine effective slope .....                          | 90 |
| A1.6  | Determine appropriate fire (weather) areas .....         | 90 |
| A1.7  | Determine Bush Fire Attack Level .....                   | 91 |
| A1.8  | Shielding.....   | 92 |
| A1.9  | Exotic vegetation.....                                   | 93 |
| A1.10 | Low threat vegetation - Exclusions.....                  | 94 |
| A1.11 | Vegetation downgrades.....                               | 94 |
| A1.12 | Comprehensive APZ, BAL and vegetation class tables ..... | 95 |

## APPENDIX 2 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS, PERFORMANCE-BASED SOLUTIONS AND BUSH FIRE DESIGN BRIEFS

100

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| A2.1 | Submission requirements for a BFSA .....   | 100 |
| A2.2 | Submission requirements for infill development .....                                 | 101 |
| A2.3 | Submission requirements for Complying Development.....                               | 102 |
| A2.4 | Submission requirements and assessment methods for performance-based solutions ..... | 102 |
| A2.5 | Bush Fire Design Brief .....   | 103 |
| A2.6 | Suitably qualified consultants.....  | 104 |
| A2.7 | Pre-DA advice.....   | 104 |

## APPENDIX 3 ACCESS

105

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| A3.1 | Vertical Clearance .....               | 105 |
| A3.2 | Vehicle turning requirements.....      | 105 |
| A3.3 | Vehicle turning head requirements..... | 107 |
| A3.4 | Passing bays .....                     | 108 |
| A3.5 | Parking .....                          | 108 |
| A3.6 | Kerb dimensions.....                   | 109 |
| A3.7 | Road types .....                       | 110 |

## APPENDIX 4 ASSET PROTECTION ZONE STANDARDS

111

|      |                             |     |
|------|-----------------------------|-----|
| A4.1 | Asset protection zones..... | 111 |
|------|-----------------------------|-----|

|                            |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| <b>Abbreviations</b> ..... | 114 |
|----------------------------|-----|

|                          |     |
|--------------------------|-----|
| <b>Definitions</b> ..... | 115 |
|--------------------------|-----|

|                         |     |
|-------------------------|-----|
| <b>References</b> ..... | 118 |
|-------------------------|-----|

## List of figures

|   |            |   |            |
|---|------------|---|------------|
| <b>Figure 1.5</b>   | <b>14</b>  | <b>Figure A3.4</b>  | <b>110</b> |
| Step-by-step guide on how to use PBP  |            | Swept path width on a roundabout  |            |
| <b>Figure 2.4</b>   | <b>23</b>  | <b>Figure A3.5</b>  | <b>111</b> |
| Assessment process for developments in bush fire prone areas                        |            | Multipoint turning options  |            |
| <b>Figure 3.1</b>   | <b>26</b>  | <b>Figure A3.6</b>  | <b>112</b> |
| BPMs in combination   |            | Parking bays can provide advantages when designed correctly. Poor design can and does severely impede access. |            |
| <b>Figures A1.2</b>   | <b>89</b>  | <b>Figure A3.7</b>  | <b>112</b> |
| The following images provide an indicative representation of vegetation formations. |            | Hydrants shall not be located within parking bays.  |            |
| <b>Figure A1.4</b>  | <b>91</b>  | <b>Figure A3.8</b>  | <b>113</b> |
| Determining slope   |            | Carriageway kerb clearance dimensions   |            |
| <b>Figure A1.5</b>  | <b>92</b>  | <b>Figure A3.9</b>  | <b>114</b> |
| Determining effective slope   |            | Perimeter road widths   |            |
| <b>Figure A1.8a</b>   | <b>94</b>  | <b>Figure A3.10</b>   | <b>114</b> |
| Vertical view of radiant heat impact  |            | Non-perimeter road widths   |            |
| <b>Figure A1.8b</b>   | <b>94</b>  | <b>Figure A3.11</b>   | <b>114</b> |
| Plan view of radiant heat impact  |            | Property access road widths   |            |
| <b>Figure A3.1</b>  | <b>109</b> | <b>Figure A4.1</b>  | <b>117</b> |
| Vertical clearance  |            | Inner and outer protection areas – example designs  |            |
| <b>Figure A3.3</b>  | <b>110</b> |   |            |
| Swept path width for turning vehicles   |            |   |            |

## List of tables

|  |           |   |           |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| <b>Table 4.2.1</b>   | <b>33</b> | <b>Table A1.7</b>   | <b>91</b> |
| Bush Fire Strategic Study  |           | Radiant heat flux exposure and appropriate bush fire attack level (BAL)                                 |           |
| <b>Table 5.1.4a</b>  | <b>40</b> | <b>Table A1.9</b>   | <b>93</b> |
| Equivalent values for FDI and GFDI   |           | Exotic vegetation conversions   |           |
| <b>Table 5.3a</b>  | <b>41</b> | Vegetation formation fuel loads   |           |
| Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for APZs for residential and rural residential subdivisions  |           | <b>Table A1.12.1</b>  | <b>95</b> |
| <b>Table 5.3b</b>  | <b>42</b> | Minimum distances for APZs – SFPP developments (<10kW/m <sup>2</sup> @ 1200K)                           |           |
| Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for access for SFPP development                              |           | <b>Table A1.12.2</b>  | <b>96</b> |
| <b>Table 6.4c</b>  | <b>57</b> | Minimum distances for APZs – residential subdivision development, FDI 100 areas (<29kW/m <sup>2</sup> ) |           |
| Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for water, electricity and gas services for SFPP development |           | <b>Table A1.12.3</b>  | <b>96</b> |
| <b>Table 6.4d</b>  | <b>59</b> | Allowable outer protection areas, in metres, within an APZ for forest vegetation                        |           |
| Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for emergency management plans for SFPP development          |           | <b>Table A1.12.4</b>  | <b>96</b> |
| Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for residential infill development                           |           | Minimum distances for APZs – residential subdivision development, FDI 80 areas (<29kW/m <sup>2</sup> )  |           |
| <b>Table 7.4b</b>  | <b>71</b> | <b>Table A1.12.5</b>  | <b>97</b> |
| Additional construction requirements at lower bush fire attack levels for residential infill development.  |           | Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 100 – residential developments                             |           |
| <b>Table 7.9a</b>  | <b>76</b> | <b>Table A1.12.6</b>  | <b>98</b> |
| Grassland deeming provisions   |           | Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 80 – residential development                               |           |
| <b>Table 8.2.2</b>   | <b>81</b> | <b>Table A1.12.7</b>  | <b>99</b> |
| Issues and technical considerations specific to multi-storey residential development                       |           | Vegetation formation fuel loads in t/ha.  |           |



# 1 INTRODUCTION



***Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2018 (PBP)* provides development standards for designing and building on BFPL in New South Wales (NSW). PBP provides standards for:**

- strategic land use planning to ensure that new development is not exposed to high bush fire risk
- specific provisions for creating new residential and rural residential subdivision allotments
- specific provisions for special fire protection purpose (SFPP) development taking account of occupant vulnerability
- bush fire protection measures (BPMs) for new buildings
- guidance in upgrading and maintaining existing development.

PBP is applicable to all development on BFPL in NSW. The general principles underlying this document are that:

- BPMs are required to reduce the impact of a bush fire
- protection measures are governed by the degree of threat posed to a development and the vulnerability of occupants
- reducing the interface of a development to the hazard reduces the bush fire risk to the development
- good practice in planning, building and management reduces the risk to developments and their occupants, and increases their resilience.

## 1.1 Aim and objectives

All development on BFPL must satisfy the aim and objectives of PBP.

The aim of PBP is to provide for the protection of human life and minimise impacts on property from the threat of bush fire, while having due regard to development potential, site characteristics and protection of the environment.

The objectives are to:

- i. afford buildings and their occupants protection from exposure to a bush fire
- ii. provide for a defendable space to be located around buildings
- iii. provide appropriate separation between a hazard and buildings which, in combination with other measures, minimises material ignition
- iv. ensure that appropriate operational access and egress for emergency service personnel and residents is available
- v. provide for ongoing management and maintenance of BPMs
- vi. ensure that utility services are adequate to meet the needs of firefighters.

## 1.2 Bush fire protection measures

BPMs are measures which are required to improve property protection and community resilience to bush fire attack.

Bush fire protection can be achieved through a combination of strategies which:

- control the types of development permissible in bush fire prone areas
- minimise the impact of radiant heat and direct flame contact by separating development from bush fire hazards
- minimise the vulnerability of buildings to ignition and fire spread from flames, radiation and embers
- enable appropriate access and egress for the public and firefighters
- provide adequate water supplies for bush fire suppression operations
- focus on property preparedness, including emergency planning and property maintenance requirements
- facilitate the maintenance of APZs, fire trails, access for firefighting and on-site equipment for fire suppression.

Adequate BPMs are provided by the proper design of:

- APZs
- construction standards and design
- access
- water and utilities
- landscaping
- emergency management.

## 1.3 Limitations of this document

Due to a range of limitations, the measures contained in this document do not guarantee that loss of life, injury and/or property damage will not occur during a bush fire event. Limitations of this document include, but are not limited to uncertainties in the following areas:

- Fire Danger Index
- fuel loads
- existing developments
- human behaviour
- maintenance.

### 1.3.1 Fire Danger Index

It is possible that days of higher Fire Danger Index (FDI) may be experienced than the FDI levels assumed within this document. The performance of buildings constructed in accordance with this document and the National Construction Code may be inadequate due to excessive levels of radiant heat exposure, flame contact, embers travelling further than expected and excessive winds.

### 1.3.2 Fuel loads

Fuel loads (tonnes per hectare) and vegetation classes used in this document are specific to NSW.

PBP has adopted a system of assessing fuel accumulation rates based on vegetation formations and time since last fire (Forestry Commission of NSW, 1991). This has also been validated with published literature on fuel loads (i.e. Good, 1994, Watson, 2005, Cheney and Sullivan, 1997).

In some instances actual fuel loads in an area at a particular time may be higher than those adopted. This can impact on bush fire behaviour and the potential impact on property.

### 1.3.3 Existing developments

The requirement to consider BPMs for development in bush fire prone areas was introduced on 1 August 2002. Existing developments that were built prior to August 2002, may have limited or no BPMs incorporated into the design of the building. This presents major challenges for retrofitting and house to house ignitions.

### 1.3.4 Human behaviour

A person's behaviour in times of bush fire may be unpredictable. A person may have good intentions to stay and defend their property from bush fire, but may change their mind once they experience the stress and anxiety associated with the heat, noise, flames and burning embers. Even where a development can comply with PBP, unpredictable human behaviour can be a limiting factor and may result in injury or death.

All occupants in a bush fire prone area are advised to prepare a bush fire survival plan, available to download at [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).

### 1.3.5 Maintenance

An unprepared property is not only a risk to the building owner/occupant, but may also present an increased danger to neighbouring buildings and firefighters. Even buildings which are built to comply with PBP are placed at risk through poor maintenance.

Advice regarding the maintenance and protection of existing buildings can be found in on the New South Wales Rural Fire Service (NSW RFS) website at [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).

## 1.4 How to use this document

Applications for development on BFPL should include a bush fire assessment report. This report must demonstrate that the proposal satisfies the requirements of PBP. All applications must meet the Aim and Objectives of PBP.

PBP uses a performance-based approach, and identifies objectives and detailed performance criteria to satisfy desired outcomes and meet the Aim and Objectives. Ultimately, the aim of the performance-based approach is to demonstrate that bush fire protection is afforded to a proposed development commensurate with the assessed level of bush fire risk and the characteristics of the occupants.

This can be achieved by either applying the identified acceptable solutions, or by preparing a performance-based solution.

A performance-based solution is designed to achieve the appropriate level of protection by tailoring a package of measures which meet the intent and performance criteria relevant to the proposed development.

BPMs are set out in Chapter 3. Performance criteria and acceptable solutions are shown for each specified development type in Chapters 5-8.

### 1.4.1 Bush fire protection measures

BPMs are general measures which are required to improve life safety, property protection and community resilience to bush fire attack.

They include:

- APZs
- Access
- Construction, siting and design
- Landscaping
- Services
- Emergency and evacuation planning.

### 1.4.2 Intent

For each BPM, a broad intent is outlined. The ensuing performance criteria and acceptable solutions are designed to ensure that the general intent for each BPM is met.

### 1.4.3 Performance criteria

Performance criteria are the outcomes that need to be achieved to satisfy the BPMs. The performance criteria can be satisfied in one of two ways:

- acceptable solutions; or
- performance-based solutions.

### 1.4.4 Acceptable solutions

Chapters 5-8 identify acceptable solutions which are considered by the NSW RFS as meeting the performance criteria.

#### 1.4.5 Performance-based solutions

Performance-based solutions allow flexibility and innovation in responding to site-specific opportunities and constraints while still meeting the identified performance criteria. They also allow the consideration of a broad range of issues and information, including bush fire risk, community expectations, environmental protection and the application of new science, processes and technologies.

Performance-based solutions must provide substantiated evidence and clearly demonstrate how the specific objectives and performance criteria are to be met.

When performance-based solutions are proposed, they will be assessed on their merits and individual circumstances. In these circumstances, a Bush Fire Design Brief (BFDB) process can be undertaken which would involve early agreement on the key elements and acceptance criteria from all stakeholders including the NSW RFS.


Performance-based solutions may be undertaken for any of the BPMs detailed in Chapter 3 and supported in accordance with the submission requirements for performance-based solutions in Appendix 2.

#### 1.4.6 Fact sheets

Fact sheets are prepared and published by the NSW RFS in order to support and clarify matters within PBP. Fact sheets are also published by the NSW RFS to provide guidance on procedural development assessment matters. The fact sheets should be reviewed in the preparation of bush fire assessment reports and can be found on the NSW RFS website at [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).

**Figure 1.5**

Step-by-step guide on how to use PBP





## STEP 5

### Identify the relevant BPMs

- **Read Chapter 3** which explains the BPMs.
  - Read the detailed specifications and requirements for your relevant development type.
    - Residential - **Read Chapter 5 and 7.**
    - SFPP - **Read Chapter 6.**
    - Other - **Read Chapter 8.**
    - Grasslands - **Read relevant chapters.**
- 

## STEP 6


### Determine solutions

- Determine the acceptable solutions required for each measure. Can they all be complied with?
    - Adopt the acceptable solutions that have been set out.
    - Alternatively prepare a performance-based solution.
- 

## STEP 7

### Demonstrate compliance

- Prepare a package of measures for submission with the DA, demonstrating compliance with the performance criteria either through acceptable solutions or performance-based solutions.



## 2 FRAMEWORK



PBP is intended to enhance community resilience to bush fires. Bush fire considerations should be incorporated into every phase of development, from land-use zoning, regional plans, master plans and subdivisions to building design, siting and maintenance.

Comprehensive consideration of bush fire hazards and risks in the planning system requires a sound understanding of the hazards and risks, as well as consideration of strategic planning and development controls that will adequately mitigate these identified risks, as outlined in the *National Disaster Resilience Strategy*, COAG 2011.

## 2.1 Legal framework

*The Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act) and the *Rural Fires Act 1997* (RF Act) were amended on 1 August 2002 to enhance bush fire protection in the development assessment process.

The NSW land use planning framework provides, in broad terms, two main phases: strategic planning and development assessment.

PBP provides the foundation for the application of bush fire protection during both of these phases of development. Appropriate consideration of bush fire hazards at the strategic planning phase is required by s.9.1(2) of the EP&A Act and PBP should be considered in applying the Section 9.1 Direction.

At the development assessment phase, development on land that is identified as being bush fire prone must comply with PBP under s.4.14 of the EP&A Act, unless the consent authority has consulted with the NSW RFS. Some types of development on BFPL can be undertaken as complying development. Compliance with PBP is also required for complying development on BFPL.

A BFSA is required from the NSW RFS for residential and rural residential subdivision and SFPP developments. An application for a BFSA must address the extent to which the development complies with PBP.

Building work on BFPL must also comply with the requirements of the NCC. The NCC contains the technical provisions for the design and construction of buildings. Under the Deemed to Satisfy provisions of the NCC, building work on BFPL must comply with AS3959 or the NASH Standard (except in BAL-FZ), or the specific conditions of the relevant development consent.

## 2.2 Bush fire prone land mapping

The identification of BFPL in NSW is required under s.10.3 of the EP&A Act.

BFPLMs provide the trigger for the various development assessment provisions.

The Commissioner of the NSW RFS designates what constitutes BFPL and how it is to be mapped. Each council prepares a map in accordance with the guidelines and submits the map to the NSW RFS for certification by the Commissioner. These maps are required to be recertified at least every five years and the Commissioner may make direct changes to a BFPLM at any time.

Guidelines for the mapping of BFPL can be obtained from [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).

You can determine whether a site is mapped as being bush fire prone by referring to the BFPLM which is held by the local council, or on the NSW RFS website.

The BFPLM is a trigger for the consideration of BPMs for new development. It is not intended as a detailed measure of risk. The map does not form part of the site assessment process, which must be carried out in accordance with Appendix 1.

A consent authority can refer a DA to the NSW RFS under the provisions of s.4.15 of the EP&A Act, even where a bush fire hazard has not been mapped.

## 2.3 Strategic planning

Strategic planning is the preparation of planning instruments and policies and includes the making of Local Environmental Plans (LEPs), DCPs, Housing Strategies and other planning instruments that identify land zonings.

The strategic planning phase of development is particularly important in contributing to the creation of safer and sustainable communities (COAG 2011). It is an effective way of achieving bush fire protection objectives in new developments.

The most important objective for strategic planning is to identify whether new development is appropriate subject to the identified bush fire risk on a landscape scale. An assessment of a development's impact on existing infrastructure is also a key element of the strategic planning process in bush fire prone areas. Land use planning policies can be introduced to limit the number of people exposed to unacceptable risk.

Planning instruments and policies can ensure bush fire management principles are given appropriate consideration at all stages of the planning and development process.

Once development has been assessed as being appropriate in its bush fire prone context, it will need to be capable of complying with PBP. The ability of a proposed development to comply with PBP will be assessed at the strategic planning stage. The expectation will be that the development will be able to comply with PBP at the DA stage.

## 2.4 Development assessment

The provisions of this document apply to all development on land which is bush fire prone (see section 2.2 of this document). This document may also apply where proposals are referred to the NSW RFS under other referral instruments such as s.4.15 of the EP&A Act.

If a development of a type not specifically addressed in this document is proposed on bush fire prone land, the development must meet the Aim and Objectives of PBP and the consent authority can refer the proposal to the NSW RFS for determination. NSW RFS will determine which specific standards apply to that development. In these circumstances, the development proposal will be a performance-based solution and in more complex cases, this may be achieved collaboratively through the BFDB process.

The vast majority of DAs in NSW are for local and regional development and are assessed by local councils. Councils may assess DAs for certain development types on BFPL that are compliant with this document without the need to refer the proposal to the NSW RFS.

In certain cases building work may not require development consent and can proceed through the exempt or complying development process if the development type is covered by a State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) or the relevant LEP.

For further information on development types, please contact the local council or the NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DPE).

See Figure 2.4 for a flow chart showing the development assessment process for developments on BFPL.

### 2.4.1 Development requiring a BFSAs

Proposals for subdivision and SFPP development on BFPL require a referral to the NSW RFS for a BFSAs under section 100B of the RF Act.

Development requiring a BFSAs is considered integrated development under s.4.46 of the EP&A Act.

The BFSAs (required through s100B) is critical in ensuring these key developments are designed and located in a manner that is suitable to protect human life and facilitate appropriate operational firefighting arrangements. This is a key means by which the NSW RFS Commissioner fulfils his statutory obligation to ensure the protection of the community, including firefighters from the impacts of bush fire.

## 2.4.2 State significant development and infrastructure

In September 2011, Part 3A of the EP&A Act was repealed, leading to the creation of two new major project development categories: state significant infrastructure (SSI) and state significant development (SSD).

Because of their size, complexity, importance and/or potential impact, DPE is predominantly responsible for assessing DAs relating to these project types. The Minister for Planning is the consent authority for SSI and SSD applications.

Applications under the now-repealed Part 3A of the EP&A Act and state significant projects are exempt from requiring a BFSA and are not required to be assessed under s4.14 of the EP&A Act.

Given the scale of SSI and SSD projects, the requirements of this document should still be applied, and seeking advice from the NSW RFS is encouraged. Even where comments have been provided by the NSW RFS at the strategic planning stage, future DAs may benefit from further advice from the NSW RFS.

## 2.4.3 Streamlining development assessment

The NSW RFS is working with the DPE to streamline the development assessment process on BFPL in NSW. This will ensure that the assessment of a bush fire hazard and subsequent construction standards takes place at subdivision stage and the same standards applied through complying development or DA without need for reassessment.

The NSW Government has provided a pathway for this to occur under clause 273 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000* for new lots in urban release areas (URAs) that are located on BFPL.

The streamlining process allows the assessment of bush fire provisions at subdivision stage within URAs and may exempt the lots from reassessment of bush fire issues when land owners are ready to develop their lots. A Post-Subdivision BAL Certificate will be issued assigning BAL levels to all individual lots within the subdivision. An applicant can rely on this Post-Subdivision BAL Certificate in the Complying Development process, up to and including BAL-29.

Along with this streamlining process through the subdivision, s.4.14 and Complying Development provisions, the role of strategic planning in bush fire prone areas will be strengthened to ensure that new development is located in appropriate areas.

## 2.4.4 Infill and other development

Section 4.14 of the EP&A Act requires that the consent authority be satisfied that the specifications and requirements of this document are complied with for development for any purpose on BFPL. This applies to any development other than subdivision of land that could lawfully be used for residential purposes or development for a SFPP. This can be achieved by the following means:

- a. the consent authority is satisfied that the development conforms to the specifications and requirements of PBP; or
- b. the consent authority has been provided with a certificate by a person who is recognised by the NSW RFS as a qualified consultant in bush fire risk assessment stating that the development conforms to the relevant specifications and requirements; or
- c. the consent authority is satisfied that the development does not conform to the relevant specifications and requirements. The consent authority may, despite subsection (1), grant consent to the carrying out of the development but only if it has consulted with the Commissioner of the NSW RFS concerning measures to be taken with respect to the development to protect persons, property and the environment from danger that may arise from a bush fire.

### 2.4.5 Exempt and Complying Development

Some straightforward residential, commercial and industrial development can be undertaken as exempt or complying development under various State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs).

Exempt development is minor building works that can be carried out without development approval, such as decks, garden sheds, carports and fences.

Complying development can be undertaken on lower risk BFPL up to and including BAL-29 where the appropriate construction requirements and all other relevant development standards have been met.

Specified development requirements and standards apply to new development (including alterations and additions) to ensure the relevant provisions of this document are met. This allows for complying development on BFPL, while maintaining a rigorous assessment regime for managing bush fire risk.

In certain circumstances, a BAL Risk Assessment Certificate must be obtained from the local council or a person recognised by the NSW RFS as a suitably qualified consultant in bush fire risk assessment, stating that the development is not located in BAL-40 or BAL-FZ.

To locate bush fire consultants, refer to the Fire Protection Association Australia: [www.fpaa.com.au](http://www.fpaa.com.au).

The development must also meet the identified development standards within the relevant State Environmental Planning Policy.

Complying development is not permitted on higher risk BFPL (that is, BAL-40 or BAL-FZ) and a DA is required in these circumstances.

## 2.5 Construction provisions: the National Construction Code and bush fire standards

The National Construction Code (NCC) is a performance-based code which comprises the Building Code of Australia (BCA) as Volumes 1 and 2 and the Plumbing Code of Australia as Volume 3.

The NCC contains performance requirements and Deemed-to-Satisfy provisions relating to the construction of buildings in bush fire prone areas. In NSW, these provisions apply to Class 1, 2 and 3 buildings, Class 4 parts of a building and some Class 10 and SFPP buildings.

The construction requirements of AS 3959 and the *NASH Standard: Steel Framed Construction in Bushfire Areas 2014* are a Deemed-to-Satisfy solution in the NCC, as varied in NSW, for buildings in designated bush fire prone areas.

## 2.6 Other NSW RFS bush fire safety programs

The following NSW RFS programs provide a framework of strategies designed to address bush fire protection for the community at a local government or regional level. While potentially providing better outcomes than the existing situation, these provisions shall not be considered as BPMs for proposed development.

### 2.6.1 Bush Fire Risk Management Plan

The Bush Fire Risk Management Plan (BFRMP) is the responsibility of the Bush Fire Management Committee.

The objectives of the BFRMP are to:

- reduce the number of human-induced bush fire ignitions that cause damage to life, property and the environment
- manage fuel to reduce the rate of spread and intensity of bush fires while minimising environmental/ecological impacts
- reduce the community's vulnerability to bush fires by improving its preparedness
- effectively contain fires with the potential to cause damage to life, property or the environment.

Enquiries concerning BFRMPs can be directed to the appropriate NSW RFS Fire Control Centre.

### 2.6.2 Hazard Reduction Certificate

A bush fire Hazard Reduction Certificate (HRC) provides environmental approval to carry out bush fire hazard reduction works. The HRC must be consistent with the Bush Fire Environmental Assessment Code and the BFRMP. The HRC details the conditions that are to be adhered to when implementing the bush fire hazard reduction works.

Enquiries on HRCs can be directed to the appropriate NSW RFS Fire Control Centre.

### 2.6.3 Community Protection Plan

The aim of the Community Protection Plan (CPP) program is to improve the community and firefighters' capacity to prepare for, act during, and survive bush fires. A CPP requires a detailed analysis of communities considered to be exposed to a significant bush fire risk, and ensures that the bush fire risks are fully understood and adequately treated.

Enquiries on CPPs can be directed to a NSW RFS Planning and Environment Service Centre on 1300 679 737.

### 2.6.4 Neighbourhood Safer Place

A Neighbourhood Safer Place (NSP) is a location where people facing an immediate threat to their personal safety or property can gather and seek shelter from the impact of a bush fire. They are the last resort option in a bush fire survival plan.

Enquiries on NSPs can be directed to the NSW RFS Planning and Environment Service Centres on 1300 679 737.

### 2.6.5 10/50 Vegetation Clearing Scheme

People living in a bush fire prone area may be eligible to undertake certain clearing practices around an existing dwelling under the 10/50 Vegetation Clearing Scheme. The scheme is an entitlement for existing development and has no involvement in the development assessment process.

The scheme allows people in an entitlement area to:

- clear trees on their property within 10 metres of a home, without seeking approval; and
- clear underlying vegetation such as shrubs (but not trees) on their property within 50 metres of a home, without seeking approval.

Once the development assessment process is complete and development consent conditions have been applied to the development, the 10/50 Vegetation Clearing Scheme is no longer applicable to your property.

You can find out if your property is in a 10/50 Vegetation Clearing Entitlement Area on the NSW RFS website: [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au). For more information on the provisions of 10/50 please refer to the *10/50 Vegetation Clearing Code of Practice for New South Wales* issued by the NSW RFS.

## 2.7 Bush fire survival plan


People living in a Bush Fire Prone Area should prepare a bush fire survival plan which is revised annually prior to the bush fire season.


A guide to making a bush fire survival plan has been developed by the NSW RFS to assist residents in the preparation of their plan and can be found at [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au/plan-and-prepare/bush-fire-survival-plan](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au/plan-and-prepare/bush-fire-survival-plan).

The guide provides information on bush fire risk and suggests simple steps as to how individuals and families can protect themselves and their property in the event of a bush fire. On days of catastrophic fire weather, the NSW RFS recommends leaving early as the only safe option.

**Figure 2.4**

Assessment process for developments in bush fire prone areas





# 3 BUSH FIRE PROTECTION MEASURES



## **BPMs can mitigate the impact of bush fire risk and bush fire attack on people and assets.**

The types of protection measures include APZs, access, landscaping, water supply, building design and construction and emergency management arrangements. These measures assist building survival during a bush fire. They also contribute to the safety of firefighters and members of the community occupying buildings during the passage of a bush fire front.

There are a range of different BPMs which should be selected individually or in combination based upon the development type and the assessed level of bush fire risk.

All requirements for BPMs that relate to the development must be provided, unless where specific circumstances apply to render a BPM irrelevant (i.e. no landscaping required).

### 3.1 Introduction

A significant part of NSW is classified as BFPL and local circumstances vary widely as do potential land uses. PBP recognises this and promotes detailed site analysis and the application of a combination of BPMs to achieve an acceptable outcome.

#### Figure 3.1

BPMs in combination



#### 3.1.1 Applying the BPMs in combination

The design of BPMs should be incorporated at the earliest stages of development. Acceptable bush fire protection proposals will involve a combination of different BPMs depending on their suitability and importance to the particular type of development and different levels of potential bush fire attack.

Appropriate combinations of BPMs not only depend upon geographic location and site circumstances but also on the nature of the proposed use, distinguishing between the following development types:

- residential and rural-residential subdivision with a dwelling entitlement
- SFPP development
- infill development
- other developments (ie, commercial community and other uses which are not classified as residential or SFPP).

These development types are required to achieve specific objectives which relate to particular circumstances. The acceptable solutions and performance criteria in this document acknowledge that the measures work in combination to improve the capacity for bush fire protection.

Detailed scientific research on bush fire behaviour under a range of location, weather, vegetation and slope conditions has demonstrated the significance of reduced fuel loads and distance in limiting bush fire threat (from ember attack through to direct flame contact). Once potential bush fire behaviour has been determined, the first and most important BPM to consider is the provision of an appropriately sized APZ.

Once the APZ standard is achieved, required construction standards can be met in accordance with Appendix 1. The provisions, design and location of other measures can then be detailed.

### 3.2 Asset Protection Zone (APZ)

An APZ is a buffer zone between a bush fire hazard and buildings. The APZ is managed progressively to minimise fuel loads and reduce potential radiant heat levels, flame, smoke and ember attack. The appropriate APZ distance is based on vegetation type, slope and the nature of the development.

The APZ can include roads or properties managed to be consistent with APZ standards set out in Appendix 4 and the NSW RFS document “*Standards for Asset Protection Zones*”. A fuel-reduced, physical separation between buildings and bush fire hazards is a key element in the suite of bush fire measures and dictates the type of construction necessary to mitigate bush fire attack.

Appendix 1 provides the required methodology for determining the APZ based on vegetation type, slope and FDI.

For new residential development, APZ requirements are based on radiant heat level exposure to buildings not exceeding 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> (calculated on a flame temperature of 1090 Kelvin). The APZ tables in Appendix 1 identify the APZ requirements for buildings other than SFPPs.

For many SFPPs, larger APZs are required because of the characteristics of occupants. This means a lower radiant heat threshold is required in order to allow for evacuation of occupants and emergency services to operate in support of the most at-risk members of the community.

For most SFPP developments, 10kW/m<sup>2</sup> (calculated on a flame temperature of 1200 Kelvin) is the maximum exposure at any point of the building wall or façade and where emergency services may be supporting or evacuating occupants exiting from the building.

This is to ensure there is an area for firefighters to defend the property and allow access to and from the building. Chapter 6 identifies the performance criteria and acceptable solutions for APZ requirements for SFPP developments.

A different set of assessment criteria is applicable for residential and SFPP development in grassland hazard areas as set out within sections 6.5.3 and 7.9.

Information relating to the creation and management of APZs is detailed in Appendix 4 of this document and in the NSW RFS document “*Standards for Asset Protection Zones*” which is available on the NSW RFS website [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).

A fundamental premise is that APZs will be provided on the property in such a way that the owner/occupant will be able to maintain the required APZs in perpetuity.

### 3.2.1 Staged developments

In staged developments, the APZ needs to be provided for at all stages, and provisions included that ensure ongoing maintenance is undertaken until such time as the land is developed (i.e. by way of an easement on the title of the land). An easement or covenant established for the purpose of an APZ can be extinguished when a bush fire hazard is permanently removed (i.e. when development occurs).

APZs are essential throughout the staging of a development and the entire construction period. Often an indefinite time lag can occur between one or more stages of development which can result in persons and property being unprotected in the event of a bush fire. A development site that is vegetated but is to be developed and sold in stages will require the creation of APZs that need to be maintained sequentially until the final phase of development is completed to afford each stage of the development the appropriate level of protection.

The responsibility for the maintenance of APZs at each stage of development should be clearly defined within the easement or covenant.

### 3.2.2 APZs on slopes over 18 degrees

APZs on slopes greater than 18 degrees provide difficulties in maintenance. Challenges in these circumstances may include the following:

- common management practices may be difficult
- the environmental consequences of ground clearing (destabilisation of the slope resulting in landslip, slump, erosion or landslide) may not be acceptable; and

- the canopy fuels in forests and woodlands are more readily available to a fire, significantly reducing the advantage of having an APZ.

Where it can be demonstrated that these issues can be effectively managed, APZs on steeper slopes may be considered appropriate. Where there are effective slopes in excess of 18 degrees it must be demonstrated that management can occur. A management plan should be submitted with the DA to provide details on how the APZ will be implemented and maintained. The management plan should include, but not be limited to:

- The mechanical means necessary to complete the management required;
- The financial costing of the mechanism and responsibility for meeting this cost;
- A schedule for maintenance to occur to ensure the APZ is regularly managed; and
- The relevant body responsible for maintaining the APZ.

### 3.2.3 APZs on environmentally protected lands

Where environmental values such as endangered ecological communities are to be cleared for the purposes of an APZ, the proposal will need to be carefully considered.

In some cases, a development may be proposed on land with a split zoning (i.e. part residential and part environment protection zone). BPMs may not necessarily be compatible with all zones. It should not be assumed that an APZ can extend into an adjoining non-compatible zone, so the suitability of this option and any other site constraints should be checked with the consent authority.

### 3.2.4 Defendable space

Defendable space is an area within the inner protection area (IPA) of an APZ adjoining the asset within which firefighting operations can be undertaken to defend the structure. The physical size of the development will determine whether the defendable space is provided as pedestrian access or will require sufficient space for vehicular movements. Vegetation within the defendable space should be kept to an absolute minimum and the area should be free from combustible items and obstructions.

### 3.2.5 APZs on adjoining land

An APZ imposed by a development consent condition must be maintained for the lifetime of the development, unless modified by a subsequent consent. In order to guarantee that an APZ can be managed in perpetuity, APZs should be contained within the overall development site and not on adjoining lands.

APZs on adjoining land are not encouraged. Where an APZ is proposed on adjoining land, a guarantee must be provided that the land will be managed in perpetuity. In order to achieve this, the land should have an easement under Section 88B of the *Conveyancing Act 1919* to ensure:

- surety of APZ to the correct management prescriptions.
- that management occurs in a binding legal agreement in perpetuity.

These situations shall be assessed on their merits.

In such circumstances, the proponent will need to obtain written confirmation from the relevant parties that the easement will continue in perpetuity and that the land subject to the easement will continue to be maintained in a suitable manner. In all cases, the owner of adjoining land must provide written consent for the easement, which shall be lodged with the DA.

The owner/occupier of the land who has benefited from the easement shall be responsible for maintaining the APZ. Where an APZ easement has been established to the benefit of a community title, it shall be maintained in accordance with a Plan of Management.

Neither the NSW RFS nor a council has the power to impose an APZ on an adjoining landowner. It is therefore the developer's responsibility to negotiate with adjoining land owner/s as part of the DA process. Easements should not be considered where the adjoining land is used for a public purpose and where vegetation management is not likely or cannot be legally granted (eg, National Park, bushland reserve, critical habitat, 'coastal wetlands' or 'littoral rainforests' mapped in the Coastal Management SEPP).

In circumstances where an APZ is proposed on adjoining land, it will be considered as a performance-based solution. In addition, the NSW RFS does not benefit from the easement and therefore cannot be considered a party to the easement.

### 3.2.6 Plans of Management

Plans of Management (PoM) are required where developments propose to establish APZ(s) off site upon lands belonging to council or government where there is no guaranteed expectation of future management. The adopted PoM provides the assurance that an APZ will be managed in perpetuity.

PoMs are also required where APZs are proposed off site, on lands which may have periodic management but may not meet the management requirements to perform as an APZ. Such lands include council bushland reserves, Crown Lands, Open Space and easements for drainage and services.

Before APZs can be accepted upon these types of landholdings, it must be demonstrated that a management regime exists which complies with APZ requirements. The content of a PoM should include:

- The prescribed APZ requirements and its treatment details (e.g. IPA and OPA widths and fuel loads);
- The range of specific management options available to the development, its prescription and its location;
- The predicted timing intervals of the management options;
- Notification of any transition arrangements for management or ownership alterations which occur as a result of land dedication or acquisition;
- Demonstration that the relevant authority has the necessary experience, resources and funds to undertake the directions; and
- Acknowledgement of responsibility from the adjoining landholder that the APZ will be managed in perpetuity.

Any proposed dedication of land for council maintenance requires a written approval from that council and a PoM before compliance with PBP can be achieved.

### 3.3 Building construction and design

Improvements to the design and construction of buildings enhance their survivability from bush fires. Construction measures should not be applied as a stand-alone mitigation solution, but should form part of a suite of BPMs. This should also include APZs, appropriate access, water supply and landscaping.

Design considerations need to be addressed to ensure adequate protection of vulnerable building elements. Construction standards are provided within AS 3959 and the NASH Standard to provide various levels of protection for different building elements.

The level of building construction standard required is based on the FDI, type of vegetation, the effective slope and the size of APZ. Appendix 1 provides the required methodology for calculating the building construction standards referred to in AS 3959 (Method 2) and the NASH Standard as bush fire attack levels (BALs).

The construction requirements of the NCC are applied in addition to variations to these standards which are provided in Chapter 7.

### 3.4 Access arrangements

Design of access roads shall enable safe access and egress for residents attempting to leave the area at the same time that emergency service personnel are arriving to undertake firefighting operations.

Chapters 5-8 detail performance criteria and acceptable solutions for access arrangements, relevant to the development type. Specific access design principles are included in Appendix 3.

The purpose of the road system for bush fire protection is to:

- provide firefighters with access to structures, allowing more efficient use of firefighting resources;
- provide evacuation routes for firefighters and the public; and
- provide access to areas of bush fire hazard for firefighting and hazard mitigation purposes.

Roads shall provide sufficient width and other dimensions to ensure safe unobstructed access and allow firefighting crews to operate equipment around the vehicle. Road width is defined as the trafficable width from kerb to kerb (inside edges) or the inside edge of the table drain.

Dead-end roads should be avoided. However, where they are present, they need to provide a sufficient turn-around area to minimise the need for vehicles to make multipoint turns.

#### 3.4.1 Perimeter roads

A perimeter road should be provided to separate bush land from urban areas, allowing more efficient use of firefighting resources. A perimeter road is located on the outer extremity of a local area or subdivision and usually runs parallel to the bush land interface.

The perimeter road provides essential access to areas of bush fire hazard and the perimeter road is an effective space from which to conduct active firefighting operations and hazard reduction activities. In developments where no perimeter road exists, property defence in a bush fire event is more difficult and can be impossible.

#### 3.4.2 Non-perimeter roads

Non-perimeter roads are the interconnecting roads between the perimeter roads and the existing and/or wider road network. These roads form a critical link in firefighting operations by providing access for emergency vehicles accessing the perimeter road, a safe space for conducting property protection, and a suitable road network for egress of residents.

### 3.4.3 Property access roads

Property access is any access from private land onto the public road system. In rural areas, in particular isolated rural properties, operational difficulties can be experienced in accessing buildings. Examples include water crossings and roads being cut by fire and hazardous conditions. As a result, the location and standards of property access roads should be carefully considered.

### 3.4.4 Fire trails

Fire trails are used as access for firefighters in operational situations, as fire containment lines and for APZ maintenance.

Fire trails are not a requirement of PBP. A fire trail is not a substitute for a road, nor is it considered an appropriate trade-off for the provision of perimeter, non-perimeter and property road access requirements.

Part 3B of the *Rural Fires Act 1997* provides for the establishment, maintenance, protection, certification and registration of fire trails (whether existing or new), and for associated purposes.

Where fire trails are incorporated into a development, they must be designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the *NSW RFS Fire Trail Standards* and the *NSW RFS Fire Trail Design, Construction and Maintenance Manual* to ensure that firefighter safety is not compromised. The responsibility and mechanism for the ongoing management of the fire trail must be clearly identified within the DA. Where the responsibility for fire trail maintenance is placed on a third party, this should be subject to a written agreement from the third party in question.

Where a Registered Fire Trail exists on a property, the function and overall access through the landscape must be carefully considered. These trails have been identified by the local BFMC and are considered of strategic importance for fire access in the area. At the time of development, the NSW RFS will need to consider any impacts the proposed development will have on the existing fire trail network.

Importantly, if a fire trail is adopted as part of a development design, it does not make it a Strategic fire trail for the purposes of the *NSW RFS Fire Trail Standards*.

## 3.5 Water supply and utilities

An adequate supply of water is essential for firefighting purposes. In addition, gas and electricity should be located so as not to contribute to the risk of fire or impede the firefighting effort.

Suitable water supply arrangements shall be provided for firefighting that meet the NSW RFS requirements. It is essential to ensure that any water sources are maintained at the appropriate capacity (see Chapters 5-7).

Where a non-reticulated water supply is provided or the reticulated water supply is deemed inadequate, an additional on-site stored supply of water for firefighting will be required. Non-reticulated water is a supply that is not piped by council or a water authority and includes rainwater, ground water or surface water.

From a practical firefighting point of view, any source of available water may be used during a bush fire event and dedicated tanks are not always the most practical option. In light of the above, and the increasing demand for sustainable and efficient use of our water resources, the NSW RFS does not require water to be solely dedicated for firefighting purposes. As such, water holding structures such as tanks, swimming pools and dams can be considered as long as they are accessible, reliable and adequate. Nevertheless, where a water supply is provided it must be available for the life time of the development.

Water capacities, access for firefighters (tanker or pedestrian) and the provision of appropriate connections must also be considered when determining if a proposed water source is suitable.

The property owner is encouraged to place a 'SWS' (static water supply) sign in a visible location on the street front for static water supplies. Periodic testing of firefighting equipment should also occur to ensure that it is maintained in working order.

### 3.6 Emergency management arrangements

SFPP developments are identified as being more vulnerable to the effects of bush fire. This is because the occupants may have a mental or physical impairment, may experience language difficulties, may be unaware of their surroundings or the bush fire risk and may be unable to self-evacuate.


Due to their vulnerability, a higher degree of planning and emphasis on emergency management is required for all SFPP developments. It is imperative that emergency management arrangements are identified at the development planning phase for these developments. An indication of proposed emergency management arrangements should be provided with the DA. A condition will be applied to any BFSAs that an emergency evacuation and management plan should be prepared.

Emergency planning arrangements are not required for residential developments. However, anyone living in a bush fire prone area should prepare a Bush Fire Survival Plan, this is available on the NSW RFS website: [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).


### 3.7 Landscaping

The type, location and ongoing maintenance of landscaping is considered a necessary BPM.

For information about appropriate landscaping, refer to the NSW RFS document *Standards for Asset Protection Zones*, from [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au) and Appendix 4 of this document.



# 4 STRATEGIC PLANNING



Strategic planning is the first stage in the planning process. It is necessary to ensure that settlements, businesses and infrastructure are, as far as is practicable, not exposed to an unacceptable risk of bush fire.

The strategic planning phase includes state-level planning, regional planning, LEPs, DCPs, and masterplans or precinct plans.

## 4.1 Strategic principles

Strategic planning occurs at a state, regional or local government level. It often covers a large area, can include a number of different land uses, and establishes longer term development options for an area.

Land use planning can be an effective management tool in minimising or avoiding the impact of natural hazards such as bush fire. Land that is prone to hazards like bush fire should not be developed unless the hazard can be managed appropriately. Local land use strategies and LEPs should consider and identify land affected by natural hazards and direct development away from inappropriate and constrained lands.

In a bush fire context, strategic planning needs to ensure that future land uses are in appropriate locations to minimise the risk to life and property from bush fire attack. Services and infrastructure that facilitate effective suppression of bush fires also need to be provided for at the earliest stages of planning.

The bush fire risk will be considered at the 'macro-scale', looking at fire runs into the site, steep down slopes and any areas of isolation within the site. The amount of proposed development interfacing vegetation will also be considered.

Evacuation potential will be considered and an assessment of traffic volumes and evacuation routes will be required. The potential for these evacuation routes to be non-trafficable during a bush fire event will be factored into the assessment.

Some specific locations have significant fire history and are recognised as known fire paths. These areas may require more strategic assessment. It is important to understand however, that where no fire history exists, the potential for ignition is not reduced.

The broad principles which should be applied to strategic level development are as follows:

- ensuring land is suitable for development in the context of bush fire risk;
- ensuring new development on BFPL will comply with PBP;
- minimising reliance on performance-based solutions;
- providing infrastructure associated with emergency evacuation and firefighting operations; and
- facilitating appropriate ongoing land management practices.

Strategic planning should provide for the exclusion of inappropriate development in bush fire prone areas as follows:

- a. the bush fire risk makes it inappropriate for new development to occur (in some cases, even despite compliance with PBP);
- b. the development is likely to be difficult to evacuate during a bush fire due to its siting in the landscape, fire history and/or size and scale;
- c. the development will adversely affect other bush fire protection strategies or place existing development at increased risk;
- d. the development is within an area of high bush fire risk where density of existing development may cause evacuation issues for both existing and new occupants, and
- e. environmental constraints to the site cannot be overcome.

The relevant BPMs in Chapters 5-8 of this document are to be considered at the strategic planning stage to ensure that future development can comply with PBP.

## 4.2 Strategic planning in bush fire prone areas

Strategic development proposals in bush fire prone areas require the preparation of a Strategic Bush Fire Study. The level of information required within such a study will be dependent upon the nature of the LEP amendment, scale of the proposal, the bush fire risk and its potential impact upon the wider infrastructure network. The Strategic Bush Fire Study provides the opportunity to assess whether new development is appropriate in the bush fire hazard context. It also provides the ability to assess the strategic implications of future development for bush fire mitigation and management.

A Strategic Bush Fire Study will include, as a minimum, the components in Table 4.2.1 (see following page).

Once these strategic issues have been addressed, an assessment of whether the proposal can comply with the relevant performance criteria within Chapters 5-7 should be carried out. If the strategic issues cannot be resolved then the proposal cannot comply with PBP.

**Table 4.2.1**

Bush Fire Strategic Study

| ISSUE                                 | DETAIL  | ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS   |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Bush fire landscape assessment</b> | A bush fire landscape assessment considers the likelihood of a bush fire, its potential severity and intensity and the potential impact on life and property in the context of the broader surrounding landscape. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The bush fire hazard in the surrounding area, including:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Vegetation</li> <li>➤ Topography</li> <li>➤ Weather</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ The potential fire behaviour that might be generated based on the above;</li> <li>➤ Any history of bush fire in the area,</li> <li>➤ Potential fire runs into the site and the intensity of such fire runs.</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Land use assessment</b>            | The land use assessment will identify the most appropriate locations within the masterplan area or site layout for the proposed land uses.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The risk profile of different areas of the development layout based on the above landscape study;</li> <li>➤ The proposed land use zones and the resultant permitted land uses;</li> <li>➤ The most appropriate siting of different land uses based on risk profiles within the site (i.e. not locating development on ridge tops, SFPP development to be located in lower risk areas of the site); and</li> <li>➤ The impact of the siting of these uses on APZ provision.</li> </ul> |
| <b>Access and egress</b>              | A study of the existing and proposed road networks both within and external to the masterplan area or site layout.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The capacity for the proposed road network to deal with evacuating residents and responding emergency services, based on the existing and proposed community profile;</li> <li>➤ The location of key access routes and direction of travel, and</li> <li>➤ The potential for development to be isolated in the event of a bush fire.</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Emergency services</b>             | An assessment of the future impact of new development on emergency services provision.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Consideration of the increase in demand for emergency services responding to a bush fire emergency (including the need for new stations/bridges); and</li> <li>➤ Impact on the ability of emergency services to carry out fire suppression in a bush fire emergency.</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Infrastructure</b>                 | An assessment of the issues associated with infrastructure provision.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The ability of the reticulated water system to deal with a major bush fire event (particularly in terms of water pressure); and</li> <li>➤ Life safety issues associated with fire and proximity to high voltage power lines, natural gas supply lines etc.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Adjoining land</b>                 | The impact of new development on adjoining landowners and their ability to undertake bush fire management.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Consideration of the implications of a change in land use on adjoining land including:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The ability of adjoining and nearby land to carry a bush fire; and</li> <li>➤ Consideration of increased pressure on adjoining landowners to introduce or increase BPMs through the implementation of Bush Fire Management Plans as a result of the changes in land use.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |

### 4.3 Regional strategies and plans

Regional strategies and plans are for specific areas or regions across NSW. They are prepared in partnership with state and local governments, communities and business. Regional strategies and plans set a clear direction for these rapidly growing regions over the longer term.

These strategies and plans should incorporate the bush fire strategic planning principles set out above while having regard for the priorities of state and local governments in identifying appropriate areas for growth.

The NSW RFS is a key stakeholder and should be consulted in the development of regional strategies and plans to ensure that appropriate strategies are developed and future conflicts in compliance do not occur.

### 4.4 Local Environmental Plans

LEPs are legal planning documents that inform planning decisions for local government areas. Through land use zoning and development controls, they impose standards to control development. The planning controls within the LEP are updated and reviewed through the Gateway process, which includes the following steps:

- a. The preparation of a planning proposal
- b. The issuing of a Gateway determination
- c. Community and other consultation on the planning proposal (as required)
- d. Finalising the planning proposal
- e. Drafting of the LEP (legal instrument)
- f. Making the plan
- g. Notifying the LEP on the NSW Government Legislation website.

The planning controls within an LEP are updated and reviewed through a planning proposal. A planning proposal explains the intended effect of an amendment to a LEP and provides the justification for making it. The level of information required in a planning proposal is proportionate to the complexity of the environmental, social, economic and other site specific considerations.

#### 4.4.1 Consideration of Bush Fire Issues

Local councils, when preparing a draft LEP or planning proposal, are required to apply s.9.1(2) of the EP&A Act. Direction 4.4 '*Planning for Bushfire Protection*' of the s9.1(2) Direction, applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that affects, or is in proximity to, land mapped as BFPL. Under these directions, draft LEPs should follow these objectives:

- i. to protect life, property and the environment from bush fire hazards, by discouraging the establishment of incompatible land uses in bush fire prone areas; and
- ii. to encourage sound management of bush fire prone areas.

Under direction 4.4, a relevant authority must consult with the Commissioner of the NSW RFS during the preparation of a draft LEP and take into account any comments made. The draft LEP shall also have regard to PBP.

As part of the consultation process with the NSW RFS, a bush fire assessment is required to be submitted to demonstrate compliance with the s9.1(2) Directions and PBP. Where the proposal is of a strategic nature, this should take the form of a Strategic Bush Fire Study as outlined in section 4.2.

It is encouraged that key bush fire issues are identified early in the LEP process through consultation with the NSW RFS. Wherever possible, this should take place prior to the proposal being submitted to the Gateway process in order to identify key bush fire issues upfront.

Where an application for rezoning is for residential or SFPP development on BFPL, it shall include an indicative development layout. This enables an assessment of the suitability of the land for the proposed development given the bush fire risk and existing land uses. The layout must demonstrate that the required APZs can be met on the development site and that the road network can support indicative occupant numbers in the event of any emergency. It is important that new development does not increase the level of bush fire risk to the existing community. A traffic report prepared by a suitably qualified traffic consultant may be required in circumstances where issues relating to road networks are identified.

In addition to the review of any layout designs, consideration must also be given to the LEP provisions relating to minimum lot sizes to ensure appropriate APZs can be accommodated within future subdivisions.

Careful consideration should be given to other critical infrastructure development, such as power generating works and telecommunications structures in bush fire prone areas.

## 4.5 Development control plans

DCPs, prepared in accordance with the EP&A Act, are used to help achieve the objectives of the LEP by providing specific, comprehensive requirements for certain types of development or locations (e.g., for urban design or heritage precincts and properties).

Some DCP requirements may have implications for the provision of BPMs.


These items could include, but are not limited to:

- environmentally protected lands;
- landscaping;
- open space;
- vehicle access;
- parking;
- building design;
- secondary dwellings;
- dual and multiple occupancy;
- site specific masterplans.

When amendments are proposed to the DCP, an assessment of whether the amendments comply with, or may conflict with, the requirements of PBP should be carried out. Consultation with the NSW RFS is recommended.

## 4.6 Masterplans and precinct plans

Masterplans and precinct plans combine written information, maps and diagrams to outline a strategic plan or broad guideline for future development. Masterplanning provides an opportunity to undertake constraint mapping and identify BPMs in accordance with PBP at a larger regional level than may be permitted within existing urban landscapes. Consultation with the NSW RFS should occur during the development of any Masterplan or Precinct Plan on BFPL and consider fire history and the potential for impacts beyond the scope of PBP.



# 5 RESIDENTIAL AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS



For the purposes of this document, subdivision of land is the creation of lots for residential or rural residential purposes (i.e. where a dwelling entitlement is created).

Where a new dwelling entitlement is created, it is important to ensure that appropriate BPMs are provided within the new allotment. This allows for protection measures to be fully incorporated at the design stage of development. It is much more challenging to retrofit effective protection measures once a dwelling has been constructed.

## 5.1 Background

Subdivisions are defined as the division of land into two or more parts that, after the division, would be adapted for separate occupation, use or disposition, as defined by the EP&A Act. The definition of the term 'subdivision' in the EP&A Act also includes boundary adjustments and residential portions of industrial or commercial subdivisions.

A BFSA is required from the NSW RFS for subdivision on BFPL under section 100B of the *Rural Fires Act 1997* (RF Act). The *Rural Fires Regulation 2013* (RF Reg) identifies certain subdivision types that are excluded from the requirement for a BFSA.

Subdivision can occur across a range of different forms such as residential, rural-residential and rural. Certain subdivisions may pose significant challenges from a planning and/or bush fire risk perspective and may require additional considerations.

Re-vegetation, or creation of riparian corridors as part of a subdivision development in particular greenfield sites, needs to be addressed in subdivision proposals. DCPs, Plans of Management and Vegetation Management Plans need to recognise the creation of potential future (yet currently unmapped) BFPL. Subsequent DAs that adjoin vegetation regrowth or vegetation corridors may or may not assess bush fire risks appropriately.

### 5.1.1 Isolated subdivision

Subdivision for the creation of isolated developments, particularly in rugged, heavily timbered country, poses significant challenges from a planning and/or bush fire risk perspective. Additional considerations for isolated subdivisions are provided in this section.

Where developments are located in isolated areas, occupants may need to travel large distances through bush fire prone vegetation, and firefighters are unlikely to be able to provide assistance. For this reason, the conditions placed upon isolated developments reflect the need for occupants to be more self-sufficient in regards to firefighting.

Consideration should be given, where practical, to grouping of rural-residential buildings into clusters which allow for the establishment of APZs around a group of dwellings rather than having to ensure individual protection for a large number of scattered dwellings. The clustering of dwellings provides for better protection through consolidated vegetation management practices.

The NSW RFS has seen an increase in developments involving the subdivision of large rural blocks into smaller rural-residential allotments which include an association/community title lot. In these circumstances, developers, designers and consent authorities need to be made aware that compensations in subdivision design and additional BPMs, such as those set out below, will be a requirement to allow for safer and appropriate outcomes for future occupants.

On days of catastrophic fire weather, the NSW RFS recommends leaving early as the only safe option.

Any proposal for this type of development that does not meet the acceptable solutions for subdivision will require the applicant to complete a performance-based solution, which may include a BFDB.

To demonstrate the appropriateness of the proposed subdivision, the following provisions will need to be considered:

- Access/egress considerations within the developable land and along the adjoining public road system shall include safety provisions for attending emergency service vehicles and evacuating residents, including road widths and management of vegetation along internal access road verges. Clearing or modifying vegetation in roadside verges of existing road reserves in order to do this may not be permitted.
- Subdivision design shall be such that it includes perimeter roads separating developable lots from hazardous bushland areas. The objective of perimeter roads is to not only provide a fuel free area adjacent to the hazard but to also ensure suitable unrestricted access for firefighting and fire management purposes. Maintenance of perimeter roads shall be the responsibility of the cluster community.
- Access should be provided for maintenance of APZ and for risk reduction.
- Larger APZs outside of the range prescribed in PBP must be considered together with consideration for increased bush fire attack levels (BALs) to proposed buildings. Where an increased BAL or APZ requirement has been conditioned, these requirements will need to be recorded so any purchaser is aware of the additional requirements, such as a notation on the Certificate of Title or the section 88b instrument.
- APZs that are larger than normal may be required to create a safer area for occupants and firefighters remaining on-site.

- It may be necessary to incorporate additional construction measures to build in increased protection, taking into account the isolated nature of the development.
- dedicated firefighting water supply and associated firefighting equipment (ie, pump and hose) must be provided to each dwelling in addition to any reticulated water supplies. This must comply with the non-reticulated provisions of PBP (Table 5.3d) and be accessible to the NSW RFS at all times.

There are circumstances however, where even despite additional protection measures, the intensification of the development on the site is just not acceptable given the bush fire risk.

### 5.1.2 Strata subdivision of existing buildings

A strata subdivision of a building which has been granted development consent in accordance with s4.14 of the EP&A Act is excluded from the requirements for a BFSA.

Where the subdivision of an existing dwelling is proposed, this does require a BFSA. Consideration should be given as to whether the arrangement will lead to increased densities (see 8.2.1) and the vulnerability of future residents.

Emergency planning is critical and should be implemented prior to formal adoption of the strata arrangements. The performance criteria within Chapter 5 of this document will be applied to the building. A property management plan should be prepared and upgrading for ember protection should be considered. Arrangements must be established in a “Community Management Statement” (e.g. body corporate by-laws) that the owners (e.g. Executive) consider fire management strategies of the development that takes into account the following:

- Continued management of APZs and fire trails;
- A right of carriageway for fire management purposes;
- Acknowledgement of hazard reduction proposals approved by the NSW RFS District Office and the District Bush Fire Management Committee that involve the association land;
- Consultation should occur between the Community Executive and the local NSW RFS District Office to confirm contact details and legalities involved with permissions for fire management works on association land together with any other community engagement advice for the cluster; and
- Preparation of a Bush Fire Survival Plan for each household that stipulates they leave early or stay and defend course of action.

### 5.1.3 Existing dwellings

A proposal for the subdivision of a lot may include an existing dwelling. New dwellings within the subdivision will be required, through the s4.14 or Complying Development process, to be built to construction standards for building in bush fire prone areas. The existing dwelling will not be subject to any further development consent so would be left unprotected.

For this reason, conditions will be applied to the subdivision consent requiring the existing structure to be upgraded to provide ember protection and water supplies for fire fighting.

Advice regarding the maintenance, upgrading and protection of existing buildings within subdivision proposals can be found on the NSW RFS website at [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).

### 5.1.4 Subdivision in grassland hazard areas

The risk posed by grass fires is different to that of fires in other vegetation classifications. Grass fires burn at a higher intensity and spread more rapidly with a shorter residence time. Embers produced by grass fires are smaller and fewer in number than those produced from forest fires.

At residential subdivision stage, an assessment will be carried out to determine whether an APZ can be provided around the proposed development to avoid flame contact. Subdivision will not be supported where the resultant development would be within BAL-FZ. The APZ distances identified in Tables A1.12.2 and A1.12.4 provide the acceptable solutions for meeting this threshold.

All of the other performance criteria and acceptable solutions within Tables 5.3a to 5.3d apply to residential and rural residential proposals in grassland hazard areas. Table 5.1.4a (see over) provides the relevant FDI to utilise for grassland hazard areas.

**Table 5.1.4a**

Equivalent values for FDI and GFDI

| FIRE DANGER INDEX (FDI) | GRASSLAND FIRE DANGER INDEX (GFDI) |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 50                      | 70                                 |
| 80                      | 110                                |
| 100                     | 130                                |

## 5.2 Specific objectives

The specific objectives for residential and rural residential subdivisions with a dwelling entitlement are as follows:

- minimise perimeters of the subdivision exposed to the bush fire hazard (hourglass shapes, which maximise perimeters and create bottlenecks should be avoided);
- minimise vegetated corridors that permit the passage of bush fire towards buildings;
- provide for the siting of future dwellings away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests;
- ensure that separation distances (APZs) between a bush fire hazard and future dwellings enable a radiant heat level not to exceed 29kW/m<sup>2</sup>;
- ensure the ongoing maintenance of APZs;
- provide adequate access from all properties to the wider road network for residents and emergency services;
- provide access to hazard vegetation to facilitate bush fire mitigation works and property protection; and
- ensure the provision of an adequate supply of water and other services to facilitate effective firefighting.

## 5.3 Bush fire protection measures

The BPMs for residential and rural residential subdivisions include measures relating to APZs, emergency services' access to structures and water supply, fire trail access, and provision of water, electricity and gas services.

All requirements for BPMs that relate to the development must be provided, unless where specific circumstances apply to render a BPM irrelevant (i.e. no landscaping required).

### 5.3.1 APZs

**Intent of measures:** to provide sufficient space and maintain reduced fuel loads to ensure radiant heat levels at the buildings does not exceed 29kW/m<sup>2</sup>.

**Table 5.3a**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for APZs for residential and rural residential subdivisions

|                        | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|------------------------|--|--|
|                        | The intent may be achieved where:  |  |
| ASSET PROTECTION ZONES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>potential building footprints will not be exposed to radiant heat levels exceeding 29 kW/m<sup>2</sup> on each proposed lot</li> </ul>                                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APZs are provided in accordance with Tables A1.12.2 and A1.12.4 based on the FDI</li> </ul> |
|                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APZs are managed and maintained to prevent the spread of a fire towards the building</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APZs are managed in accordance with the requirements of 'Appendix 4</li> </ul>              |
|                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the APZ is provided in perpetuity</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APZs are wholly within the boundaries of the development site</li> </ul>                    |
|                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APZ maintenance is practical, soil stability is not compromised and the potential for crown fires is minimised</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APZs are located on lands with a slope less than 18 degrees</li> </ul>                      |
| LANDSCAPING            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Landscaping is managed to minimise flame contact, reduce radiant heat levels, minimise embers and reduce the effect of smoke on residents and firefighters</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>landscaping is in accordance with Appendix 4</li> </ul>                                     |

**Table 5.3b**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for access for residential and rural residential subdivisions

| PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|---|--|
| The intent may be achieved where:   |  |
| <p>➤ firefighting vehicles are provided with safe, all-weather access to structures and hazard vegetation</p> | <p>➤ property access roads are two-wheel drive, all-weather roads; and</p> <p>➤ perimeter roads are provided for residential subdivisions of three or more allotments; and</p> <p>➤ subdivisions of three or more allotments have more than one access in and out of the development; and</p> <p>➤ traffic management devices are constructed to not prohibit access by emergency services vehicles; and</p> <p>➤ maximum grades for sealed roads do not exceed 15 degrees and an average grade of not more than 10 degrees or other gradient specified by road design standards, whichever is the lesser gradient; and</p> <p>➤ all roads are through roads. Dead end roads are not recommended, but if unavoidable, dead ends are not more than 200 metres in length, incorporate a minimum 12 metres outer radius turning circle, and are clearly sign posted as a dead end; and</p> <p>➤ where kerb and guttering is provided on perimeter roads, roll top kerbing should be used to the hazard side of the road; and</p> <p>➤ where access/egress can only be achieved through forest, woodland or heath vegetation, secondary access shall be provided to an alternate point on the existing public road system.</p> |
| <p>➤ the capacity of access roads is adequate for firefighting vehicles</p>                                   | <p>➤ the capacity of perimeter and non-perimeter road surfaces and any bridges/causeways is sufficient to carry fully loaded firefighting vehicles (up to 23 tonnes); bridges/causeways are to clearly indicate load rating.</p>   |
| <p>➤ there is appropriate access to water supply</p>  | <p>➤ hydrants are located outside of parking reserves and road carriageways to ensure accessibility to reticulated water for fire suppression;</p> <p>➤ hydrants are provided in accordance with AS 2419.1:2005;</p> <p>➤ there is suitable access for a Category 1 fire appliance to within 4m of the static water supply where no reticulated supply is available.</p>   |

ACCESS (GENERAL REQUIREMENTS)

|                     | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|---------------------|---|---|
|                     | The intent may be achieved where:   |   |
| PERIMETER ROADS     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ access roads are designed to allow safe access and egress for medium rigid firefighting vehicles while residents are evacuating as well as providing a safe operational environment for emergency service personnel during firefighting and emergency management on the interface</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ perimeter roads are two-way sealed roads; and</li> <li>➤ 8m carriageway width kerb to kerb; and</li> <li>➤ parking is provided outside of the carriageway width; and</li> <li>➤ hydrants are located clear of parking areas; and</li> <li>➤ there are through roads, and these are linked to the internal road system at an interval of no greater than 500m; and</li> <li>➤ curves of roads have a minimum inner radius of 6m; and</li> <li>➤ the maximum grade road is 15° and average grade is 10°; and</li> <li>➤ the road crossfall does not exceed 3°; and</li> <li>➤ a minimum vertical clearance of 4m to any overhanging obstructions, including tree branches, is provided.</li> </ul> |
| NON-PERIMETER ROADS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ access roads are designed to allow safe access and egress for medium rigid firefighting vehicles while residents are evacuating</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ minimum 5.5m width kerb to kerb; and</li> <li>➤ parking is provided outside of the carriageway width; and</li> <li>➤ hydrants are located clear of parking areas; and</li> <li>➤ roads are through roads, and these are linked to the internal road system at an interval of no greater than 500m; and</li> <li>➤ curves of roads have a minimum inner radius of 6m; and</li> <li>➤ the road crossfall does not exceed 3°; and</li> <li>➤ a minimum vertical clearance of 4m to any overhanging obstructions, including tree branches, is provided.</li> </ul>   |

**Table 5.3b** *Continued*

| PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|--|---|
| <p>The intent may be achieved where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ firefighting vehicles can access the dwelling and exit safely</li> </ul> | <p>No specific access requirements apply in a urban area where a 70 metre unobstructed path can be demonstrated between the most distant external part of the proposed dwelling and the nearest part of the public access road (where the road speed limit is not greater than 70kph) that supports the operational use of emergency firefighting vehicles (i.e. a hydrant or water supply).</p> <p>In circumstances where this cannot occur, the following requirements apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ minimum carriageway width of 4m;</li> <li>➤ in forest, woodland and heath situations, rural property access roads have passing bays every 200m that are 20m long by 2m wide, making a minimum trafficable width of 6m at the passing bay; and</li> <li>➤ a minimum vertical clearance of 4m to any overhanging obstructions, including tree branches; and</li> <li>➤ provide a suitable turning area in accordance with Appendix 3; and</li> <li>➤ curves have a minimum inner radius of 6m and are minimal in number to allow for rapid access and egress; and</li> <li>➤ the minimum distance between inner and outer curves is 6m; and</li> <li>➤ the crossfall is not more than 10°; and</li> <li>➤ maximum grades for sealed roads do not exceed 15° and not more than 10° for unsealed roads; and</li> <li>➤ a development comprising more than three dwellings has formalised access by dedication of a road and not by right of way.</li> </ul> <p>Note: Some short constrictions in the access may be accepted where they are not less than the minimum (3.5m), extend for no more than 30m and where the obstruction cannot be reasonably avoided or removed. The gradients applicable to public roads also apply to community style development property access roads in addition to the above.</p> |

PROPERTY ACCESS

### 5.3.3 Fire trails

Fire trails are not required for compliance with PBP. However, where fire trails are proposed they are to comply with the NSW RFS *Fire Trail Standards*.

### 5.3.4 Services – Water, electricity and gas

**Intent of measures:** *to provide adequate services of water for the protection of buildings during and after the passage of a bush fire, and to locate gas and electricity so as not to contribute to the risk of fire to a building.*

A minimum amount of water is determined on the basis of lot sizes and density of development.

Table 5.3d should be used for determining water supply for firefighting based on lot size and character of development.

When other BPMs cannot be fully satisfied, it may be necessary to increase the provision of water supply for firefighting purposes.

**Table 5.3c**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for water, electricity and gas services for residential and rural residential subdivisions

|                          | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|--------------------------|--|--|
|                          | The intent may be achieved where:  |  |
| FOR WATER SUPPLIES       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a water supply is provided for firefighting purposes</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ reticulated water is to be provided to the development, where available;</li> <li>➤ a static water supply is provided where no reticulated water is available .</li> </ul>  |
|                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ water supplies are located at regular intervals</li> <li>➤ the water supply is accessible and reliable for firefighting operations</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ fire hydrant spacing, design and sizing comply with the Australian Standard AS 2419.1:2005;</li> <li>➤ hydrants are not located within any road carriageway;</li> <li>➤ reticulated water supply to urban subdivisions uses a ring main system for areas with perimeter roads.</li> </ul>   |
|                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ flows and pressure are appropriate</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ fire hydrant flows and pressures comply with AS 2419.1:2005.</li> </ul>   |
|                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ the integrity of the water supply is maintained</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ all above-ground water service pipes are metal, including and up to any taps.</li> </ul>  |
| FOR ELECTRICITY SERVICES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ location of electricity services limits the possibility of ignition of surrounding bush land or the fabric of buildings</li> </ul>            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ where practicable, electrical transmission lines are underground;</li> <li>➤ where overhead, electrical transmission lines are proposed as follows:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ lines are installed with short pole spacing (30m), unless crossing gullies, gorges or riparian areas;</li> <li>➤ no part of a tree is closer to a power line than the distance set out in accordance with the specifications in ISSC3 Guideline for Managing Vegetation Near Power Lines.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| FOR GAS SERVICES         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ location and design of gas services will not lead to ignition of surrounding bushland or the fabric of buildings.</li> </ul>                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ reticulated or bottled gas is installed and maintained in accordance with AS/NZS 1596:2014 and the requirements of relevant authorities, and metal piping is used;</li> <li>➤ all fixed gas cylinders are kept clear of all flammable materials to a distance of 10m and shielded on the hazard side;</li> <li>➤ connections to and from gas cylinders are metal;</li> <li>➤ polymer-sheathed flexible gas supply lines are not used;</li> <li>➤ above-ground gas service pipes are metal, including and up to any outlets.</li> </ul>    |

**Table 5.3d**

Water supply requirements for non-reticulated developments  
or where reticulated water supply cannot be guaranteed

| DEVELOPMENT TYPE                                       | WATER REQUIREMENTS |
|--|--------------------|
| Residential lots (<1000m <sup>2</sup> )                | 5000L/lot          |
| Rural-residential lots (1000-10,000m <sup>2</sup> )    | 10,000L/lot        |
| Large rural/lifestyle lots (>10,000m <sup>2</sup> )    | 20,000L/lot        |
| Multi-dwelling housing<br>(including dual occupancies) | 5000L/dwelling     |



# 6 SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION PURPOSE DEVELOPMENTS



An SFPP development is one which is occupied by people who are identified as at-risk members of the community. In a bush fire event, these occupants may be more susceptible to the impacts of radiant heat and other bush fire effects.

Evacuating at-risk members of the community is more challenging because they may be physically or psychologically less able to relocate themselves or are unfamiliar with their surroundings.

Examples of SFPP developments are schools, hospitals, nursing homes and tourist accommodation.

## 6.1 Introduction

Under section 100B of the *Rural Fires Act 1997* (RF Act), a BFSA is required for SFPP development. As such, an integrated development approval may be required under s.4.46 of the EP&A Act.

The specific development types which are considered as SFPP development are listed within the RF Act. The *Rural Fires Regulation 2013* (RF Regulation) also details specific development types which are either excluded from the requirement for a BFSA or are considered as additional SFPP developments for which a BFSA is required.

The nature of SFPP developments means that occupants may be more vulnerable to bush fire attack for one or more of the following reasons:

- they may be less aware in relation to bush fire impacts
- they may have reduced capacity to evaluate risk and respond adequately to the bush fire threat
- they may present operational difficulties for evacuation and or management
- they may be more vulnerable through stress and anxiety arising from bush fire threat and smoke
- there may be significant communication barriers
- supervision during a bush fire may be difficult
- they may be unfamiliar with the area
- logistical arrangements for the numbers of residents may be complicated in terms of alternate accommodation, transport, healthcare and food supplies.

The specific objectives, performance criteria and acceptable solutions for SFPP developments as defined by the RF Act and RF Regulation are given in sections 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4 of this document. Different requirements in the form of deeming provisions apply for SFPP development APZs in grassland hazard areas. Please refer to section 6.5.3 below.

Different vulnerability characteristics have been identified for certain developments which are classified as SFPP under the RF Act and RF Regulation. Varied performance criteria and acceptable solutions are identified for these particular uses in section 6.4.

### 6.1.1 New SFPP Uses

A number of additional uses have been added to the SFPP category of development due to the evacuation challenges presented by large numbers of occupants. A BFSA will need to be obtained from the NSW RFS in order to develop these uses.

- **Tertiary institutions** such as universities, TAFE establishments and other educational establishments are SFPP developments due to the large numbers of people with various physical capabilities. These uses will need to meet the objectives and performance criteria for SFPP development. The key BPM for this type of establishment is ensuring that adequate emergency and evacuation planning is in place.
- A **'place of public worship'** is a building or place used for the purpose of religious worship by a congregation or religious group, whether or not the building or place is also used for counselling, social events, instruction or religious training not identified as a SFPP.

Similarly, buildings used for public assembly such as community halls, sporting clubs, men's sheds etc are also considered to be public assembly structures.

The NSW RFS recognises that these assembly buildings can accommodate large numbers of persons of various physical capabilities. Emergency management planning for these developments must account for the total number of occupants and be commensurate with the level of risk.

It should be noted that where the above developments involve Class 1 buildings either AS 3959 or NASH Standard construction requirements may be used subject to specific details in Table 6.4a.

## 6.2 Specific objectives

Due to the vulnerable nature of the occupants of SFPP developments, there is more reliance on the provision of an APZ and emergency management.

While the BPMs in combination (discussed in Chapter 3) continue as a principle, there is greater emphasis on the provision of separation from the bush fire hazard.

There is also greater need for emergency evacuation and management procedures to be developed.

The specific objectives for SFPP developments are to:

- minimise levels of radiant heat, smoke and ember attack through increased APZ, building design and siting;
- provide an appropriate operational environment for emergency service personnel during firefighting and emergency management;
- ensure the capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can handle the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development; and
- ensure emergency evacuation procedures and management which provides for the special characteristics and needs of occupants.

The intent and performance criteria within the tables in section 6.4 must be satisfied for SFPP development, unless a specific variation is given.

## 6.3 Varied objectives for specific uses

Particular SFPP developments demonstrate different characteristics and may be considered to require different levels of protection. As such, different objectives are specified for these development types, though a BFSAs is still required under section 100B of the RF Act.

Typically, reasons for setting different objectives include, but are not limited to:

- lower occupancy levels
- the presence of a resident/manager on site, thereby improving the potential for informed emergency evacuation decisions
- construction under AS 3959 or NASH may be impractical (i.e. tents and caravans).

Varied performance criteria and acceptable solutions are given for specific types of SFPP development in Tables 6.4a to 6.4d.

Although different construction levels or APZ requirements may be given, it is imperative that water provision, emergency management and access provisions are provided commensurate with occupancy levels, assessed level of risk and characteristics of occupants.

The following commentary outlines particular matters for consideration for specific types of potentially lower risk SFPP developments.

### 6.3.1 Specific tourism uses

Some SFPP development is occupied on a short-term basis by people who are unaware of their surroundings and the appropriate procedure to follow in the event of a bush fire. Short-term accommodation (six weeks or less) will need to meet the varied performance criteria in Tables 6.4a and 6.4b.

The NSW RFS defines long-term accommodation as exceeding six weeks in duration and considers that long-term occupants will be familiar with their surrounds, safe refuge areas and evacuation routes. As such, long-term accommodation may be treated as standard residential development and therefore needs to meet a radiant heat threshold of 29kW/m<sup>2</sup>.

- **Caravan parks** – Standard type caravans and motor homes used for short-term tourist accommodation generally cannot achieve any level of construction under AS 3959 or NASH. The emphasis is therefore placed on emergency management, leaving early and non-operation on days of extreme or catastrophic fire weather.

➤ **Camping** – No construction requirements for tents are provided in AS 3959 or NASH. Due to the nature of materials used in tent construction, little can be done to enhance structural resilience. It is therefore important that an appropriate APZ can be provided in accordance with the requirements of Table 6.4a. Camping is permissible within the APZ of a caravan or tourist park, provided the relevant BPMs are provided for the caravan park.

➤ **Primitive camping** – Primitive camping is generally more remote from urban areas, and is defined as having only a limited range of facilities. This is covered by the *Local Government (Manufactured Home Estates, Caravan Parks, Camping Grounds and Moveable Dwellings) Regulation 2005*. The NSW RFS discourages the use of primitive camp grounds in high risk/isolated bush fire prone areas during periods of elevated bush fire danger.

➤ **Bed and breakfast and farm stay accommodation**  
It is assumed that there is a person on site who is aware of the bush fire risk and appropriate emergency response procedures and that the resources and time required for emergency evacuation are reduced. The potential for informed emergency evacuation decisions is therefore improved.

➤ **Ecotourism** – Due to its focus on the natural environment and creating minimal impact, the principles of ecotourism and the establishment of APZs and separation from natural areas required for bush fire mitigation are often in conflict. An applicant must accept that there is an increase for the potential for loss of structures due to the competing objectives to reduce the environmental footprints of these types of developments. The emphasis is therefore placed on emergency management, leaving early and non-operation on days of extreme or catastrophic fire weather.

A building must be provided on site that can be used as a refuge for the maximum number of occupants on site. The building will be required to have a 10kW/m<sup>2</sup> APZ, be constructed to BAL-12.5 and have vehicular access. Cabins are required to be within walking distance of the refuge building.

### 6.3.2 Specific residential-based SFPP uses

➤ **Manufactured home estates** – Manufactured housing can be built to achieve all levels of construction required under the NCC. However, SEPP 36—*Manufactured Home Estates* does not require a separate development consent for each manufactured home after development consent is given for the estate.

Due to the nature of manufactured home estates, there is no mechanism within the development consent process to ensure that the dwellings will be constructed to the standards applied within AS 3959 or NASH. Therefore, the acceptable solution for manufactured housing is the provision of an APZ which achieves 10kW/m<sup>2</sup> commensurate with SFPP development in line with Table A1.12.1.

Where demonstrable evidence can be provided that will confirm that dwellings within the manufactured home estate will be constructed to the appropriate construction standards under AS 3959 or NASH, an APZ can be provided which meets 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> in line with Tables A1.12.2 - A1.12.4. The evidence must be presented as a performance-based solution and must be agreed to the satisfaction of the determining authority.

➤ **Home based child care and short term holiday rental accommodation** - Due to their residential setting and lower occupant numbers, these uses are no longer considered to be SFPP and the specific standards for these use types can be found in Chapter 7.

## 6.4 Bush fire protection measures

The BPMs for SFPP developments include provisions relating to APZs, construction, emergency services' access to structures and water supply, provision of water, electricity and gas services, landscaping and emergency management planning.

### 6.4.1 APZs and building construction

**Intent of measures:** to provide suitable building design, construction and sufficient space to ensure that radiant heat levels do not exceed critical limits for firefighters and other emergency services personnel undertaking operations, including supporting or evacuating occupants.

For more information about APZs, refer to section A4.1 in Appendix 4 or download the NSW RFS document 'Standards for Asset Protection Zones' from [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au/resources/publications](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au/resources/publications).

**Table 6.4a**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for APZs and construction for SFPP development.

|   | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|---|---|---|
|   | The intent may be achieved where:   |   |
| ASSET PROTECTION ZONES (APZ's)  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Radiant heat levels of greater than 10kW/m<sup>2</sup> (calculated at 1200K) are not experienced by emergency service personnel and occupants during firefighting and emergency management.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the building is provided with an APZ in accordance with Table A1.12.1 (see Appendix 1)</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues relating to slope are addressed: maintenance is practical, soil stability is not compromised and the potential for crown fires is negated</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the APZ is not located on lands with a slope exceeding 18°</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APZs are managed and maintained to prevent the spread of a fire towards the building</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the APZ is managed in accordance with the requirements of Appendix 4 of this document, and is wholly within the boundaries of the development site, and</li> <li>mechanisms are in place to provide for the maintenance of the APZ over the life of the development, and</li> <li>other structures located within the APZ need to be located further than 6m from the refuge building</li> </ul> |
|   | <b>VARIATIONS</b>   |   |
|   | <p><b>Primitive camping:</b> no performance criteria applicable</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>  |
|   | <p><b>Bed and breakfast:</b> the building will not be exposed to radiant heat levels exceeding 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> (1090K)</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>an APZ is provided in accordance with Tables A1.12.2 and A1.12.4 in Appendix 1 of this document around the entire building or structure</li> </ul>   |
| <p><b>Ecotourism:</b> radiant heat levels of greater than 10kW/m<sup>2</sup> (1200K) are not experienced by emergency service personnel and occupants during firefighting and emergency management around the a building on site that can be used as a refuge..</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>an APZ is provided in accordance with Table A1.12.1 in Appendix 1 of this document around the entire refuge building or structure</li> </ul>   |   |
| <p><b>Manufactured home estates:</b> Manufactured Homes will not be exposed to radiant heat levels greater than 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> (at 1090K)</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The acceptable solution is to provide an APZ in accordance with Table A1.12.1 in Appendix 1 of this document around the entire building or structure</li> </ul>  |   |

**Table 6.4a** *Continued*

|              | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|--------------|--|---|
|              | The intent may be achieved where:  |   |
| LANDSCAPING  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Landscaping is managed to minimise flame contact, reduce radiant heat levels, minimise embers and reduce the effect of smoke on residents and firefighters</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ landscaping is in accordance with 'Asset protection zone standards' (see Appendix 4)</li> </ul>  |
|              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The proposed building can withstand bush fire attack in the form of wind, smoke, embers, radiant heat and flame contact</li> </ul>                                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a construction level of BAL-12.5 under AS 3959 or NASH and Table 7.4b is applied.</li> </ul>   |
|              | <b>VARIATIONS</b>  |   |
| CONSTRUCTION | <p><b>Primitive camping:</b> no performance criteria applicable</p>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ None</li> </ul>  |
|              | <p><b>Bed and breakfast:</b> the proposed building can withstand bush fire attack in the form of wind, smoke, embers, radiant heat and flame contact</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ construction is applied in accordance with AS 3959 or NASH and Tables A1.12.2 or A1.12.4 within Appendix 1 of PBP</li> </ul>   |
|              | <p><b>Ecotourism:</b> the proposed refuge building can withstand bush fire attack in the form of wind, smoke, embers, radiant heat and flame contact</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a construction level of BAL-12.5 is applied to the refuge building in accordance with AS 3959 or NASH and PBP</li> </ul>   |
|              | <p><b>Manufactured home estates:</b> the proposed manufactured home can withstand bush fire attack in the form of wind, smoke, embers, radiant heat and flame contact</p>                                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ deemed to satisfy construction level of BAL-12.5 is applied in accordance with AS 3959 or NASH</li> </ul>  |
|              | <b>FOR ECOTOURISM ONLY</b>   |   |
|              | Occupants of the ecotourism facility are provided with appropriate shelter in the event of a bush fire   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a refuge building is provided;</li> <li>➤ the refuge building must be provided with sufficient space for all occupants and comply with the occupancy levels permissible for that structure.</li> </ul> |

Note: all APZ modelling for the purposes of SFPP development is based on a flame temperature of 1200 Kelvin (K).

### 6.4.2 Access

**Intent of measures:** to provide safe operational access for emergency services personnel in suppressing a bush fire, while residents are accessing or egressing an area.

### 6.4.3 Fire trails

Fire trails are not required for compliance with PBP. However, where fire trails are proposed they are to comply with the NSW RFS *Fire Trail Standards*.

**Table 6.4b**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for access for SFPP development

|                       | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|-----------------------|--|---|
|                       | The intent may be achieved where:  |   |
| FIREFIGHTING VEHICLES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Firefighting vehicles are provided with safe, all-weather access to structures and hazard vegetation</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ SFPP access roads are two-wheel drive, all-weather roads, and</li> <li>➤ access is provided to all structures and hazard vegetation</li> <li>➤ traffic management devices are constructed to not prohibit access by emergency services vehicles</li> <li>➤ access roads must provide suitable turning areas in accordance with Appendix 3</li> </ul>                             |
|                       | <b>VARIATIONS</b>  |   |
|                       | <p><b>Primitive camping:</b> Firefighting vehicles are provided with safe, all-weather access to structures and hazard vegetation</p>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ access is provided in accordance with the property access requirements of Table 5.3b</li> </ul>  |
|                       | <p><b>Bed and breakfast:</b> Firefighting vehicles are provided with safe, all-weather access to structures and hazard vegetation</p>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ access is provided in accordance with the property access requirements of Table 5.3b</li> </ul>  |
|                       | <p><b>Ecotourism:</b> safe, all-weather access is provided to the proposed refuge building</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ vehicular access is provided to the refuge building from a public road in accordance with property access from Table 5.3b and</li> <li>➤ cabins are within 100m of the refuge building</li> <li>➤ pedestrian paths from cabins to the refuge building/s are provided and clearly signposted</li> </ul>   |
| ACCESS ROAD CAPACITY  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The capacity of access roads is adequate for firefighting vehicles</li> </ul>                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ the capacity of road surfaces and any bridges/causeways is sufficient to carry fully loaded firefighting vehicles (up to 23 tonnes); bridges and causeways are to clearly indicate load rating</li> </ul>  |
| ACCESS TO WATER       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ There is appropriate access to water supply</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ hydrants are located outside of parking reserves and road carriageways to ensure accessibility to reticulated water for fire suppression, and</li> <li>➤ hydrants are provided in accordance with AS 2419.1:2005</li> <li>➤ there is suitable access for a Category 1 fire appliance to within 4m of the static water supply where no reticulated supply is available</li> </ul> |

**Table 6.4b** *Continued*

|                     | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|---------------------|---|--|
|                     | The intent may be achieved where:   |  |
| PERIMETER ROADS     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Perimeter access roads are designed to allow safe access and egress for medium rigid firefighting vehicles while occupants are evacuating as well as providing a safe operational environment for emergency service personnel during firefighting and emergency management on the interface</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ there are two-way sealed roads, and</li> <li>➤ 8m carriageway width kerb to kerb, and</li> <li>➤ parking is provided outside of the carriageway width, and</li> <li>➤ hydrants are to be located clear of parking areas, and</li> <li>➤ there are through roads, and these are linked to the internal road system at an interval of no greater than 500m, and</li> <li>➤ curves of roads have a minimum inner radius of 6m, and</li> <li>➤ the maximum grade road is 15° and average grade is 10°, and</li> <li>➤ the road crossfall does not exceed 3°, and</li> <li>➤ a minimum vertical clearance of 4m to any overhanging obstructions, including tree branches, is provided</li> </ul> |
| NON-PERIMETER ROADS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Non-perimeter access roads are designed to allow safe access and egress for medium rigid firefighting vehicles while occupants are evacuating</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ minimum 5.5m width kerb to kerb, and</li> <li>➤ parking is provided outside of the carriageway width, and</li> <li>➤ hydrants are located clear of parking areas, and</li> <li>➤ there are through roads, and these are linked to the internal road system at an interval of no greater than 500m, and</li> <li>➤ curves of roads have a minimum inner radius of 6m, and</li> <li>➤ The maximum grade road is 15° and average grade is 10°, and</li> <li>➤ the road crossfall does not exceed 3°, and</li> <li>➤ a minimum vertical clearance of 4m to any overhanging obstructions, including tree branches, is provided.</li> </ul>   |

### 6.4.3 Services – Water, gas and electricity

**Intent of measures:** to provide adequate services of water for the protection of buildings during and after the passage of a bush fire, and to locate gas and electricity so as not to contribute to the risk of fire to a building.

**Table 6.4c**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for water, electricity and gas services for SFPP development

|              | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|--------------|--|--|
|              | The intent may be achieved where:  |  |
|              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ A water supply is provided for firefighting purposes</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ reticulated water is to be provided to the development, where available, or</li> <li>➤ a 10,000 litres minimum static water supply dedicated for firefighting purposes is provided for each occupied building where no reticulated water is available.</li> </ul>   |
|              | <b>VARIATIONS</b>  |  |
|              | <p><b>Caravan and camping grounds:</b> a water supply for firefighting purposes is installed and maintained</p> <p><b>Primitive camping:</b> a water supply for firefighting purposes is installed</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ either a reticulated water supply is provided or a 10,000 litres minimum water supply on site.</li> </ul>   |
|              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ water supplies are located at regular intervals</li> <li>➤ the water supply is accessible and reliable for firefighting operations</li> </ul>                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ fire hydrant spacing, design and sizing comply with the Australian Standard AS2419.1:2005, and</li> <li>➤ hydrants are not located within any road carriageway, and</li> <li>➤ reticulated water supply to SFPPs uses a ring main system for areas with perimeter roads, and</li> </ul>   |
| WATER SUPPLY | ➤ flows and pressure are appropriate   | ➤ fire hydrant flows and pressures comply with AS2419:2005, and  |
|              | ➤ the integrity of the water supply is maintained  | ➤ all above-ground water service pipes external to the building are metal, including and up to any taps, and   |
|              | ➤ a static water supply is provided for firefighting purposes in areas where reticulated water is not available  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a connection for firefighting purposes is located within the IPA or non hazard side and away from the structure; a 65mm Storz outlet with a ball valve is fitted to the outlet, and</li> <li>➤ ball valve and pipes are adequate for water flow and are metal, and</li> <li>➤ supply pipes from tank to ball valve have the same bore size to ensure flow volume, and</li> <li>➤ underground tanks have an access hole of 200mm to allow tankers to refill direct from the tank, and</li> <li>➤ a hardened ground surface for truck access is supplied within 4m of the access hole, and</li> <li>➤ above-ground tanks are manufactured from concrete or metal, and</li> <li>➤ raised tanks have their stands constructed from non-combustible material or bush fire-resisting timber (see Appendix F AS 3959), and</li> <li>➤ unobstructed access can be provided at all times, and</li> <li>➤ tanks on the hazard side of a building are provided with adequate shielding for the protection of firefighters, and</li> <li>➤ underground tanks are clearly marked, and</li> </ul> |

**Table 6.4c** *Continued*

|                          | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|--------------------------|---|---|
|                          | The intent may be achieved where:   |   |
|                          | <i>(continued from previous page)</i>   |   |
| FOR WATER SUPPLIES       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a static water supply is provided for firefighting purposes in areas where reticulated water is not available</li> </ul>           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ all exposed water pipes external to the building are metal, including any fittings, and</li> <li>➤ where pumps are provided, they are a minimum 5hp or 3kW petrol or diesel-powered pump, and are shielded against bush fire attack; any hose and reel for firefighting connected to the pump shall be 19mm (internal diameter), and</li> <li>➤ fire hose reels are constructed in accordance with AS/NZS 1221:1997 Fire hose reels, and installed in accordance with AS 2441:2005 Installation of fire hose reels</li> </ul>  |
| FOR ELECTRICITY SERVICES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ location of electricity services limits the possibility of ignition of surrounding bush land or the fabric of buildings</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ where practicable, electrical transmission lines are underground, and</li> <li>➤ where overhead, electrical transmission lines are proposed as follows:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ lines are installed with short pole spacing (30m), unless crossing gullies, gorges or riparian areas, and</li> <li>➤ no part of a tree is closer to a power line than the distance set out in accordance with the specifications in ISSC3 Guideline for Managing Vegetation Near Power Lines</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |
| FOR GAS SERVICES         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ location and design of gas services will not lead to ignition of surrounding bushland or the fabric of buildings</li> </ul>        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ reticulated or bottled gas is installed and maintained in accordance with AS/NZS 1596:2014 and the requirements of relevant authorities, and metal piping is used, and</li> <li>➤ all fixed gas cylinders are kept clear of all flammable materials to a distance of 10m and shielded on the hazard side, and</li> <li>➤ connections to and from gas cylinders are metal, and</li> <li>➤ if gas cylinders need to be kept close to the building, safety valves are directed away from the building and at least 2m away from any combustible material, so they do not act as a catalyst to combustion, and</li> <li>➤ polymer-sheathed flexible gas supply lines to gas meters adjacent to buildings are not used, and</li> <li>➤ above-ground gas service pipes external to the building are metal, including and up to any outlets.</li> </ul> |

#### 6.4.4 Emergency management planning

**Intent of measures:** to provide suitable emergency and evacuation arrangements for occupants of SFPP developments.

**Table 6.4d**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for emergency management plans for SFPP development

|   | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|---|---|--|
|   | The intent may be achieved where:   |  |
| EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ A bush fire emergency and evacuation management plan is prepared</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ bush fire emergency management and evacuation plan is prepared consistent with the:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The NSW RFS document: <i>A Guide to Developing a Bush Fire Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan</i>,</li> <li>➤ NSW RFS Schools Program Guide (where applicable)</li> <li>➤ Australian Standard AS 3745:2010 <i>Planning for emergencies in facilities</i>, and</li> <li>➤ Australian Standard AS 4083:2010 <i>Planning for emergencies - Health care facilities</i> (where applicable), and</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ The emergency and evacuation management plan should include a mechanism for the early relocation of occupants.</li> </ul> <p>Note: A copy of the bush fire emergency management plan should be provided to the Local Emergency Management Committee for its information prior to occupation of the development.</p>  |
|   | <b>VARIATIONS</b>   |  |
|   | <p><b>Caravan and camping grounds:</b> a bush fire emergency and evacuation management plan is prepared.</p> <p><b>Primitive camping:</b> a bush fire emergency and evacuation management plan is prepared</p> <p><b>Ecotourism:</b> a bush fire emergency and evacuation management plan is prepared</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a bush fire emergency management and evacuation plan is prepared consistent with the NSW RFS document: <i>A Guide to Developing a Bush Fire Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan</i>, and the Australian Standard AS 3745:2010 <i>Planning for emergencies in facilities</i>, and</li> <li>➤ for proposals in isolated or remote areas which involve large travel distances through bush fire prone vegetation, the following issues should also be determined and addressed:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ the amount of travel likely to be generated during an emergency evacuation</li> <li>➤ the capacity of the broader road network to facilitate safe emergency evacuation</li> <li>➤ limitations/constraints inherent in the road system</li> <li>➤ management of potential traffic conflicts (such as emergency vehicles versus evacuating members of the public), and</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ the emergency management and evacuation plan should include a mechanism for the early relocation of occupants on days when adverse fire weather is notified or adverse fire activity occurs in the local government area in which the development operates.</li> </ul> <p>Note: A copy of the bush fire emergency management and evacuation plan shall be provided to the Local Emergency Management Committee for its information prior to occupation of the development.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Stable management arrangements are established for consultation and implementation of the bush fire emergency and evacuation management plan.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ an Emergency Planning Committee is established to consult with residents (and their families in the case of aged care accommodation and schools) and staff in developing and implementing an Emergency Procedures Manual, and</li> <li>➤ detailed plans of all emergency assembly areas including 'on-site' and 'off-site' arrangements as stated in AS 3745 are clearly displayed, and an annual (as a minimum) trial emergency evacuation is conducted.</li> </ul> |  |

## 6.5 Development of existing SFPP facilities

In circumstances where new building projects within existing SFPP developments are proposed, an appropriate combination of BPMs and compliance with the performance criteria within Tables 6.4a to 6.4d is required.

This will involve the BFDB process where relevant stakeholders agree on the basis for any assessment and measures that will result in a better bush fire outcome for the proposal. The NSW RFS should be consulted early in the design stage. Refer to Appendix 2 for more detailed information on the BFDB process.

Proposals that involve internal alterations only are not subject to any specific requirements unless the proposal results in a change of use or repurpose and/or results in an increase in occupants.

Where an assembly point or refuge is identified for the purposes of evacuation of the facility, this building should meet the performance criteria for APZs and construction for SFPP development as detailed in Table 6.4a.

The intention is for any building work occurring within an existing SFPP development is to achieve a better bush fire outcome (such as improved construction standards, APZs and evacuation management) than if the development did not proceed. This may result in a level of retrofitting of existing buildings and managing other portions of the site (i.e. APZs) to ensure an improved level of bush fire protection.

Any works that result in intensification or increase in occupancy must carefully consider the risk to occupants and firefighters and ensure an appropriate combination of BPMs.

Existing SFPP facilities constructed without the benefit of current bush fire requirements should consider, as a minimum, the identification of a designated safe refuge building to accommodate all occupants. The safe refuge should provide a radiant heat threshold of no greater than 10kW/m<sup>2</sup> and a minimum BAL-12.5 construction.

Existing services such as water supplies and access may also require upgrading. Existing structures located within an APZ will be problematic for a new building due to the potential risk of building to building ignition. Where this occurs, a performance-based solution will be required to provide a safer outcome.

A bush fire emergency management plan that is consistent with the NSW RFS publication: *A Guide to Developing a Bush Fire Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan*, and the Australian Standard AS 3745:2010 Planning for emergencies in facilities will be required to be prepared for the existing facility.

The specific objectives that apply to SFPP infill development are as follows:

- provide an appropriate defensible space;
- site the building in a location which ensures appropriate separation from the hazard to minimise potential for material ignition;
- provide a better bush fire protection outcome for existing buildings;
- new buildings should be located as far from the hazard as possible and should not be extended towards or situated closer to the hazard than the existing buildings;
- ensure there is no increase in bush fire management and maintenance responsibility on adjoining land owners without their written confirmation;
- ensure building design and construction enhances the chances of occupant and building survival; and
- provide for safe emergency evacuation procedures including capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads).

### 6.5.1 Minor development in SFPP facilities

Minor development includes the following:

- Flag poles
- Aerials and antennas
- Satellite dishes
- Paved areas
- Earth works and draining
- Development where no part of the building envelope is less than 100m from a bush fire hazard
- Class 10a and 10b structures located further than 6m from a habitable building
- Minor non-structural building alterations (external) such as the following:
  - painting, plastering, cement rendering, cladding, attaching fittings or decorative work
  - the replacement of an external window, glazing areas or a door (however, the opening and/or external glazed area of the window or door must not be increased in size)
  - the repair to or replacement of a non-structural wall or roof cladding
  - the installation of a security screen or grill to a door or window or a security door
  - the repair to or replacement of a balustrade
  - re-stumping or repairing structure foundations without increasing the height of the structure
- Internal works (see the *Rural Fires Regulation 2013* for exclusions).

The development types listed above do not have any influence on potential bush fire impacts and the bush fire protection of the building would not be reduced. For this reason, these uses are not considered SFPP for the purposes of the RFA 1997. A BFSA will not be required for the development types listed above and a referral to the NSW RFS is not required for approval. Wherever applicable, the building elements concerned will need to comply with the requirements of AS 3959 or NASH under the NCC.

### 6.5.2 Alpine resorts

Alpine resorts are located within the Kosciuszko National Park and include:

- Thredbo
- Perisher – including Perisher, Smiggin Holes, Mount Blue Cow and Guthega
- Charlotte Pass
- Selwyn Snow Resort
- Ski Rider Hotel
- Kosciuszko Tourist Park
- Sponars Chalet
- Bullocks Flat Terminal.

The alpine resorts are located within the unique and environmentally significant setting of the Kosciuszko National Park. The alpine resort areas are predominantly used for short-term tourist accommodation and are considered to be SFPP development (including section 6.5.1). Much of the existing building stock has not been constructed to current requirements for development in a bush fire prone area.

Leasehold arrangements combined with conflicting land management objectives present challenges in achieving APZ's for SFPP developments in the alpine areas.

The specific objectives that apply to SFPP infill development in the alpine resort areas are as follows:

- provide an appropriate defensible space;
- provide a better bush fire protection outcome for existing building structures (e.g. via ember protection measures for the existing building);
- ensure new building work complies with the construction standards set out in AS 3959;
- to ensure ongoing management and maintenance responsibilities are provided for where APZs are proposed outside of the sub lease or leasehold area,
  - written consent from the land managers is provided for all proposed works outside of the sub lease or leasehold area; and
  - proposed APZs outside of the sub lease or leasehold area are supported by a suitable legal mechanism to ensure APZs are managed under a binding legal agreement in perpetuity.
- ensure building design and construction standards enhance the chances of occupant and building survival; and
- provide safe emergency evacuation procedures.



BPMs (e.g. ember upgrade) additional to construction standards for new works should be commensurate with the following;

1. The scope of the proposed works, including any increase in size and footprint of the building;
2. Any additional capacity for the accommodation of guests and/or staff on site; and
3. The costs associated with the proposed ember upgrade for the entire building would negatively impact on the likelihood of the proposed building works proceeding.

The NSW RFS has an expectation that a better bush fire outcome is achieved where new development is proposed in association with existing facilities.

As the bulk of existing structures in alpine areas are not constructed to appropriate bush fire standards, longer term plans should be developed to ensure ember upgrade works can be completed for these vulnerable and sensitive areas over a reasonable period of time.

### **6.5.3 SFPP developments in grassland areas**

The requirement for SFPP development in PBP is based on providing an appropriate operational environment for emergency service personnel during firefighting and emergency management. Firefighter safety is commonly measured by ensuring that no second degree burn occurs during exposure to radiant heat (Butler and Cohen, 1998; Raj, 2008; Ackerman, 2010).

The APZ values given for grassland vegetation in Appendix 1 are based on this threshold value.

A Grassland Fire Danger Index (GFDI) has been used to calculate APZ distances for SFPP development in grassland hazard areas. The APZ values for SFPP development in grassland hazard areas are shown in Table A1.12.1 in Appendix 1.





# 7 RESIDENTIAL INFILL DEVELOPMENT



Residential infill development refers to the development of land by the erection of, alteration or addition to, a dwelling which does not require the spatial extension of services including public roads, electricity, water or sewerage and is within an existing lot.

## 7.1 Introduction

In most cases, infill development proposals will be constrained by:

- existing lot size;
- existing subdivision patterns;
- existing access and water provisions; and
- existing built forms surrounding the subject site.

The requirement to address BPMs for new development was introduced on 1 August 2002. Development approved before this time may not provide BPMs in accordance with this document and it may be a challenge to apply BPMs retrospectively.

Under s4.14 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act), all development on BFPL must comply with PBP. The consent authority must be satisfied that the development conforms to PBP; if not they must consult with the Commissioner of the NSW RFS. These developments will be considered on their individual merits and in accordance with the intent and performance criteria for infill development.

For other types of residential development, including dual occupancy, granny flats and multi-unit residential developments, please refer to Chapter 8.

## 7.2 Specific objectives

Proposals for infill development are to:

- provide a defensible space to enable unimpeded access for firefighting around all elevations of the building;
- provide better bush fire outcomes on a redevelopment site than currently exists, commensurate with the scale of works proposed;
- design and construct buildings commensurate with the bush fire risk;
- provide access, services and landscaping to aid firefighting operations;
- not impose an increased bush fire management and maintenance responsibility on adjoining land owners; and
- increase the level of bush fire protection to existing dwellings based on the scale of the proposed work and level of potential risk.

## 7.3 Home-based occupations

Home-based occupations often occur within existing dwellings. Some home-based occupations include more occupants that are less familiar with their surroundings and may require increased protection.

### 7.3.1 Home-based child care

Home-based child care is excluded from the definition of a child care facility under the Standard Instrument—Principal LEP. These facilities have specific requirements, as they have some distinct differences to SFPP developments:

- They have an established limited number of occupants;
- They are required to submit evacuation information prior to licensing, which details the procedures for safe evacuation of all occupants during an emergency;
- At least one person on-site is a resident and should therefore be aware of the bush fire risk and evacuation procedure;
- There is a different ratio of staff to occupants than most SFPPs; and
- They are covered under clause 30 of SEPP (Educational Establishments and Childcare Facilities) 2017 which requires them to meet certain standards including the submission of an emergency management and evacuation plan, access to a public road and access to water supply in order to be complying development.

### 7.3.2 Short-term rental accommodation

Short-term rental accommodation is considered a residential use occurring within a dwelling, or part of a dwelling, that provides short-term accommodation. It is not tourist accommodation, or a hotel/motel, which is a more intensive commercial type of use. Bush fire protection measures for residential dwellings are based on an assumption that occupants have an awareness of their surroundings and the potential bush fire risk. STRA does not necessarily have a resident on-site so the awareness of occupants of their bush fire risk cannot be assumed. Higher standards for emergency management are therefore required along with reduced radiant heat levels and a minimum level of ember protection.

## 7.4 Bush fire protection measures

The BPMs for residential infill development include provisions relating to APZs, access, water supply, electricity and gas services, construction standards, landscaping and emergency management.

**Intent of measures:** to minimise the risk of bush fire attack and provide protection for emergency services personnel, residents and others assisting firefighting activities.

**Table 7.4a**

Performance criteria and acceptable solutions for residential infill development

|                        | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|------------------------|---|--|
|                        | The intent may be achieved where:   |  |
| ASSET PROTECTION ZONES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a defensible space is provided on site (with additional emphasis on other BPMs)</li> </ul>         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ an APZ is provided in accordance with Appendix 1 (Tables A1.12.2 or A1.12.4)</li> </ul>                               |
|                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ APZs are managed and maintained to prevent the spread of a fire towards the building</li> </ul>    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ APZs are managed in accordance with the requirements of 'Asset protection zone standards' (see Appendix 4)</li> </ul> |
|                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ the APZ is provided in perpetuity</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ APZs are wholly within the boundaries of the development site</li> </ul>  |
|                        | <p><b>Home-based child care:</b> the building will not be exposed to radiant heat levels exceeding 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> (1090K)</p>           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ an APZ is provided in accordance with Table A1.12.2 or A1.12.4 in Appendix 1</li> </ul>                               |
|                        | <p><b>Short-term rental accommodation:</b> the building will not be exposed to radiant heat levels exceeding 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> (1090K)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ an APZ is provided in accordance with Table A1.12.2 or A1.12.4 in Appendix 1</li> </ul>                               |

**Table 7.4a** *Continued*

|                     | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|---------------------|--|--|
|                     | The intent may be achieved where:  |  |
| ACCESS REQUIREMENTS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› firefighting vehicles are provided with safe, all-weather access to structures and hazard vegetation</li> </ul>       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› property access roads are two-wheel drive, all-weather roads</li> </ul>   |
|                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› the capacity of access roads is adequate for firefighting vehicles</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› the capacity of road surfaces and any bridges/causeways is sufficient to carry fully loaded firefighting vehicles (up to 23 tonnes); bridges and causeways are to clearly indicate load rating, and</li> <li>› property access to private dwellings have passing bays every 200m that are 20m long by 3m wide, making a minimum trafficable width of 6m at the passing bay</li> </ul>   |
|                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› there is appropriate access to water supply</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› hydrants are provided in accordance with AS 2419.1:2005</li> <li>› There is suitable access for a Category 1 fire appliance to within 4m of the static water supply where no reticulated supply is available</li> </ul>   |
|                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Firefighting vehicles can access the dwelling and exit safely</li> </ul>  | <p>No specific access requirements apply in areas where firefighting can occur directly from the hydrant in accordance with AS 2419. In circumstances where this cannot occur, the following requirements apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› minimum carriageway width of 4m</li> <li>› in forest, woodland and heath situations, rural property roads have passing bays every 200m that are 20m long by 2m wide, making a minimum trafficable width of 6m, at the passing bay</li> </ul>   |
|                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› firefighting vehicles can access the dwelling and exit safely</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› a minimum vertical clearance of 4m to any overhanging obstructions, including tree branches, and</li> <li>› property access must provide a suitable turning area in accordance with Appendix 3, and</li> <li>› curves have a minimum inner radius of 6m and are minimal in number to allow for rapid access and egress</li> </ul>   |
| ACCESS REQUIREMENTS | <p><i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› firefighting vehicles can access the dwelling and exit safely</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› the minimum distance between inner and outer curves is 6m, and</li> <li>› the crossfall is not more than 10°, and</li> <li>› maximum grades for sealed roads do not exceed 15° and not more than 10° for unsealed roads, and</li> <li>› a development comprising more than three dwellings has formalised access by dedication of a road and not by right of way.</li> </ul> <p>Note: Some short constrictions in the access may be accepted where they are not less than the minimum (3.5m), extend for no more than 30m and where the obstruction cannot be reasonably avoided or removed. The gradients applicable to public roads also apply to community style development property access roads in addition to the above.</p> |

|                | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|----------------|--|--|
|                | The intent may be achieved where:  |  |
| WATER SUPPLIES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a water supply is provided for firefighting purposes</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ reticulated water is to be provided to the development, where available</li> <li>➤ a static water supply is provided where no reticulated water is available</li> </ul>   |
|                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ water supplies are located at regular intervals</li> <li>➤ the water supply is accessible and reliable for firefighting operations</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ fire hydrant spacing, design and sizing comply with the Australian Standard AS 2419.1:2005, and</li> <li>➤ hydrants are not located within any road carriageway, and</li> <li>➤ reticulated water supply to urban subdivisions uses a ring main system for areas with perimeter roads</li> </ul>  |
|                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ flows and pressure are appropriate</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ fire hydrant flows and pressures comply with Table 2.2 of AS 2419.1:2005</li> </ul>   |
|                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ the integrity of the water supply is maintained</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ all above-ground water service pipes external to the building are metal, including and up to any taps</li> </ul>  |
|                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a static water supply is provided for firefighting purposes in areas where reticulated water is not available</li> </ul>                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ where no reticulated water supply is available, water for firefighting purposes is provided in accordance with Table 5.3d, and</li> <li>➤ a connection for firefighting purposes is located within the IPA or non-hazard side and away from the structure; 65mm Storz outlet with a ball valve is fitted to the outlet, and</li> <li>➤ ball valve and pipes are adequate for water flow and are metal, and</li> <li>➤ supply pipes from tank to ball valve have the same bore size to ensure flow volume, and</li> <li>➤ underground tanks have an access hole of 200mm to allow tankers to refill direct from the tank, and</li> <li>➤ a hardened ground surface for truck access is supplied within 4m, and</li> <li>➤ above-ground tanks are manufactured from concrete or metal, and</li> <li>➤ raised tanks have their stands constructed from non-combustible material or bush fire-resisting timber (see Appendix F AS 3959), and</li> <li>➤ unobstructed access can be provided at all times, and</li> <li>➤ underground tanks are clearly marked, and</li> </ul> |

**Table 7.4a** *Continued*

|                        | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|------------------------|--|--|
|                        | The intent may be achieved where:  |  |
| WATER SUPPLIES         | <p><i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a static water supply is provided for firefighting purposes in areas where reticulated water is not available</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ tanks on the hazard side of a building are provided with adequate shielding for the protection of firefighters, and</li> <li>➤ all exposed water pipes external to the building are metal, including any fittings, and</li> <li>➤ where pumps are provided, they are a minimum 5hp or 3kW petrol or diesel-powered pump, and are shielded against bush fire attack; any hose and reel for firefighting connected to the pump shall be 19mm (internal diameter), and</li> <li>➤ fire hose reels are constructed in accordance with AS/NZS 1221:1997 Fire hose reels, and installed in accordance with AS 2441:2005 Installation of fire hose reels</li> </ul>  |
|                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ the proposed building can withstand bush fire attack in the form of embers, radiant heat and flame contact</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ BAL is determined in accordance with Tables A1.12.5 to A1.12.6</li> <li>➤ Construction provided in accordance with the Building Code of Australia and as modified by Table 7.4b and section 7.5.2 (please see advice on construction in the flame zone below)</li> </ul>  |
| CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ proposed fences and gates are designed to minimise the spread of bush fire</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ fencing and gates are constructed in accordance with section 7.8</li> </ul>   |
|                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ proposed Class 10a buildings are designed to minimise the spread of bush fire.</li> </ul> <p><b>Home-based child care:</b> the building will not be exposed to radiant heat levels exceeding 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> (1090K)</p> <p><b>Short-term rental accommodation:</b> the building will not be exposed to radiant heat levels exceeding 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> (1090K)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ an APZ is provided in accordance with Table A1.12.2 or A1.12.4 in Appendix 1 of this document around the entire building or structure</li> <li>➤ The existing dwelling is required to be upgraded to improve ember protection. This is to be achieved by enclosing or covering openings with a corrosion-resistant steel, bronze or aluminium mesh with a maximum aperture of 2mm. Where applicable this includes, the openable portion of the windows, vents, weepholes and eaves, but does not include roof tile spaces. Weather strips, draught excluders or draught seals shall be installed at the base of side hung external doors. The subfloor space is required to be enclosed.</li> </ul> |
| LANDSCAPING            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ landscaping is designed and managed to minimise flame contact and radiant heat to buildings, and the potential for wind-driven embers to cause ignitions.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ compliance with the NSW RFS 'Asset protection zone standards' (see Appendix 4).</li> <li>➤ a clear area of low-cut lawn or pavement is maintained adjacent to the house</li> <li>➤ fencing and retaining walls are constructed in accordance with Section 7.8</li> <li>➤ trees and shrubs are planted such that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ the branches will not overhang the roof</li> <li>➤ the tree canopy is not continuous</li> <li>➤ if proposed, a windbreak, is located on the elevation from which fires are likely to approach.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |

|                      | PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|----------------------|---|---|
|                      | The intent may be achieved where:   |   |
| EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT | <b>Short-term rental accommodation:</b> an emergency and evacuation management plan is prepared and made available to all occupants of the building | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ an Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan is prepared consistent with the NSW RFS publication: <i>A Guide to Developing a Bush Fire Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan</i>.</li> <li>➤ Emergency management requirements and procedures must be clearly displayed within the property to ensure current occupants are aware of the bush fire risk</li> </ul> |
|                      | <b>Home-based child care:</b> a bush fire emergency and evacuation management plan is prepared  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ a bush fire emergency management and evacuation plan is prepared by the operator consistent with the NSW RFS publication: <i>A Guide to Developing a Bush Fire Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan</i>, and the Australian Standard AS 3745:2010 <i>Planning for emergencies in facilities</i></li> </ul>   |

Note: the above specifications and requirements apply in relation to residential infill developments but may be used to guide the application of BPMs for 'other' developments (see Chapter 8).

## 7.5 Additional construction requirements

To ensure the performance criteria for construction standards given in Table 7.4a can be met, PBP adopts a suite of additional measures over and above AS 3959:2009 and the NASH Standard as follows:

- construction measures for ember protection at the lower bush fire attack levels (BAL-12.5 and BAL-19) provided by AS 3959;
- ember protection for all openings found within a residential dwelling constructed using the NASH Standard;
- construction measures for development in the Flame Zone; and
- requirements over and above the performance criteria contained within AS 1530.8.1 and AS 1530.8.2 apply in regards to flaming.

### 7.5.1 Ember protection

Based on a review of AS 3959 and through the findings from the 2009 Victorian Bushfires Royal Commission, PBP aims to maintain the safety levels previously provided by AS 3959:1999 in relation to ember protection at lower bush fire attack levels.

In particular, the areas addressed are in relation to:

- sarking
- subfloor screening
- floors
- verandas, decks, steps, ramps and landings
- timber support posts and beams
- fascia and bargeboards.

The NASH Standard does not incorporate provisions for protecting the roof space from ember attack.

For buildings complying with the NASH standard, all gaps in roofing assemblies shall be limited to 2mm unless protected with ember guards made of non-combustible materials, a mesh or perforated sheet with a maximum aperture of 2mm made of corrosion-resistant steel or bronze, or protected with mineral wool or other non-combustible material. This is intended to prevent embers from spreading into the roof space.

Table 7.4b (below) provides the acceptable solution construction levels for each of the areas listed above in NSW.

**Table 7.4b**

Additional construction requirements at lower bush fire attack levels for residential infill development.

| PERFORMANCE CRITERIA     |                 | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Applied to BAL level     | AS 3959 clause  | Replaced with  |
| <b>SARKING</b>           |                 |  |
| 12.5<br>19<br>29<br>40   | N/A             | Any sarking used for BAL-12.5, BAL-19, BAL-29 or BAL-40 shall be: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Non-combustible; or</li> <li>b. Breather-type sarking complying with AS/NZS 4200.1 and with a flammability index of not more than 5 (see AS 1530.2) and sarked on the outside of the frame; or</li> <li>c. An insulation material conforming to the appropriate Australian Standard for that material.</li> </ol>  |
| <b>SUBFLOOR SUPPORTS</b> |                 |  |
| 12.5<br>19               | 5.2 and 6.2     | <p>Clause 7.2 of AS 3959:</p> <p><b>7.2 SUBFLOOR SUPPORTS</b></p> <p>AS 3959 does not provide construction requirements for subfloor supports where the subfloor space is enclosed with:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. a wall that complies with AS 3959 Clause 5.4 or 6.4 as appropriate; or</li> <li>b. a mesh or perforated sheet with a maximum aperture of 2mm, made of corrosion resistant steel, bronze or aluminium; or</li> <li>c. a combination of Items (a) and (b) above.</li> </ol> <p>Where the subfloor space is unenclosed, the support posts, columns, stumps, piers and poles shall be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. of non-combustible material; or</li> <li>ii. of bush fire-resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS 3959); or</li> <li>iii. a combination of items (i) and (ii) above.</li> </ol> |
| <b>ELEVATED FLOORS</b>   |                 |  |
| 12.5<br>19               | 5.3.2 and 6.3.2 | <p>Clause 7.3.2 AS 3959</p> <p><b>7.3.2 ELEVATED FLOORS</b></p> <p><b>7.3.2.1 Enclosed subfloor space</b></p> <p>This Standard does not provide construction requirements for elevated floors, including bearers, joists and flooring, where the subfloor space is enclosed with:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. a wall that complies with AS 3959 Clause 5.4 or 6.4 as appropriate; or</li> <li>b. a mesh or perforated sheet with a maximum aperture of 2mm, made of corrosion resistant steel, bronze or aluminium; or</li> <li>c. a combination of Items (a) and (b) above.</li> </ol>  |

| PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  |                | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|---|----------------|---|
| Applied to BAL level  | AS 3959 clause | Replaced with   |
|   |                | <p><i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p><b>7.3.2.2 Unenclosed subfloor space</b></p> <p>Where the subfloor space is unenclosed, the bearers, joists and flooring, less than 400mm above finished ground level, shall be one of the following:</p> <p>a. Materials that comply with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Bearers and joists shall be— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. non-combustible; or</li> <li>B. bush fire-resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS3959); or</li> <li>C. a combination of Items (A) and (B) above.</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii. Flooring shall be— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. non-combustible; or</li> <li>B. bush fire-resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS3959); or</li> <li>C. timber (other than bush fire-resisting timber), particleboard or plywood flooring where the underside is lined with sarking-type material or mineral wool insulation; or</li> <li>D. a combination of any of Items (A), (B) or (C) above. Or</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>b. A system complying with AS 1530.8.1. This Standard does not provide construction requirements for elements of elevated floors, including bearers, joists and flooring, if the underside of the element is 400mm or more above finished ground level.</p> |
| <b>VERANDAS, DECKS, STEPS, RAMPS AND LANDINGS (load bearing and non-load bearing)</b> |                |   |
| 12.5<br>19  | 5.7 and 6.7    | <p>Clause 7.7 AS 3959:</p> <p><b>7.7 VERANDAS, DECKS, STEPS, RAMPS AND LANDINGS</b></p> <p><b>7.7.1 General</b></p> <p>Decking may be spaced.</p> <p>There is no requirement to enclose the subfloor spaces of verandas, decks, steps, ramps or landings.</p> <p><b>7.7.2 Enclosed subfloor spaces of verandas, decks, steps, ramps and landings</b></p> <p><b>7.7.2.1 Materials to enclose a subfloor space</b></p> <p>The subfloor spaces of verandas, decks, steps, ramps and landings are considered to be ‘enclosed’ when:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. the material used to enclose the subfloor space complies with AS 3959 Clause 5.4 or 6.4 (as appropriate); and</li> <li>b. all openings are screened with a mesh or perforated sheet with a maximum aperture of 2mm, made of corrosion-resistant steel, bronze or aluminium.</li> </ul> <p><b>7.7.2.2 Supports</b></p> <p>This Standard does not provide construction requirements for support posts, columns, stumps, stringers, piers and poles.</p> <p><b>7.7.2.3 Framing</b></p> <p>This Standard does not provide construction requirements for the framing of verandas, decks, ramps or landings (ie, bearers and joists).</p>   |
| <b>VERANDAS, DECKS, STEPS, RAMPS AND LANDINGS (load bearing and non-load bearing)</b> |                |   |

| PERFORMANCE CRITERIA                    |                | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS  |
|---|----------------|---|
| Applied to BAL level                    | AS 3959 clause | Replaced with   |
| 12.5<br>19                              | 5.7 and 6.7    | <p><b>7.7.2.4 Decking, stair treads and the trafficable surfaces of ramps and landings</b></p> <p>Decking, stair treads and the trafficable surfaces of ramps and landings shall be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. of non-combustible material; or</li> <li>b. of bush fire resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS3959); or</li> <li>c. a combination of Items (a) and (b) above.</li> </ul> <p><b>7.7.3 Unenclosed subfloor spaces of verandas, decks, steps, ramps and landings</b></p> <p><b>7.7.3.1 Supports</b></p> <p>Support posts, columns, stumps, stringers, piers and poles shall be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. of non-combustible material; or</li> <li>b. of bush fire resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS3959); or</li> <li>c. a combination of items (a) and (b) above.</li> </ul> <p><b>7.7.3.2 Framing</b></p> <p>Framing of verandas, decks, ramps or landings (i.e., bearers and joists) shall be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. of non-combustible material; or</li> <li>b. of bush fire resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS3959); or</li> <li>c. a combination of Items (a) and (b) above.</li> </ul> <p><b>7.7.3.3 Decking, stair treads and the trafficable surfaces of ramps and landings</b></p> <p>Decking, stair treads and the trafficable surfaces of ramps and landings shall be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. of non-combustible material; or</li> <li>b. of bush fire resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS3959); or</li> <li>c. a combination of Items (a) and (b) above.</li> </ul> <p><b>7.7.4 Balustrades, handrails or other barriers</b></p> <p>Those parts of the handrails and balustrades less than 125mm from any glazing or any combustible wall shall be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. of non-combustible material; or</li> <li>b. bush fire resisting timber (see Appendix F of AS3959); or</li> <li>c. a combination of Items (i) and (ii) above.</li> <li>d. Those parts of the handrails and balustrades that are 125mm or more from the building have no requirements.</li> </ul> |
| <b>TIMBER SUPPORTS, POSTS AND BEAMS</b> |                |   |
| 12.5<br>19                              | N/A            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. non combustible material, or</li> <li>b. a timber species identified in Paragraph E1, Appendix E of AS 3959; or</li> <li>c. bush fire resisting timber identified in Appendix F of AS 3959, or</li> <li>d. timber logs of a species with a density of 680kg/m<sup>3</sup> or greater at a 12% moisture content; of a minimum nominal thickness of 90mm and a minimum thickness of 70mm and gauge planed; or</li> <li>e. a combination of a), b), c) or d)</li> </ul>  |
| 29                                      | N/A            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. non combustible material, or</li> <li>b. bush fire resisting timber identified in Appendix F of AS 3959, or</li> <li>c. a combination of a) and b)</li> </ul>   |

| PERFORMANCE CRITERIA   |                 | ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS   |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Applied to BAL level   | AS 3959 clause  | Replaced with  |
| 40                     | N/A             | a. Non combustible,<br>b. comply with AS 1530.8.1:2007,<br>c. combination of a) and b)   |
| FZ                     | N/A             | a. Non combustible; or<br>b. comply with AS 1530.8.2:2007, or<br>c. combination of a) and b)   |
| FASCIA AND BARGEBOARDS |                 |  |
| 40 and FZ              | 8.6.6 and 9.6.4 | Comply with the requirements of 8.4.1 and 9.4.1 of AS 3959 respectively.<br><br>NOTE: The requirements for openings still apply to fascia and bargeboards. |

## 7.5.2 Construction in the flame zone

The flame zone is the distance from a bush fire at which there is deemed to be significant potential for sustained flame contact to a building. The flame zone is determined by the calculated distance at which the radiant heat of the design fire exceeds 40kW/m<sup>2</sup> or flame contact is achieved.

The NCC series references AS 3959 and the NASH Standard – *Steel Framed Construction in Bushfire Areas*. The NSW variation to the NCC excludes both AS 3959 and the NASH Standard as an acceptable solution for buildings that are required to be constructed to Bush fire Attack Level Flame Zone (BAL-FZ) as defined in AS 3959.

Although Chapter 9 of AS 3959 and the NASH Standard are excluded, they should still be used as a basis for a performance-based solution demonstrating compliance with the performance requirements of the NCC for construction in the flame zone.

All flame zone developments should be sited and designed to minimise the risk of bush fire attack. Buildings should be designed and sited in accordance with appropriate siting and design principles.

### 7.5.2.1 Flaming

Flaming is the ability of the materials used in construction to ignite before, during or after the passage of a bush fire and to sustain a flame. Materials that allow flaming can be problematic and are not generally supported by the NSW RFS for the following reasons:

- Flaming materials increase the exposure of other elements of construction and adjoining structure to flame contact and associated radiant heat and embers after a bush fire front has passed.

- Flaming materials will potentially increase the exposure of occupants of the building to radiant heat, direct flame contact, smoke and embers after a bush fire front has passed.

This increase in exposure can contribute to the risk of loss of life and compromise the ability of residents to defend their property and egress from the building once the bush fire front has passed.

In addition, it can reduce the capacity of occupants to make safe (and effective) decisions about their safety.

Where there is potential for materials of construction to ignite as a result of bush fire attack, the proposed building solution generally fails the construction performance criteria for residential infill development.

For development which may be subject to flame contact at BAL-40 and BAL-FZ, systems tested in accordance with AS 1530.8.1 and AS 1530.8.2 respectively will be considered, except that there is to be no flaming of the specimen unless:

- Given the minor nature of window frames, their flaming is not considered to compromise the safety of the building, providing the performance criteria of AS1530.8.1 and AS1530.8.2 respectively can be complied with.
- Use of other minor construction elements which allow flaming may be considered contingent upon consultation and approval by the NSW RFS. This will be subject to compliance with the performance criteria of AS1530.8.1 and AS1530.8.2 respectively.
- Flaming of other, more significant elements of the building is considered to pose an additional life safety risk and will not be supported.

## 7.6 Better bush fire outcomes

Where an alteration and addition is proposed to a dwelling built prior to 2002 and the existing building has little or no BPMs incorporated into its design, consideration must be given to upgrading the existing structure.

The new works are required to comply with the NCC but the existing structure and property overall may remain unprotected or inadequately protected. Strict compliance with the NCC for the alteration or addition may not improve this situation. Consideration should be given to whether strict compliance with the NCC is the best outcome for the property as a whole.

Where it is determined that strict compliance with the NCC for the alterations and additions is not the best outcome for the site as a whole, a full performance-based solution should be prepared. The performance-based solution should address the best bush fire protection solution for the entire site and should address the BPMs in combination. The BFDB process may be the most appropriate way of assessing this kind of performance-based solution (refer to Appendix 2).

Where it is not demonstrated and agreed that the proposed performance-based solution is the best outcome for the site as a whole, strict compliance with the NCC will be required.

## 7.7 Determination of the bush fire attack level

The modelling procedure for the assessment of the BAL in PBP uses the input values and the Detailed Method for Determining the Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) - Method 2' in AS 3959 (including functions of the model for flame length, flame width and flame angle) from AS 3959.

The BAL tables in Appendix 1 replace the tables in AS 3959 in NSW.

## 7.8 Fences and gates

Fences and gates in bush fire prone areas may play a significant role in the vulnerability of structures during bush fires. In this regard, all fences in bush fire prone areas should be made of either hardwood or non-combustible material.

However, in circumstances where the fence connects directly to the dwelling or in areas of BAL-29 or greater, they should be made of non-combustible material.

## 7.9 Grassland Deeming Provisions

In recognition of the characteristics of grass fires, the NSW RFS has developed a simplified and fast-tracked approval pathway. This pathway provides a solution through which any development which is located within a grassland hazard area is not subject to the site assessment requirements in Appendix 1.

Where an APZ of 50m can be provided in an area of grassland hazard, no BPMs are required.

Where the deeming provisions cannot be achieved, or a merit-based assessment is desired, the normal assessment procedures can be undertaken.

Due to the nature of grass fires, BAL-19, BAL- 29 and BAL- 40 do not apply in grassland hazard areas in NSW. Developments can be assessed as being in either BAL-12.5 or BAL-FZ only in grassland hazard areas.

Please note that a different FDI value applies to grass fire areas: the GFDI - this is shown in Table 7.9a. These GFDI values have been used to calculate the APZ distances for grassland areas in Appendix 1 and should also be used for relevant performance- based solutions.

**Table 7.9a**

Grassland deeming provisions

| BUSH FIRE PROTECTION MEASURE | DEEMING PROVISION  |
|------------------------------|--|
| APZ                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ an APZ of 20m is provided between the building and the hazard</li><li>➤ the APZ is wholly within the boundaries of the development site.</li><li>➤ the APZ is maintained as a mown area with grass heights less than 100mm</li></ul> |
| Construction                 | Construction in accordance with BAL-12.5 of AS 3959 and Table 7.4b of PBP.   |
| Access                       | Comply with the access provisions in Chapter 5.  |
| Water supply                 | Comply with the water supply provisions in Chapter 5.  |
| Landscaping                  | Comply with the relevant provisions in Appendix 4.   |



## 8 OTHER DEVELOPMENT



**‘Other development’ refers to any type of development that is not covered by Chapters 5 to 7 of this document. This includes commercial uses, industrial uses, tertiary institutions, infrastructure and development which involves large numbers of people.**

## 8.1 Introduction

There are certain developments where special provisions or requirements need to be applied, given the unique features of the development type.

In order to comply with PBP the following conditions must be met:

- satisfy the aim and objectives of PBP outlined in Chapter 1;
- consider any issues listed for the specific purpose for the development (set out in this chapter); and
- propose an appropriate combination of BPMs.

For example, it is important to ensure that a defensible space is provided for the size and scale of the development, that the proposed measures minimise radiant heat levels, and that access and services are adequate.

## 8.2 Other residential development

Residential development (other than single dwellings and subdivisions) which is covered in this section must meet the requirements of Chapter 7.

### 8.2.1 Increased residential densities

In some situations increased densities may not be appropriate having regard to the Strategic Principles, even though zoning has been approved for the proposed use. In these cases, new development is not appropriate. This needs to be considered as part of the development proposal and a Strategic Bush Fire Study and/or BFDB may be requested.

There is an increase in risk and the number of people at risk from bush fire relating to increases in residential densities on existing lots. The presence of additional dwellings can impact on the evacuation and sheltering of residents during a bush fire.

A single parcel of land may not be suitable in terms of bush fire risk for an increase in residential density.

Where a new dwelling or dwellings is/are proposed on existing lots which already contain one or more dwellings, this is considered to be an increase in residential density and can include the following:

- dual occupancy
- multi-dwelling housing
- secondary dwellings
- rural workers dwellings
- boarding houses

This increase in residential density does not necessarily require a subdivision approval. However, the same principles and criteria associated with subdivisions in bush fire prone areas will apply. This includes ensuring an APZ based on a radiant heat threshold of 29kW/m<sup>2</sup> for any new dwellings, along with suitable provision for construction, access, water and landscaping.

Where there is an existing dwelling within the subject site and a second building can otherwise comply with the provisions of this document, it may be necessary to upgrade the existing dwelling to provide:

- ember protection;
- improved water availability;
- suitable access; and
- APZs

### 8.2.2 Multi-storey residential development

Buildings exceeding three storeys in height are considered to be multi-storey buildings. A residential flat building under the meaning within the Standard Instrument LEP is a multi-storey building in the context of PBP.

Multi-storey buildings are required to comply with the performance criteria within Chapter 5. There are additional considerations associated with multi-storey residential buildings and the key issues are as follows:

- **Population** - higher resident densities can pose issues for emergency evacuation.
- **Location** - bush fire impacts can be increased where high rise buildings are located in higher elevations or on ridge tops.
- **Egress** - from the building is more challenging and places an increased demand on road infrastructure during evacuation
- **Construction** - there is a higher external façade surface area that may be exposed to bush fire attack.
  - car and storage facilities on the ground level can provide an additional fuel loading.
  - balconies and external features can easily trap embers which can ignite combustible materials.
- **Height** - the height can result in increased exposure to convective heat.

**Table 8.2.2**

Issues and technical considerations specific to multi-storey residential development

| ISSUE                                   | SPECIFIC CONCERN  | TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS  |
|---|---|---|
| Population                              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Impact on existing community and infrastructure</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ What capacity does the existing infrastructure have to allow evacuation of existing and proposed residents in the event of a bush fire?</li> </ul>   |
| Location of Building                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Locating on ridge tops emphasises the risk of convective plume interaction and wind related impacts.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Consider locating the building away from ridge tops.</li> <li>➤ If unavoidable, what is the impact on modelling and risk to the building? Is this risk appropriate for the building and occupant numbers?</li> </ul>   |
| Egress                                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Exposure to bush fire prone vegetation – which elevations?</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ How does the emergency evacuation procedure take account of the location of bush fire prone vegetation?</li> </ul>   |
| Building construction                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Building façade – how does this perform in a bush fire scenario, ie subjected to certain levels of radiant heat.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ What material is proposed?</li> <li>➤ How does this comply with AS 3959?</li> <li>➤ Is this appropriate for the design fire scenario?</li> </ul>   |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Balconies may contain external features which could ignite and contribute to building ignition and fuel loads</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Are there balconies proposed?</li> <li>➤ What may be stored on the balconies?</li> <li>➤ Can there be restrictions on what is stored on the balconies due to fire risk?</li> </ul>   |
| Car Parking                             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Lower storey car park could be subject to ember attack and high radiant heat loads igniting multiple vehicles at one time.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ How does the warning and suppression system take account of the increased fuel load beneath the residential portion of the building?</li> <li>➤ Where are exits located? Are they guiding occupants away from the car park?</li> </ul>                                   |
| Height of Building                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Different elements of the flame could have different impacts on different levels of the building.</li> <li>➤ The whole building could be impacted by ember attack and multiple floors could be alight simultaneously.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ What are the flame dimensions, including the flame angle?</li> <li>➤ Where is the hottest part of the flame located? How would this impact on the proposed building?</li> <li>➤ How would the warning and suppression systems in the building cope with this?</li> </ul> |
| <i>Other Engineering Considerations</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Access for fire fighters may be restricted or challenging.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ What would this mean for fire suppression?</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Implications of collapse.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ What would be the potential timing of any collapse?</li> <li>➤ How would emergency warning and evacuation plans take account of this?</li> </ul>   |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Threat from surrounding buildings due to large view factors from adjacent façade fires.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ How would this impact upon the proposed building?</li> <li>➤ How would warning and suppression systems take account of this?</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Risk implications of floor to floor fire spread.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ How would warning and suppression systems take account of this?</li> <li>➤ What would this mean for evacuation?</li> </ul>   |

Because of the challenges that multi-storey buildings pose when located in bush fire prone areas, a fully engineered performance-based solution should be prepared which will include a BFDB. Heads of consideration for the performance-based solution include but are not limited to the following:

- **Location** – multi-storey buildings should not be located along ridges or slopes with significant fire runs.
- **Existing infrastructure** – when multi-storey developments are proposed, their impact during potential bush fire emergencies needs to be considered, particularly in terms of evacuating occupants along the road network and the availability of water supplies available for firefighting.
- **External facades** – these may result in increased exposure to radiant heat and also convection columns. Specialised modelling may be needed and APZs may need to be increased over and above those specified to account for this.
- **Egress** – the risk associated with occupant egress is higher in multi-storey buildings than for lower-rise structures and therefore the potential for entrapment during a bush fire emergency should be addressed.

Such developments should only be considered on BFPL if an engineering analysis based on the requirements of Chapter 5, can demonstrate that the above issues do not pose an unacceptable risk.

### 8.2.3 Historic buildings

In relation to land identified as having heritage significance, the usual requirements for bush fire protection may conflict with the conservation of significant heritage fabric and/or its setting. Development affecting heritage places, and involving the intensification of residential uses, should be considered on an individual basis.

The application of PBP is to be considered in the context of the conservation principles, processes and practices of the Illustrated Burra Charter (Australia ICOMOS, 2013).

The development of a suitable bush fire safety outcome that considers constraints of heritage issues may require a performance-based solution and therefore requires a BFDB.

## 8.3 Other non-residential development

Other non-residential development includes development that is not used for residential purposes or may have a dual usage.

### 8.3.1 Buildings of Class 5 to 8 under the National Construction Code (NCC)

Under the building classification system within the NCC, Class 5 to 8 buildings include offices, shops, factories, warehouses, public car parks and other commercial and industrial facilities. Class 10 includes non-habitable buildings and structures such as garages, carports, swimming pools and fences.

The NCC does not provide for any bush fire specific performance requirements for these particular classes of building. As such AS 3959 and the NASH Standard are not considered as a set of 'deemed to satisfy' provisions, however compliance with AS 3959 and NASH should be considered when meeting the aims and objectives of PBP.

Whilst bush fire is not captured in the NCC for Class 5-8 buildings, the following objectives will be applied in relation to access, water and services, and emergency and evacuation planning:

- to provide safe access to/from the public road system for firefighters providing property protection during a bush fire and for occupant egress for evacuation;
- to provide adequate services of water for the protection of buildings during and after the passage of bush fire, and to locate gas and electricity so as not to contribute to the risk of fire to a building;
- to provide suitable emergency and evacuation (and relocation) arrangements for occupants of the development; and
- consideration of storage of hazardous materials away from the hazard wherever possible.

The general fire safety construction provisions (of the NCC) are taken as acceptable solutions however construction requirements for bush fire protection will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Where a mixed use development is proposed to have a SFPP component, an appropriate mix of BPMs should be applied consistent with the SFPP provisions in Chapter 6.

### 8.3.2 Class 10a and 10b structures

The NCC defines a Class 10 building as a non-habitable building or structure such as a:

- a. Class 10a – a non-habitable building being a private garage, carport, shed or the like; or
- b. Class 10b – a structure being a fence, mast, antenna, retaining or free-standing wall, swimming pool, or the like; or
- c. Class 10c – a private bush fire shelter

There is no bush fire protection requirement for Class 10a and 10b structures located more than 6m from a dwelling in bush fire prone areas. Where a Class 10a and 10b structure is located within 6m of a dwelling it must be constructed in accordance with the NCC.

### 8.3.3 Private bush fire shelters

Under the NCC, a private bush fire shelter is a Class 10c structure “associated with, but not attached to, or part of a Class 1a dwelling that may, as a last resort, provide shelter for occupants from immediate life threatening effects of a bush fire” (NCC 2016).

In NSW, any proposal to construct a private bush fire shelter on BFPL will be subject to the provisions of section 4.14 of the EP&A Act. For applications on land that is not identified as bush fire prone, the consent authority can consider bush fire matters under s.4.15 of the EP&A Act.

Regardless of the level of bush fire attack, all private bush fire shelters need to comply with Performance Requirement P2.3.5 of the NCC. *The Performance Standard for Private Bushfire Shelters* published by the Australian Building Codes Board in 2014 provides guidance in demonstrating compliance with the Performance Requirement. Where it is proposed to construct a private bush fire shelter the NSW RFS requires compliance with Table 2.4 – Acceptance Criteria of the *Performance Standard for Private Bushfire Shelters 2014*.

In addition, design and construction of a private bush fire shelter must be informed by appropriately qualified and experienced practitioners. Given the life safety risks that an inadequately designed and poorly maintained private bush fire shelter presents to occupants, a conservative approach to this matter is required.

*The Performance Standard for Private Bushfire Shelters 2014* allows for the design and construction of private bush fire shelters based on the calculated BAL using the methodology contained in AS 3959. However, private bush fire shelters are not accepted as an offset for compliance of the dwelling with AS 3959 or the NASH Standard and the BPMs outlined in PBP.

It must be emphasised that private bush fire shelters should not be relied on as the sole answer to reducing the risk to residents in bush fire prone areas. For existing development, consideration should be given to upgrading existing buildings, increasing the separation of dwellings from bush fire hazards and implementing other BPMs before contemplating a private bush fire shelter.

The preparation of a well-thought-out bush fire survival plan is pivotal to reducing the risk of loss of life during a bush fire.

### 8.3.4 Community bush fire refuges

Community bush fire refuges need to comply with the design and construction of community bush fire refuges handbook published by the Australian Building Codes Board.

In NSW, any proposal to construct a community bush fire refuge should be referred to the NSW RFS.

### 8.3.5 Wind farms

Wind farms require special consideration and should be provided with adequate clearances to combustible vegetation as well as firefighting access and water.

The following should be provided for wind farms:

- 10m APZ from the structures/associated buildings/infrastructure; and
- the APZ must be maintained to the standard of an inner protection area (IPA) for the life of the development to provide adequate access for firefighting purposes.

Infrastructure (for the purposes of applying APZ) excludes:

- road access to the site; and
- power or other services to the site and associated fencing.

Essential equipment should be designed and housed in such a way as to minimise the impact of bush fires on the capabilities of the infrastructure during bush fire emergencies. It should also be designed and maintained so that it will not serve as a bush fire risk to surrounding bush.

A bush fire emergency management and operation plan should detail measures to prevent fires igniting during the construction phase and the operation of the wind farm, and cover:

- work involving risk of ignition that should not be carried out during total fire bans;
- availability of fire-suppression equipment; and
- storage and maintenance of fuels and other flammable materials;

- notification of the local NSW RFS Fire Control Centre for any works that have the potential to ignite surrounding vegetation, proposed to be carried out during the bush-fire fire danger period to ensure weather conditions are appropriate; and
- bush fire emergency management planning.

It is important to be aware of operations that may be carried out on days of Total Fire Ban and any prohibited activities or exemptions that are notified by the Commissioner of the NSW RFS under section 99 of the *Rural Fires Act 1997*.

### 8.3.6 Mining (underground and open cut) and petroleum production

Where mining and associated activities are carried out on BFPL, consideration should be given to any hazards and risks associated with bush fire. It may be necessary to implement measures to control and manage any identified hazards and risks.

Petroleum exploration and production may also be a consideration in bush fire prone areas. Petroleum includes coal seam gas (CSG). As a minimum, a 10m APZ should be provided around any infrastructure associated with mining and petroleum production.

Given the potential hazard and risks, a bush fire emergency management and operation plan should be prepared to cover any mining activities and petroleum production undertaken on BFPL, with consideration to the same provisions detailed in section 8.3.5 for wind farms.

### 8.3.7 Telecommunications towers

Telecommunication sites are sites that hold infrastructure associated with mobile phones, internet, microwave radio links, trunk mobile radio or private mobile radio.

Essential telecommunication infrastructure should be designed in such a way as to minimise the impact of bush fires and ensure that communications capabilities are not compromised during bush fire emergencies. BPMs should be commensurate with the bush fire risk and criticality of the infrastructure.

In order to determine the level of bush fire risk and to develop a suitable suite of protection measures, the NSW RFS should be consulted.

There should be a minimum APZ around the tower/buildings/associated infrastructure which will increase based on the assessed level of risk and criticality.

Telecommunication towers should be constructed from non-combustible materials, and designed to mitigate the risk of ember attack and radiant heat.

The APZ is only concerned with the underlying infrastructure required to support telecommunication services – these are predominately structures and buildings. Infrastructure does not include:

- road access to the site;
- power or other services to the site; and
- associated fencing.

The APZ must be managed to the standard of an IPA. The IPA must be free from surface fuel and elevated fuel with minimum canopy cover.

An access strategy should be prepared that details the access arrangements for fire fighting and APZ maintenance purposes.

Generally, critical telecommunications infrastructure will be identified in a bush fire risk management plan. An operation plan shall be prepared for critical infrastructure associated with telecommunications.

### 8.3.8 Outdoor events in bush fire prone areas

Outdoor events often cater for large numbers of people in isolated locations, can continue over a number of days and may include on-site accommodation. They include music festivals, cultural festivals, sporting events, and regional shows. Events that involve overnight camping, multiple days, or attract large numbers of people in high risk/ isolated bush fire prone areas during the bush fire danger period require careful consideration. Such events create a number of logistic and operational issues if evacuation is required due to a bush fire.

Crowd control and operational access at the venue during bush fire events can prove to be challenging especially if they are held in remote locations.

Consideration should be given to holding events outside the gazetted bush fire danger period for the area and should include:

- Areas of accommodation should be strategically located to ensure maximum time to warn and evacuate people who may be sleeping and slow to respond.

This also ensures that highly flammable and combustible materials, such as tent fabric, vehicle fuels and gas cookers are in areas that will not facilitate the spread of fire.

- A bush fire emergency management and evacuation plan must be prepared that is acceptable to relevant stakeholders, including crowd management and security. It should be consistent with the NSW RFS document: '*A guide to developing a bush fire emergency management and evacuation plan*'.

- The following items should also be considered:
  - access and egress routes for emergency services and patrons in the event that evacuation is required.
  - a refuge building(s) that ensures radiant heat exposure of greater than 10 kW/m<sup>2</sup> is not experienced within the operational environment for emergency service personnel and occupants during firefighting and emergency management, and be of suitable capacity to contain all participants and staff.
  - an open air bush fire emergency assembly area of suitable size that complies with the requirements for Neighbourhood Safer Places (refer to section 2.6.4 in this document).
  - a method of staging evacuation, ensuring that evacuation flow is directed through different stages/areas of the site, moving from areas of higher risk to lower risk and progressing through evacuations zones.
  - expected evacuation timeframes
  - on severe or higher fire danger rating days the event will not proceed.
  - advance warning to patrons identifying that the event is located on BFPL and giving advice on any fire restrictions.
  - ability to cease and override P.A. and audio systems throughout the site to announce emergency warnings, alerts or safety information, which can be clearly heard from all areas of the site.
  - a prescribed ratio of trained fire wardens to participants.

A suitable package of other protection measures should be proposed based on individual event characteristics which considers the following:

- Bulk water supplies on site that are specifically allocated to firefighting purposes.
- Unobstructed APZs of suitable width surrounding the site along the boundaries adjacent to the bush fire threat. Slashing of grassed areas needs to occur in the lead-up to the event and maintained throughout its duration.
- Emergency management planning during the event organisation stage to be undertaken in consultation with the NSW RFS and all other relevant stakeholders.
- Fires for cooking and heating in approved fire places only and addressed by a Fire Management Plan (covering, for example, the operation and supervision of a communal bonfire).

### 8.3.9 Hazardous industry

Some developments are considered by their very nature to be hazardous, as much for their ability to start bush fires as their susceptibility to bush fire impacts. New developments of this nature should be avoided on BFPL. However, where hazardous industries are proposed, prior consultation with the NSW RFS and preparation of a performance-based solution, potentially including a BFDB, will be required.

In preparation of a performance-based solution or BFDB, the Fire Safety Study prepared under the DPE Hazardous Industry Planning and Assessment Papers (HIPAPs) should be considered. This study provides details of all credible fire hazards and the associated fire prevention and mitigation measures for the development. The BFDB should ensure that compatible fire-fighting measures are adopted.

Hazardous industries include but are not limited to:

- power generating works
- sawmills
- junk yards
- liquid fuel depots
- hazardous industries/storage
- chemical industries/storage
- service stations
- ammunition storage/manufacture
- fireworks manufacture/storage.

### 8.4 Commercial and industrial development

Commercial and industrial development on BFPL is captured by s4.14 of the EP&A Act where a manager's residence is included in the proposal. Where no residential component is included, commercial and industrial development is addressed through the aim and objectives of PBP (see Chapter 1 of this document).

A suitable package of BPMs should be proposed commensurate with the assessed level of risk to the development. The scale of the development and numbers of people likely to be occupying the building will be directly relevant to the BPMs proposed.

The provisions within Chapter 7 of this document should be used as a base for the development of a package of measures. Each development will be assessed on its own individual merits.

# APPENDIX 1

## SITE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

This appendix sets out the methodology to undertake a site bush fire attack assessment in relation to the application of appropriate APZs and associated construction levels.

All references to 'Keith (2004)' within this Appendix is a reference to the publication 'Ocean Shores to Desert Dunes' – David Keith (2004).

### A1.1 Introduction

The following methodology can be applied to determine bush fire attack levels (BALs) and appropriate APZs. For further details on each of the steps below, see the related sections or tables in this document which are listed in brackets.

#### Identify APZs

- Step 1:** Determine vegetation formation in all directions around the building to a distance of 140 metres. Refer to basic formation pictures located at A1.2 in this Appendix. For further information regarding grassland vegetation, refer to the relevant chapters in this document;
- Step 2:** Determine the effective slope of the land from the building for a distance of 100 metres (A1.5);
- Step 3:** Determine the relevant FDI for the council area in which the development is to be undertaken. Refer to A1.6 of this Appendix; and
- Step 4:** Match the relevant FDI, vegetation formation and effective slope to determine the APZ required from the appropriate Table of this Appendix.

#### Identify construction requirements

- Step 1:** Follow steps 1 - 2 above;
- Step 2:** Determine the distance between the vegetation and the building measured from the edge of the foliage cover (drip line) and the closest external wall;
- Step 3:** Match the relevant FDI, appropriate vegetation, distance and effective slope to determine the appropriate BAL using the relevant tables at the end of this section (A1.12.5 to A1.12.6); and
- Step 4:** Refer to Section 3 'Construction General' in AS 3959 and NASH Standard to identify appropriate construction requirements for the calculated BAL of the site.

### A1.2 Determine vegetation formation

Identify all the vegetation formations for each aspect of the development within 140 metres of the development site or asset by referencing Keith (2004). This includes vegetation both within and external to the site boundaries.

Where mixes of vegetation formations are located together, the vegetation formation providing the greater hazard shall be used for the purpose of assessment. The combination of vegetation and slope that yields the worst case scenario shall be used.

The determination of the protection measures is based on a worst case scenario and a calculation derived from maximum fuel loads. Consideration should also be given to any clearing, re-vegetation or landscaping likely to occur.

#### About the classification system

The vegetation classification system used within this document is based on the Keith (2004) framework. Available fuel loads are based on recent information provided by:

- The University of Wollongong's (UoW) Fuels Modelling Project;
- The University of Melbourne (UoM) which reference the fuel classifications found in Keith (2004); and
- CSIRO Ecosystems Sciences and Bushfire Dynamics and Applications.

For the purposes of bush fire assessment in NSW, vegetation formations are as per Keith (2004) (excepting heathlands which includes two sub-formations rather than one, and are based largely on vegetation height).

## Figures A1.2

The following images provide an indicative representation of vegetation formations.



Photo courtesy Ross Peacock

### 1. Rainforest - General

Closed and continuous complex tree canopy composed of relatively soft, horizontal-held leaves. Generally lacking in eucalypts. Understorey typically ferns and herbs. Vines often present in canopy and/or understorey.

Occurs mainly in areas that are reliably moist, mostly free of fire and have soils of moderate to high fertility. Typically coastal and escarpment locations.



Photo courtesy Ken Turner

### 2. Wet Sclerophyll Forest - General

High open tree canopy dominated by tall (typically >30m), straight trunked eucalypt species. Luxuriant understorey composed of soft leaved shrubs, ferns and herbs. Many understorey plants are rainforest species. Found on moderately fertile soils in areas of high (>900mm) rainfall.



Photo courtesy Ross Peacock

### 3. Dry Sclerophyll Forest - General

Open tree canopy dominated by eucalypt species (typically 10-30m in height) with crowns that touch and overlap. Canopy allows most sunlight to penetrate supporting growth of a prominent understorey layer varying between hard-leaved shrubs to luxuriant soft leaved shrubs, ferns and herbs.



Photo courtesy Catherine Ryland

#### 4. Woodland - General

Dominated by an open to sparse layer of eucalypts with the crowns rarely touching. Typically 15-35m high (may be shorter at sub-alpine altitudes). Diverse ground cover of grasses and herbs. Shrubs are sparsely distributed. Usually found on flat or undulating ground.



Photo courtesy Waminda Parker

#### 5. Tall Heath - General

Shrubby vegetation greater than 2 metres tall. Principal plant species include banksias, spider flowers, wattles, legumes, eucalypts,, tea trees, paperbarks, she oaks, grass trees, cord rushes and sedges. Grasses are scarce.

Not found in arid and semi arid locations.

Includes Hawkesbury Sandstone vegetation with scattered overstorey trees and predominately healthy understorey and coastal heath. May include some mallee eucalypts in coastal locations.



Photo courtesy Ross Peacock

#### 6. Short Heath - General

Shrubby vegetation less than 2 metres in height. Often more open in canopy. Principal plant species include banksias, spider flowers, wattles, legumes, eucalypts,, tea trees, paperbarks, she oaks, grass trees, cord rushes and sedges. Grasses are scarce.

Not found in arid and semi arid locations.



Photo courtesy Mark Hawkins

#### 7. Grassland - General

Maritime Grasslands, Temperate Montane Grasslands, Western Slopes Grassland, Riverine Plain Grasslands and Semi-arid Floodplain Grasslands.

Dominated by perennial grasses and the presence of broad-leaved herbs on flat topography. Lack of woody plants.

Plants include grasses, daisies, legumes, geraniums, saltbushes and copperburrs.

### A1.3 Grassland assessment

If the vegetation formation is determined to be grassland the following applies, for all other vegetation formations please refer to A1.4-A1.7 below.

If the vegetation formation is grassland and a 20m APZ can be provided, the deeming provisions may be applicable. There would be no need for further assessment, please refer to section 7.9.

Where a 20m APZ cannot be provided or a full site assessment methodology is required please refer to A1.4-A1.7 below.

Where 50m APZ can be provided, there are no further requirements.

### A1.4 Determine slope

Slope assessment may be derived from the most detailed contour data available (such as topographic maps displaying contour intervals, contours determined when land surveyed) and site assessment measurements.


The slope is determined in terms of the following classes, relative to the location of the hazard:

- all upslope vegetation (considered 0°)
- > 0 to 5° downslope vegetation
- > 5° to 10° downslope vegetation
- > 10° to 15° downslope vegetation
- > 15° to 20° downslope vegetation

APZ tables within PBP are provided for acceptable solutions with slopes of up to 20 degrees. Effective slopes to be assessed with hazards on slopes in excess of 20 degrees will require a detailed performance assessment.

**Figure A1.4**

Determining slope



### A1.5 Determine effective slope

The slope of the land under the classified vegetation has a direct influence on the rate of fire spread, the intensity of the fire and the ultimate level of radiant heat flux.

The effective slope is the slope of the ground under the hazard (vegetation), not the slope between the vegetation and the building (slope located between the asset and vegetation is the site slope).

In identifying the effective slope, it may be found that there are a variety of slopes covering different distances within the vegetation. The effective slope is considered to be the slope under the vegetation which will most significantly influence the bush fire behaviour for each aspect (see Figure A1.5 below).

Vegetation located closest to an asset may not necessarily be located on the effective slope.

### A1.6 Determine appropriate fire (weather) areas

For all development requiring an APZ, you need to identify the relevant FDI. The FDI measures the degree of danger of fire in Australian vegetation. For the purposes of PBP, the FDI required to be used for development assessment purposes is based on local government boundaries.


The FDI assumes a credible worst case scenario and an absence of any other mitigating factors relating to aspect or prevailing winds.

The 1:50 year fire weather scenario for most of the state was determined as FDI 80, however, a number of areas including the Greater Sydney, Greater Hunter, Illawarra, Far South Coast and Southern Ranges Fire Areas have higher FDIs which are set at 100. This is believed to occur with reasonable frequency in their respective fire areas.

To assist in identifying your LGA and the appropriate FDI, please consult the NSW RFS website which provides a state map providing an up-to-date listing of LGAs and their appropriate FDIs.

**Figure A1.5**

Determining effective slope



## A1.7 Determine Bush Fire Attack Level

Once steps A1.2-A1.6 have been completed, the APZ or Bush Fire Attack Level can be determined in Tables A1.12.5 and A1.12.6 below. Table A1.7 provides a description of each Bush Fire Attack Level.

**Table A1.7**

Radiant heat flux exposure and appropriate bush fire attack level (BAL)

| Heat flux exposure      | Description   | AS 3959 construction level                   |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| <b>N/A</b>              | Minimal attack from radiant heat and flame due to the distance of the site from the vegetation, although some attack by burning debris is possible. There is insufficient threat to warrant specific construction requirements.   | Bush fire attack level: Low (BAL-LOW)        |
| <b>≤12.5</b>            | Attack by burning debris is significant with radiant heat (not greater than 12.5kW/m <sup>2</sup> ). Radiant heat is unlikely to threaten building elements (such as unscreened glass). Specific construction requirements for ember protection and accumulation of debris are warranted. | Bush fire attack level: 12.5 (BAL-12.5)      |
| <b>&gt;12.5<br/>≤19</b> | Attack by burning debris is significant with radiant heat flux (not greater than 19kW/m <sup>2</sup> ) threatening some building elements (such as screened glass). Specific construction requirements for embers and radiant heat are warranted.   | Bush fire attack level: 19 (BAL-19)          |
| <b>&gt;19<br/>≤29</b>   | Attack by burning debris is significant and radiant heat flux (not greater than 29kW/m <sup>2</sup> ) threatens building integrity. Specific construction requirements for ember and higher radiant heat are warranted. Some flame contact is possible.                                   | Bush fire attack level: 29 (BAL-29)          |
| <b>&gt;29<br/>≤40</b>   | Radiant heat flux and potential flame contact could threaten building integrity.  | Bush fire attack level: 40 (BAL-40)          |
| <b>&gt;40</b>           | Significant radiant heat and significant higher likelihood of flame contact from the fire front will threaten building integrity and result in significant risk to residents.   | Bush fire attack level - Flame Zone (BAL-FZ) |

Note: Attack from burning debris increases with the bush fire attack level.

## A1.8 Shielding

Where an elevation is shielded from direct radiant heat arising from bush fire attack, then the construction requirements for that elevation can be reduced to the next lower BAL.

An elevation is deemed to be not exposed to the source of bush fire attack if all of the straight lines between that elevation and the source of bush fire attack are obstructed by another part of the building.


The shielding of an elevation shall apply to all the elements of the wall but shall not apply to subfloors or roofs.

The construction requirements for a shielded elevation shall be not less than that required for BAL-12.5, unless the building has been assessed as being BAL-LOW.

The reduced construction requirements do not apply where any elevation is BAL-FZ.


**Figure A1.8a**

Vertical view of radiant heat impact



**Figure A1.8b**

Plan view of radiant heat impact



## A1.9 Exotic vegetation

In the Far North Coast of NSW there are large communities of exotic vegetation covering thousands of hectares.

The four main exotic species are:

1. **Camphor Laurel** (*Cinnamomum camphora*): large tree;
2. **Privet** (*Ligustrum sinense*, *Ligustrum lucidum*): large shrub;
3. **Lantana** (*Lantana camara*, *Lantana montevidensis*): woody vine; and
4. **Running Bamboo** (*Phyllostachys spp*): large grass.

Check with your local Council for additional weed and exotic vegetation for your area.

These plant species have been declared environmental weeds as their control is not considered to be feasible. They generally occupy rich alluvial and volcanic soils. Under adverse fire weather conditions these plants can contribute to the intensity of bush fires due to additional fuel loads.

Camphor trees have a significant amount of leaf fall, especially when stressed by drought or frost and can increase surface fuel loads by 15 t/Ha.

Anecdotal evidence obtained from previous fire events indicates that although these trees generally do not carry a canopy fire, they commonly support intense surface fires.

Exotic species display similar fire behaviour characteristics to some of the native vegetation classifications with lower fuel loads. Table A1.9 can be used to convert the vegetation formations and fuel loads where the predominant vegetation formation over a distance of 100m is demonstrated to be an exotic species. Where a mixture of exotic and native vegetation exists, the native vegetation fuel loads will apply.

For other exotic vegetation types not identified in Table A1.9, an assessment should be undertaken against Figure A1.2 to determine the most appropriate equivalent vegetation type. This should take into account the structure and fuel loads and may require consultation with the NSW RFS.

**Table A1.9**

Exotic vegetation conversions

| PREDOMINANT VEGETATION TYPE  | < or equal to 70% canopy cover         | >70% canopy cover |
|--|--|-------------------|
|  | <b>Vegetation formation in AS 3959</b> |                   |
| Exotic vegetation (Camphor Laurel, Privet) and grasslands or woody weeds (such as Lantana) mix | Woodland                               | Rainforest        |
| Rainforest and grasslands or woody weeds (such as Lantana) mix                                 | Woodland                               | Rainforest        |
| Bamboo mixed with exotic vegetation  | Tall heath                             | Woodland          |
| Bamboo mixed with rainforest vegetation  | Woodland                               | Rainforest        |

## A1.10 Low threat vegetation – Exclusions

Modified landscapes, coastal wetlands and riparian areas vary significantly in structure and composition, but are generally considered as bush fire hazards, with the exception of saline wetlands. Non-hazard and non-vegetated area exclusions of AS 3959 apply, and are not required to be considered for the purposes of PBP, as detailed below:

1. Single areas of vegetation less than 1 hectare in area and greater than 100 metres separation from other areas of Category 1 or Category 2 vegetation
2. Multiple areas of vegetation less than 0.25 hectares in area and not within 20 metres of each other
3. Strips of vegetation less than 20 metres in width, regardless of length and not within 20 metres of other areas of Category 1 or Category 3 vegetation
4. Vegetation regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content or fuel load, including grassland managed in a minimal fuel condition, mangroves and other saline wetlands, maintained lawns, golf courses (such as playing areas and fairways), maintained public reserves and parklands, sporting fields, vineyards, orchards, banana plantations, market gardens (and other non-curing crops), cultivated gardens, arboretums, commercial nurseries, nature strips and windbreaks.

NOTES: 1 *Minimal fuel condition means there is insufficient fuel available to significantly increase the severity of the bush fire attack (recognizable as short-cropped grass for example, to a nominal height of 100 mm).* 2 *Vegetation classes may appear to be modified as a result of weed invasion or due to disturbance factors such as fire which may affect short term fire behaviour. The expansion of additional vegetation classes would make implementation of this Standard more difficult. The presence of disturbance by bush fire or past use does not of itself warrant any reduction in the classification of the vegetation.* 3 *A windbreak is considered a single row of planted trees located on a boundary and used as a screen or to reduce the effect of wind on the leeward side of the trees.*

5. Existing areas of managed gardens and lawns within curtilage of buildings
6. Non-vegetated areas, including waterways, roads, footpaths, buildings and rocky outcrops.

## A1.11 Vegetation downgrades

The size and shape of small areas of vegetation influences the behaviour of bush fires and the associated risk to the built environment. Small or narrow parcels of vegetation have less opportunity to support fully developed bush fires because of their limited size.

Two methods are provided below for downgrading the vegetation. An assessment can either follow the Simplified approach or the Short fire run approach. The two approaches cannot be used simultaneously to downgrade a patch of vegetation.

### A1.11.1 Simplified approach

The simplified approach provides a deemed-to-satisfy dispensation for vegetation downgrades. Remnant vegetation is a parcel of vegetation with a size of less than 1 Ha or a shape that provides a potential fire run directly toward buildings not exceeding 50m. These remnants are considered a low hazard and APZ setbacks and building construction standards for these will be the same as for rainforests.

The effective slope is to be determined under the remnant that provides the most significant bush fire behavior.

### A1.11.2 Short fire run

The size and shape of small areas of vegetation influences the behaviour of bush fires and the associated risk to the built environment. Small or narrow parcels of vegetation have less opportunity to support fully developed bush fires because of their limited size. These areas are referred to as a short fire run (SFR). However the reduced risk from short fire runs can still be damaging at a smaller localised scale.

Assessment of SFRs is undertaken by determining the reduced head fire width and flame height of the SFR, as appropriate, then calculating the amount of radiant heat impacting an asset. From this calculation more appropriate BPMs or risk treatments can be determined.

The SFR method is based on components of AS 3959 Method 2 calculations but introduces modifications to calculate the reduced fire head width and flame height attributed to smaller parcels of vegetation.

DAs proposing the SFR methodology need to be lodged as a performance-based solution and should go through the BFDB process. Application of the SFR methodology may produce a reduced BAL through a lower calculated radiant heat flux and a smaller APZ.

## A1.12 Comprehensive APZ, BAL and vegetation class tables

The following pages contain detailed tables for determining bush fire attack levels (BALs), minimum distances for APZs, and vegetation fuel loads, as listed below.

| TABLE                          | TABLE DESIGNATION   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>SFPP developments</b>       |   |
| A1.12.1                        | Minimum distances for APZs, SFPP developments -<10kW/m <sup>2</sup> @ 1200K               |
| <b>Residential subdivision</b> |   |
| A1.12.2                        | Minimum distances for APZs, residential subdivision (<29kW/m <sup>2</sup> ) FDI 100 areas |
| A1.12.3                        | Allowable outer protection areas, in metres, within an APZ for forest vegetation          |
| A1.12.4                        | Minimum distances for APZs, residential subdivision (<29kW/m <sup>2</sup> ) FDI 80 areas  |
| <b>Residential development</b> |   |
| A1.12.5                        | Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 100 All slopes and flat                      |
| A1.12.6                        | Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 80 All slopes and flat                       |
| A1.12.7                        | Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 50 All slopes and flat                       |
| A1.12.8                        | Vegetation formation fuel loads   |

### Table A1.12.1

Minimum distances for APZs - SFPP developments (<10kW/m<sup>2</sup> @ 1200K)

| KEITH VEGETATION FORMATION   | EFFECTIVE SLOPE   |        |         |          |          |
|--|---|--------|---------|----------|----------|
|  | Up slopes and flat  | >0°-5° | >5°-10° | >10°-15° | >15°-20° |
|  | Distance (m) from the asset to the predominant vegetation formation |        |         |          |          |
| Rainforest   | 38  | 47     | 57      | 69       | 81       |
| Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | 67  | 79     | 93      | 100      | 100      |
| Woodland (grassy and woody)  | 42  | 50     | 60      | 72       | 85       |
| Forested Wetland   | 34  | 42     | 51      | 62       | 73       |
| Tall Heath   | 47  | 52     | 57      | 63       | 67       |
| Short Heath  | 35  | 39     | 43      | 48       | 51       |
| Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | 25  | 28     | 32      | 35       | 38       |
| Freshwater Wetlands  | 22  | 25     | 28      | 31       | 34       |
| Alpine Complex   | 24  | 28     | 31      | 35       | 38       |
| Grassland  | 36  | 40     | 45      | 50       | 55       |

**Table A1.12.2**Minimum distances for APZs – residential subdivision development, FDI 100 areas (<29kW/m<sup>2</sup>)

| KEITH VEGETATION FORMATION   | EFFECTIVE SLOPE   |        |         |          |          |
|--|---|--------|---------|----------|----------|
|  | Up slopes and flat  | >0°-5° | >5°-10° | >10°-15° | >15°-20° |
|  | Distance (m) from the asset to the predominant vegetation formation |        |         |          |          |
| Rainforest   | 11  | 14     | 18      | 23       | 30       |
| Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | 24  | 29     | 37      | 45       | 57       |
| Woodland (grassy and woody)  | 12  | 16     | 20      | 25       | 32       |
| Forested Wetland   | 10  | 12     | 16      | 20       | 26       |
| Tall Heath   | 15  | 16     | 18      | 21       | 23       |
| Short Heath  | 10  | 11     | 13      | 14       | 16       |
| Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | 7   | 8      | 9       | 10       | 11       |
| Freshwater Wetlands  | 6   | 7      | 8       | 9        | 10       |
| Alpine Complex   | 7   | 8      | 8       | 10       | 11       |
| Grassland  | 10  | 12     | 13      | 15       | 17       |

**Table A1.12.3**

Allowable outer protection areas, in metres, within an APZ for forest vegetation

| VEGETATION                    | UPSLOPE/FLAT | >0-5 | >5-10 | >10-15 | >15-20 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------|-------|--------|--------|
| Forests FDI 100 - subdivision | 10           | 10   | 15    | 25     | 30     |
| Forests FDI 80 - subdivision  | 10           | 10   | 15    | 20     | 20     |
| Forests SFPP                  | 20           | 20   | 25    | 30     | 25     |

**Intent of measures:** To provide safe operational access to structures and water supply for emergency services while residents are evacuating an area.

**Table A1.12.4**Minimum distances for APZs – residential subdivision development, FDI 80 areas (<29kW/m<sup>2</sup>)

| KEITH VEGETATION FORMATION   | EFFECTIVE SLOPE   |        |         |          |          |
|--|---|--------|---------|----------|----------|
|  | Up slopes and flat  | >0°-5° | >5°-10° | >10°-15° | >15°-20° |
|  | Distance (m) from the asset to the predominant vegetation formation |        |         |          |          |
| Rainforest   | 9   | 12     | 15      | 20       | 25       |
| Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | 20  | 25     | 31      | 39       | 48       |
| Woodland (grassy and woody)  | 11  | 13     | 17      | 21       | 27       |
| Forested Wetland   | 8   | 10     | 13      | 17       | 22       |
| Tall Heath   | 15  | 16     | 18      | 21       | 23       |
| Short Heath  | 10  | 11     | 13      | 14       | 16       |
| Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | 7   | 8      | 9       | 10       | 11       |
| Freshwater Wetlands  | 6   | 7      | 8       | 9        | 10       |
| Alpine Complex   | 7   | 8      | 8       | 10       | 11       |
| Grassland  | 10  | 11     | 12      | 14       | 16       |

**Table A1.12.5**

Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 100 – residential developments

| KEITH VEGETATION FORMATION  |  | BUSH FIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL)                       |                |          |          |           |
|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                             |  | BAL-FZ   | BAL-40         | BAL-29   | BAL-19   | BAL-12.5  |
|                             |  | Distance (m) asset to predominant vegetation class |                |          |          |           |
| ALL UPSLOPE AND FLAT LAND   | Rainforest   | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 23 | 23 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 18   | 18 -< 24       | 24 -< 33 | 33 -< 45 | 45 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 9  | 9 -< 12        | 12 -< 18 | 18 -< 26 | 26 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 11   | 11 -< 15       | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 5  | 5 -< 6         | 6 -< 9   | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 8  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 8 -< 50   |
| 0 > 5 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE   | Rainforest   | < 11   | 11 -< 14       | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 29 | 29 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 22   | 22 -< 29       | 29 -< 40 | 40 -< 54 | 54 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 23 | 23 -< 32 | 32 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 9  | 9 -< 12        | 12 -< 18 | 18 -< 26 | 26 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 33 | 33 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 9  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 9 -< 50   |
| 5 > 10 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE  | Rainforest   | < 14   | 14 -< 18       | 18 -< 26 | 26 -< 37 | 37 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 28   | 28 -< 37       | 37 -< 49 | 49 -< 65 | 65 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 15   | 15 -< 20       | 20 -< 28 | 28 -< 39 | 39 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 23 | 23 -< 33 | 33 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 14   | 14 -< 18       | 18 -< 27 | 27 -< 37 | 37 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 9  | 9 -< 13        | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 27 | 27 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 6  | 6 -< 9         | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 10   | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 10 -< 50  |
| 10 > 15 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE | Rainforest   | < 17   | 17 -< 23       | 23 -< 34 | 34 -< 46 | 46 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 35   | 35 -< 45       | 45 -< 60 | 60 -< 77 | 77 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 19   | 19 -< 25       | 25 -< 36 | 36 -< 49 | 49 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 15   | 15 -< 20       | 20 -< 29 | 29 -< 41 | 41 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 15   | 15 -< 21       | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 41 | 41 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 10   | 10 -< 14       | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 22 | 22 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 6  | 6 -< 9         | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 11   | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 11 -< 50  |
| 15 > 20 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE | Rainforest   | < 23   | 23 -< 30       | 30 -< 42 | 42 -< 56 | 56 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 46   | 46 -< 57       | 57 -< 73 | 73 -< 93 | 93 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 24   | 24 -< 32       | 32 -< 44 | 44 -< 59 | 59 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 19   | 19 -< 26       | 26 -< 37 | 37 -< 50 | 50 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 17   | 17 -< 23       | 23 -< 33 | 33 -< 46 | 46 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 34 | 34 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 13   | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 13 -< 50  |

**Table A1.12.6**

Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 80 – residential development

| KEITH VEGETATION FORMATION  |  | BUSH FIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL)                       |                |          |          |           |
|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                             |  | BAL-FZ   | BAL-40         | BAL-29   | BAL-19   | BAL-12.5  |
|                             |  | Distance (m) asset to predominant vegetation class |                |          |          |           |
| ALL UPSLOPE AND FLAT LAND   | Rainforest   | < 7  | 7 -< 9         | 9 -< 14  | 14 -< 20 | 20 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 15   | 15 -< 20       | 20 -< 29 | 29 -< 40 | 40 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 22 | 22 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 12  | 12 -< 18 | 18 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 11   | 11 -< 15       | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 5  | 5 -< 6         | 6 -< 9   | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 7  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 7 -< 50   |
| 0 > 5 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE   | Rainforest   | < 9  | 9 -< 12        | 12 -< 17 | 17 -< 25 | 25 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 19   | 19 -< 25       | 25 -< 35 | 35 -< 47 | 47 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 10   | 10 -< 13       | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 28 | 28 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 8  | 8 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 22 | 22 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 33 | 33 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 8  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 8 -< 50   |
| 5 > 10 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE  | Rainforest   | < 11   | 11 -< 15       | 15 -< 22 | 22 -< 32 | 32 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 24   | 24 -< 31       | 31 -< 43 | 43 -< 57 | 57 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 12   | 12 -< 17       | 17 -< 24 | 24 -< 34 | 34 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 10   | 10 -< 13       | 13 -< 20 | 20 -< 28 | 28 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 14   | 14 -< 18       | 18 -< 27 | 27 -< 37 | 37 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 9  | 9 -< 13        | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 27 | 27 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 6  | 6 -< 9         | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 9  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 9 -< 50   |
| 10 > 15 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE | Rainforest   | < 14   | 14 -< 20       | 20 -< 29 | 29 -< 40 | 40 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 30   | 30 -< 39       | 39 -< 52 | 52 -< 68 | 68 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 16   | 16 -< 21       | 21 -< 31 | 31 -< 42 | 42 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 12   | 12 -< 17       | 17 -< 25 | 25 -< 35 | 35 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 15   | 15 -< 21       | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 41 | 41 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 10   | 10 -< 14       | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 22 | 22 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 6  | 6 -< 9         | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 10   | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 10 -< 50  |
| 15 > 20 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE | Rainforest   | < 19   | 19 -< 25       | 25 -< 36 | 36 -< 49 | 49 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 38   | 38 -< 48       | 48 -< 64 | 64 -< 82 | 82 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 20   | 20 -< 27       | 27 -< 38 | 38 -< 52 | 52 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 16   | 16 -< 22       | 22 -< 32 | 32 -< 43 | 43 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 17   | 17 -< 23       | 23 -< 33 | 33 -< 46 | 46 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 34 | 34 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 12   | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 12 -< 50  |

**Table A1.12.7**

Determination of bush fire attack level, FDI 50 – residential developments

| KEITH VEGETATION FORMATION  |  | BUSH FIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL)                       |                |          |          |           |
|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                             |  | BAL-FZ   | BAL-40         | BAL-29   | BAL-19   | BAL-12.5  |
|                             |  | Distance (m) asset to predominant vegetation class |                |          |          |           |
| ALL UPSLOPE AND FLAT LAND   | Rainforest   | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 11   | 11 -< 14       | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 12  | 12 -< 17 | 17 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 5  | 5 -< 6         | 6 -< 9   | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 11   | 11 -< 15       | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 5  | 5 -< 6         | 6 -< 9   | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 6  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 6 -< 50   |
| 0 > 5 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE   | Rainforest   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 12  | 12 -< 18 | 18 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 13   | 13 -< 18       | 18 -< 26 | 26 -< 36 | 36 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 33 | 33 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 5  | 5 -< 7         | 7 -< 10  | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 7  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 7 -< 50   |
| 5 > 10 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE  | Rainforest   | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 16 | 16 -< 23 | 23 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 16   | 16 -< 22       | 22 -< 31 | 31 -< 43 | 43 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 9  | 9 -< 12        | 12 -< 17 | 17 -< 25 | 25 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 7  | 7 -< 9         | 9 -< 14  | 14 -< 20 | 20 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 14   | 14 -< 18       | 18 -< 27 | 27 -< 37 | 37 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 9  | 9 -< 13        | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 27 | 27 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 6  | 6 -< 9         | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 11  | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 6  | 6 -< 8         | 8 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 7  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 7 -< 50   |
| 10 > 15 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE | Rainforest   | < 10   | 10 -< 13       | 13 -< 20 | 20 -< 29 | 29 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 21   | 21 -< 27       | 27 -< 39 | 39 -< 52 | 52 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 11   | 11 -< 15       | 15 -< 22 | 22 -< 31 | 31 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 9  | 9 -< 12        | 12 -< 18 | 18 -< 26 | 26 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 15   | 15 -< 21       | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 41 | 41 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 10   | 10 -< 14       | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 30 | 30 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 22 | 22 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 6  | 6 -< 9         | 9 -< 13  | 13 -< 19 | 19 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 14 | 14 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 8  | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 8 -< 50   |
| 15 > 20 DEGREES – DOWNSLOPE | Rainforest   | < 13   | 13 -< 17       | 17 -< 26 | 26 -< 36 | 36 -< 100 |
|                             | Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | < 19   | 19 -< 34       | 34 -< 48 | 48 -< 63 | 63 -< 100 |
|                             | Woodland (grassy and woody)  | < 14   | 14 -< 19       | 19 -< 28 | 28 -< 38 | 38 -< 100 |
|                             | Forested Wetland   | < 7  | 7 -< 15        | 15 -< 23 | 23 -< 32 | 32 -< 100 |
|                             | Tall Heath   | < 17   | 17 -< 23       | 23 -< 33 | 33 -< 46 | 46 -< 100 |
|                             | Short Heath  | < 12   | 12 -< 16       | 16 -< 24 | 24 -< 34 | 34 -< 100 |
|                             | Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Freshwater Wetlands  | < 7  | 7 -< 10        | 10 -< 15 | 15 -< 21 | 21 -< 100 |
|                             | Alpine Complex   | < 8  | 8 -< 11        | 11 -< 17 | 17 -< 24 | 24 -< 100 |
|                             | Grassland  | < 10   | NOT APPLICABLE |          |          | 10 -< 50  |

**Table A1.12.8**

Vegetation formation fuel loads in t/ha.

| VEGETATION   | SURFACE AND ELEVATED | OVERALL FUEL LOAD INCLUDING BARK AND CANOPY |
|--|----------------------|---|
| Rainforest   | 10                   | 13.2  |
| Forest (Shrubby and Grassy) including Coastal Swamp Forest, Pine Plantations and Sub-Alpine Woodland | 22                   | 36.1  |
| Woodland (grassy and woody)  | 10.5                 | 20.2  |
| Forested Wetland   | 8.2                  | 15.1  |
| Tall Heath   | 36.9                 | 36.9  |
| Short Heath  | 15                   | 15  |
| Arid-Shrublands (acacia and chenopod)  | 6.2                  | 6.2   |
| Freshwater Wetlands  | 4.4                  | 4.4   |
| Alpine Complex   | 5.8                  | 5.8   |
| Grassland  | 6                    | 6   |



# APPENDIX 2

## SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS, PERFORMANCE-BASED SOLUTIONS AND BUSH FIRE DESIGN BRIEFS

This appendix details the information requirements for the range of DAs on BFPL and the submission requirements where a performance-based solution is proposed.

### A2.1 Submission requirements for a BFSA

The detailed information to be contained within a Bush Fire Assessment Report submitted to the NSW RFS as outlined in Clause 44 of the *Rural Fires Regulation 2013* is:

1. For the purposes of section 100B (4) of the *Rural Fires Act 1997*, an application for a BFSA must be made in writing and must include the following:
  - a. a description (including the address) of the property on which the development the subject of the application is proposed to be carried out
  - b. a classification of the vegetation on and surrounding the property (out to a distance of 140 metres from the boundaries of the property) in accordance with the system for classification of vegetation contained in PBP
  - c. an assessment of the slope of the land on and surrounding the property (out to a distance of 100 metres from the boundaries of the property)
  - d. identification of any significant environmental features on the property
  - e. the details of any threatened species, population or ecological community identified under the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 that is known to the applicant to exist on the property
  - f. the details and location of any Aboriginal object (within the meaning of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*) or Aboriginal place (within the meaning of that Act) that is known to the applicant to be situated on the property,
  - g. a bush fire assessment for the proposed development (including the methodology used in the assessment) that addresses the following matters:
    - i. the extent to which the development is to provide for setbacks, including APZs
    - ii. the siting and adequacy of water for firefighting
    - iii. the capacity of public roads in the vicinity to handle increased volumes of traffic in the event of a bush fire emergency
    - iv. whether or not public roads in the vicinity that link with the fire trail network have two-way access
    - v. the adequacy of arrangements for access to and egress from the development site for the purposes of an emergency response
    - vi. the adequacy of bush fire maintenance plans and fire emergency procedures for the development site
    - vii. the construction standards to be used for building elements in the development
    - viii. the adequacy of sprinkler systems and other fire protection measures to be incorporated into the development
  - h. an assessment of the extent to which the proposed development conforms with or deviates from the standards, specific objectives and performance criteria set out in Chapters 5-8 of PBP.

### A2.1.1 Subdivision

The submission requirements given above for a BFSA are applicable to subdivision on BFPL. Additional considerations for subdivision are outlined below.

In order to allow for flexibility at building design stage of development, building envelopes are not always identified at the subdivision stage. A conceptual plan drawn to scale identifying building envelopes may be requested to demonstrate that individual lots are capable of providing a suitable APZ and compliant bush fire attack levels (BALs). Unless otherwise specified, a building envelope of 15m by 15m will be assumed in consideration of the known site constraints.

Where staged development is proposed, the bush fire assessment report must explain how the provisions of this document will be satisfied for each stage of the development. This is particularly important to ensure that appropriate APZs will be provided at all stages of development. Special attention must also be given to the provision of emergency access/egress and the construction of reticulated services, particularly water.

In relation to significant environmental features, threatened species, endangered populations, endangered ecological communities and Aboriginal heritage issues, sufficient information is required to ascertain that the environmental values are or are not a constraint to development. Approval for the loss or removal of environmental assets is the role of the consent authority.

### A2.2 Submission requirements for infill development

DAs on BFPL must be accompanied by a bush fire assessment/report demonstrating compliance with PBP.

In particular, the following must be addressed:

- a. a statement that the site is BFPL
- b. the location, extent and vegetation formation of any bushland on or within 140 metres of the site
- c. the slope and aspect of the site and of any BFPL within 100 metres of the site
- d. any features on or adjoining the site that may mitigate the impact of a bush fire on the proposed development
- e. a statement assessing the likely environmental impact of any proposed BPMs
- f. a site plan showing access, water supplies, APZs and building footprint in relation to the bush fire hazards.
- g. calculated BAL construction level.

For smaller applications this can be done relatively simply using the NSW RFS Single Dwelling Application Kit which can be found on the NSW RFS website ([www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au)) and can be accompanied by a diagram identifying the requirements detailed above.

For more complex applications or performance-based solutions, a suitably qualified consultant should be engaged to prepare a bush fire assessment report (see A2.6).

### **A2.3 Submission requirements for Complying Development**

State Environmental Planning Policies permit certain development on BFPL where the appropriate standards are met.

Complying development may be undertaken on lower risk BFPL where the appropriate construction requirements for BFPL and all other relevant development standards have been met. It should however be noted that the NSW RFS is not a participant in any part of this approval process.

For some development types, a BAL Risk Assessment Certificate from the local council or a suitably qualified consultant stating that the BAL of the development is BAL-12.5, BAL-19 or BAL-29 (as applicable) must be obtained prior to the issue of a Complying Development Certificate (CDC).

Complying development is not permitted on high risk BFPL (BAL-40 or BAL-FZ). If a development is assessed as being in a high risk bush fire prone area then a DA will need to be lodged with the local council.

Specified development requirements and development standards have been added to the General Housing Code and the Rural Housing Code that apply to new development (including alterations and additions) undertaken on lower risk BFPL.

### **A2.4 Submission requirements and assessment methods for performance-based solutions**

To achieve compliance with PBP, applications must comply with either the acceptable solutions or a performance-based solution, should one be proposed.

For performance-based compliance, it must be demonstrated how the product, design or material can meet the performance criteria of this document including the intent of measures and more broadly, the aim and objectives.

Performance-based compliance must comply with the Performance Criteria.

In some cases, the development of a performance-based solution will include the BFDB process.

A performance-based solution will only comply with PBP when the Assessment Methods used satisfactorily demonstrate compliance with the Performance Criteria.

Performance-based solutions must be assessed using one or more of the Assessment Methods.

#### **Assessment methods**

Assessment Methods are the means by which a proponent demonstrates that a solution achieves the Performance Criteria.

The Assessment Methods described below are applicable to the assessment of performance-based solutions to determine that they comply with the relevant Performance Criteria, as appropriate.

All Verification Methods must be acceptable to the appropriate authority.

The following assessment methods can be used to determine that an application meets the performance criteria:

- a. Evidence to support that the use of a material, form of construction or design meets the performance criteria as described in PBP.
- b. Verification methods such as a test, inspection, calculation or other method that determines whether a performance-based solution complies with the relevant performance criteria.
- c. Comparison with the acceptable solutions.

## A2.5 Bush Fire Design Brief

A BFDB is the first step in a performance-based solution and forms the basis of the ensuing analysis. It requires involvement of all relevant stakeholders and their concurrence on the way forward on the bush fire analysis and report.

It is the process that defines the scope of work for the bush fire analysis and assessment. One of the main reasons of the BFDB is to translate subjective performance criteria into tangible measurable parameters that can then be evaluated in the bush fire analysis.

The BFDB requires involvement of all relevant stakeholders and their agreement on the ground rules for the ensuing bush fire analysis. It is important to note that the BFDB usually precedes the detailed analysis and report, but can sometimes occur after the report has been developed contingent on all relevant stakeholders agreeing to the report. However, in order to minimise design risk, the BFDB should be undertaken before detailed analysis and documentation occurs.

The BFDB is essential to the performance-based design process, as it allows the objectives, proposed design, analysis methods, assumptions and acceptance criteria to be agreed on in order to validate the bush fire analysis. The compliance approach needs to be agreed on as part of the BFDB (i.e. whether the approach is to be based on equivalency to the acceptable solutions, direct compliance with the performance criteria, or a combination thereof).

The complexity of the BFDB will vary depending on the complexity of the bush fire issues being considered. It may vary from adoption of policy or record of phone call to documentation of a BFDB meeting.

The process by which the BFDB is undertaken shall be documented as part of the bush fire analysis report.

The BFDB is not in itself an agreement as to the efficacy of the proposed solution. Rather, it focuses on the methods of analysis which will be used in evaluating whether the proposed design is adequate and appropriate.

The process undertaken for a BFDB should follow that for a Fire Engineering Brief described in the *International Fire Engineering Guidelines (2005)*.

Scenarios where a BFDB would be used would include situations such as dead-end roads that exceed the limits set out in the acceptable solutions and upgrading requirements for existing buildings that are undergoing additions and alterations.



## **A2.6 Suitably qualified consultants**

Section 4.14 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* and the *State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008* allow councils/ certifiers (depending on the process) to utilise persons recognised by the NSW RFS as a ‘qualified’ consultant in bush fire risk assessment.

Given the complexity of performance-based solutions, it is recommended that they are undertaken and fully justified by suitably qualified consultants.

In order for a consultant to be recognised by the NSW RFS as being suitably qualified, they must demonstrate a number of requirements as part of an accreditation scheme.

## **A2.7 Pre-DA advice**

The NSW RFS provides a pre-DA advice service as a means for proponents of development to seek information and obtain some certainty about the NSW RFS position on a proposal before a formal DA is lodged with the consent authority.

The pre-DA advice service is intended for more complex proposals which raise issues in relation to compliance with this document. This may involve instances where a performance-based solution is proposed or where bush fire protection issues are raised in strategic or rezoning planning processes.

Further information on the pre-DA advice service can be found online at [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au).

# APPENDIX 3

## ACCESS


This appendix provides design principles for emergency service vehicle access.

### A3.1 Vertical Clearance

An unobstructed clearance height of 4 metres should be maintained above all access ways including clearance from building construction, archways, gateways/doorways and overhanging structures (e.g. ducts, pipes, sprinklers, walkways, signs and beams). This also applies to vegetation overhanging roads and fire trails.

**Figure A3.1**

Vertical clearance



### A3.2 Vehicle turning requirements

Fire crews must have rapid access and egress for vehicles, therefore curved carriageways should be constructed using the minimum swept path.


**Table A3.2**

Minimum curve radius for turning vehicles

| Curve radius<br>(inside edge in metres) | Swept path<br>(metres width) |
|---|------------------------------|
| < 40                                    | 4.0                          |
| 40 - 69                                 | 3.0                          |
| 70 - 100                                | 2.7                          |
| > 100                                   | 2.5                          |

### Figure A3.3


Swept path width for turning vehicles



The radius dimensions given are for wall to wall clearance where body overhangs travel a wider arc than the wheel tracks (vehicle swept path). The calculated swept path shall include an additional 500mm clearance either side of the vehicle.

### Figure A3.4

Swept path width on a roundabout



Left is an example of a 'swept path' as applied to a roundabout. The distance between inner and outer turning arcs allows for expected vehicle body swing of front and rear overhanging sections (the swept path).


### A3.3 Vehicle turning head requirements

Where a turning head is proposed the NSW RFS requires that dead ends having a length greater than 20 metres should be provided with a turning head area which avoids multipoint turns.

The minimum turning radius should be no less than the respective outer radius given in table A3.2. Where multipoint turning is proposed the NSW RFS will consider the following types:

**Figure A3.5**

Multipoint turning options



### A3.4 Passing bays

The construction of passing bays, where required, shall be 20m in length, provide a minimum trafficable width at the passing point of 6m.

#### Figure A3.6

Parking bays can provide advantages when designed correctly. Poor design can and does severely impede access.



### A3.5 Parking

Parking can create a pinch point within the road reserve. The location of parking should be carefully considered to ensure fire appliance access is unimpeded. Hydrants should be located clear of any parking areas to ensure that access is available at all times.

#### Figure A3.7

Hydrants shall not be located within parking bays.




### A3.6 Kerb dimensions

All kerbs constructed around access lanes should be no higher than 250mm and free of vertical obstructions at least 300mm back from the kerb face to allow clearance for front and rear body overhang.

#### Figure A3.8

Carriageway kerb clearance dimensions



### Services

Hydrant services should be located outside the carriageway and parking bays to permit traffic flow and access. Transporting and setup of standpipes within the carriageway will stop traffic flow. Hydrant services should be located on the side of the road away from the bush fire threat where possible.

### Local Area Traffic Management (LATM)

The objective of LATM is to attain an acceptable level of speed, volume and composition of traffic within a local area and reduce the number of road accidents. This is achieved by modifying the street environment through the installation of various traffic control devices.

Traffic Engineers and Planners should consider the application of LATM devices when planning for local traffic control and their likely impact on emergency services. LATM devices by their nature are designed to restrict and or impede the movement of traffic, especially large vehicles, which is in conflict with the intent for access required by the NSW RFS and may significantly increase response times for emergency services.

Where LATM devices are provided they are to be designed so that they do not impede fire vehicle access.


## A3.7 Road types

### A3.7.1 Perimeter Roads

8 metres wide - inside kerb to inside kerb - parking and location of hydrant services are to be located outside carriage way.

#### Figure A3.9

Perimeter road widths




### A3.7.2 Non-perimeter Roads

5.5 metres wide - parking is provided outside of the carriageway width, and hydrants are located clear of parking areas.

#### Figure A3.10

Non-perimeter road widths



### A3.7.3 Property access

4m wide all weather road. Can be sealed or unsealed.

#### Figure A3.11

Property access road widths



# APPENDIX 4

## ASSET PROTECTION ZONE STANDARDS

In Australia, bush fires are a natural and essential aspect of the landscape as many plants and animals have adapted to fire as part of their life cycle. However, development adjacent to bush land areas has increased the risk of fire impacting on people and their assets. The impact on property and life can be reduced with responsible preparation and management of bush fire hazards.

In combination with other BPMs, a bush fire hazard can be reduced by implementing simple steps in reducing vegetation levels. This can be done by designing and managing landscaping to implement an APZ around the property.

This Appendix sets the standards which need to be met within an APZ.

### A4.1 Asset protection zones

An APZ is a fuel-reduced area surrounding a built asset or structure.

For a complete guide to APZs and landscaping, download the NSW RFS document *Standards for Asset Protection Zones* at: [www.rfs.nsw.gov.au/resources/publications](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au/resources/publications).

An APZ provides:

- a buffer zone between a bush fire hazard and an asset
- an area of reduced bush fire fuel that allows suppression of fire
- an area from which backburning or hazard reduction can be conducted,
- an area which allows emergency services access and provides a relatively safe area for firefighters and home owners to defend their property.

Potential bush fire fuels should be minimised within an APZ. This is so that the vegetation within the planned zone does not provide a path for the transfer of fire to the asset either from the ground level or through the tree canopy.

An APZ, if designed correctly and maintained regularly, will reduce the risk of:

- direct flame contact on the asset
- damage to the built asset from intense radiant heat
- ember attack.

The APZ should be located between an asset and the bush fire hazard.

The methodology for calculating the required APZ distance is contained within Appendix 1. The width of the APZ required will depend upon the development type. APZs for new development are set out within Chapters 5, 6 and 7 of this document.

In forest vegetation, the APZ can be made up of an inner protection area (IPA) and an outer protection area (OPA).

## Inner protection areas (IPAs)

The IPA is the area closest to the asset and creates a fuel-managed area which can minimise the impact of direct flame contact and radiant heat on the development and be a defensible space. Vegetation within the IPA should be kept to a minimum level. Litter fuels within the IPA should be kept below 1cm in height and be discontinuous.

In practical terms the IPA is typically the curtilage around the dwelling, consisting of a mown lawn and well maintained gardens.

When establishing and maintaining an IPA the following requirements apply:

### Trees:

- canopy cover should be less than 15% (at maturity)
- trees (at maturity) should not touch or overhang the building
- lower limbs should be removed up to a height of 2m above ground
- canopies should be separated by 2 to 5m
- preference should be given to smooth barked and evergreen trees.

### Shrubs:

- create large discontinuities or gaps in the vegetation to slow down or break the progress of fire towards buildings
- shrubs should not be located under trees
- shrubs should not form more than 10% ground cover
- clumps of shrubs should be separated from exposed windows and doors by a distance of at least twice the height of the vegetation.

### Grass:

- should be kept mown (as a guide grass should be kept to no more than 100mm in height)
- leaves and vegetation debris should be removed.

## Outer protection areas (OPAs)

An OPA is located between the IPA and the unmanaged vegetation. Vegetation within the OPA can be managed to a more moderate level. The reduction of fuel in this area substantially decreases the intensity of an approaching fire and restricts the pathways to crown fuels; reducing the level of direct flame, radiant heat and ember attack on the IPA.

Because of the nature of an OPA, they are only applicable in forest vegetation.

In practical terms the OPA is an area where there is maintenance of the understorey and some separation in the canopy.

When establishing and maintaining an OPA the following requirements apply:

### Trees:

- tree canopy cover should be less than 30%
- trees should have canopy separation
- canopies should be separated by 2 to 5m

### Shrubs:

- shrubs should not form a continuous canopy
- shrubs should form no more than 20% of ground cover


### Grass:

- should be kept mown (as a guide grass should be kept to no more than 100mm in height)
- leaf and other debris should be mown, slashed or mulched.

An APZ should be maintained in perpetuity to ensure ongoing protection from the impact of bush fires. Maintenance of the IPA and OPA to the standards given above should be undertaken on an annual basis, in advance of the fire season, as a minimum.

**Figure A4.1**

Inner and outer protection areas – example designs



# ABBREVIATIONS, DEFINITIONS AND REFERENCES

## Abbreviations

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <b>AS 3959</b>          | Australian Standard AS 3959:2009 <i>Construction of buildings in bush fire-prone areas</i> |
| <b>APZ</b>              | Asset protection zone  |
| <b>BAL</b>              | Bush fire attack level   |
| <b>BCA</b>              | Building Code of Australia   |
| <b>BFPL</b>             | Bush fire prone land   |
| <b>BFPLM</b>            | Bush fire prone land map   |
| <b>BFDB</b>             | Bush fire design brief   |
| <b>BPM</b>              | Bush fire protection measure   |
| <b>BFSA</b>             | Bush fire safety authority   |
| <b>DA</b>               | Development application  |
| <b>DCP</b>              | Development control plan   |
| <b>DPE</b>              | NSW Department of Planning and Environment   |
| <b>EP&amp;A Act</b>     | <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i>                                      |
| <b>FDI</b>              | Fire Danger Index (also FFDI)  |
| <b>FFDI</b>             | Forest Fire Danger Index   |
| <b>GFDI</b>             | Grassland Fire Danger Index  |
| <b>IPA</b>              | Inner protection area  |
| <b>kW/m<sup>2</sup></b> | Kilowatts per metre squared (a measure of radiant heat)                                    |
| <b>LEP</b>              | Local Environmental Plan   |
| <b>NASH</b>             | National Association of Steel-framed Housing   |
| <b>NCC</b>              | National Construction Code   |
| <b>OPA</b>              | Outer protection area  |
| <b>PBP</b>              | <i>Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2018</i> (this document)                              |
| <b>RF Act</b>           | <i>Rural Fires Act 1997</i>  |
| <b>RF Regulation</b>    | <i>Rural Fires Regulation 2013</i>   |
| <b>NSW RFS</b>          | NSW Rural Fire Service   |
| <b>SEPP</b>             | State Environmental Planning Policy  |
| <b>SFPP</b>             | Special fire protection purpose  |
| <b>SFR</b>              | Short fire run   |
| <b>SSD</b>              | State significant development  |
| <b>SSI</b>              | State significant infrastructure   |
| <b>STRA</b>             | Short-term rental accommodation  |
| <b>URA</b>              | Urban release area   |

# Definitions

A word or expression used in this document has the same meaning as it has in the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* or the Standard Instrument – Principal Local Environmental Plan, unless otherwise defined in this document.

References in this document to legislation or a policy, guideline or standard are taken to be references to that legislation or a policy, guideline or standard as amended from time to time.

## Acceptable solution

Measures which have been deemed to meet the specified performance criteria.

## Assembly point

An area or building/structure that is used to assemble people for evacuation or that have evacuated from a site in an emergency situation.

## Asset protection zone (APZ)

A fuel-reduced area surrounding a built asset or structure which provides a buffer zone between a bush fire hazard and an asset. The APZ includes a defensible space within which firefighting operations can be carried out. The size of the required asset protection zone varies with slope, vegetation and Fire Danger Index (FDI).

## Australian Standard AS 3959 (AS 3959)

AS 3959:2009 *Construction of buildings in bush fire-prone areas*, Standards Australia, 2009.

## BAL certificate

A certificate issued to identify the bush fire attack level (BAL) of a proposed development in the Complying Development process under State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008.

## Bush fire assessment report

A report submitted with the development application (DA) which establishes compliance with PBP. The report determines the extent of bush fire attack and the proposed mitigation measures. Appendix 1 sets out the information requirements for a bush fire assessment. See also clause 44 of the *Rural Fires Regulation 2013*.

## Bush fire attack level (BAL)

A means of measuring the severity of a building's potential exposure to ember attack, radiant heat and direct flame contact. In the Building Code of Australia, the BAL is used as the basis for establishing the requirements for construction to improve protection of building elements.

## Bush fire (also bushfire)

An unplanned fire burning in vegetation; also referred to as wildfire.

## Bush fire attack

Attack by burning embers, radiant heat or flame generated by a bush fire.

## Bush fire hazard

Any vegetation that has the potential to threaten lives, property or the environment.

## Bush fire prone land (BFPL)

An area of land that can support a bush fire or is likely to be subject to bush fire attack, as designated on a bush fire prone land map.

## Bush fire prone land map (BFPLM)

A map prepared in accordance with NSW RFS requirements and certified by the Commissioner of the NSW RFS under section 10.3(2) of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

## Bush fire protection measures (BPMs)

A range of measures (controls) used to minimise the risk arising from a bush fire. BPMs include asset protection zones (APZs), construction standards, suitable access, water and utility services, emergency management and landscaping.

## Bush fire risk

Is the likelihood and consequence of a bush fire igniting, spreading and causing damage to assets of value to the community. Risk may be rated as being extreme, major, moderate, minor or insignificant and is related to the vulnerability of the asset.

## Bush fire safety authority (BFS)

An approval by the Commissioner of the NSW RFS that is required for a subdivision for residential or rural residential purpose or for a SFPP development listed under section 100B (6) of the *Rural Fires Act 1997*.

## Certifying authority

As defined in the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, those with authority to issue Part 6 certificates and Complying Development Certificates (CDCs).

## Complying development

Complying development is a combined planning and construction approval for straightforward development that can be determined through a fast track assessment by a council or private accredited certifier.

## Consent authority

As defined in the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, in relation to development consents, usually the local council.

## Defendable space

An area adjoining an asset that is managed to reduce combustible elements and is free from constructed impediments. It is a safe working environment in which active firefighting can be undertaken to defend the structure, before and after the passage of a bush fire.

## Development

As defined in the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

## Development application (DA)

An application for consent to carry out development such as building, subdivision, or the use of a building or land.

Applications are normally made to the local council.

## Development footprint

The building envelope or area shown on a plan over which any buildings and associated asset protection zones may be constructed.

## Ecologically sustainable development

As defined in section 6 of the *Protection of the Environment Administration Act (NSW) 1991*.

## Effective slope

The land beneath the vegetation which most significantly affects fire behaviour, having regard to the vegetation present.

## Exit

A doorway opening to a road or open space, as defined in the National Construction Code (NCC).

## Fire Danger Index (FDI)

The chance of a fire starting, its rate of spread, its intensity and the difficulty of its suppression, according to various combinations of air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and both the long- and short-term drought effects.

Note: FDI in PBP refers to the Forest Fire Danger Index calculated by the McArthur Mk 5 Forest Fire Danger Meter using the equations published by Noble, I.R., Bary, G.A.V., and Gill, A.M., 1980.

Grassland Fire Danger Index (GFDI) values are calculated by the McArthur Mk 4 Grassland Fire Danger Meter using the equations published by Purton, C.M., 1982.

## Flame zone

The distance from a bush fire at which there is deemed to be significant potential for sustained flame contact to a building. The flame zone is determined by the calculated distance at which the radiant heat from the design fire exceeds 40kW/m<sup>2</sup>.

## Grasslands

Grassed areas capable of sustaining a fire. Under Australian Standard 3959, this is identified as low open shrubland, hummock grassland, closed tussock grassland, tussock grassland, open tussock, sparse open tussock, dense sown pasture, sown pasture, open herbfield, and sparse open herb field. Grass, whether exotic or native, which is regularly maintained at or below 10cm in height (including maintained lawns, golf courses, maintained public reserves, parklands, nature strips and commercial nurseries) is regarded as managed land.

## Grassland deeming provision

An acceptable solution applying to properties in grassland hazard areas which replaces the site assessment procedure in AS 3959.

## Infill development

Refers to the development of land by the erection of or addition to, a building (or buildings), which is within an existing allotment and does not require the spatial extension of services. Existing services may include public roads, electricity, water or sewerage.

## Inner protection area (IPA)

The component of an asset protection zone which is closest to the asset (measured from drip line). It consists of an area maintained to minimal fuel loads so that a fire path is not created between the hazard and the building.

## Integrated development

As referred to under s4.46 (formerly S91) of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, an integrated development is one that requires development consent and approval from one or more government agencies, and is not a state significant development (SSD) or complying development.

## Isolated development

Development which is located predominantly in native bushland or is considered to be within a remote area. Access and evacuation may be challenging due to distances that are required to be travelled through bush fire prone areas.

## Local Environmental Plan (LEP)

An environmental planning instrument prepared under Part 3 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*. Local environmental plans guide planning decisions and the ways in which land is used through zoning and development controls.

## **Managed land**

Land that has vegetation removed or maintained to a level that limits the spread and impact of bush fire. It may include existing developed land (residential, commercial or industrial), roads, golf course fairways, playgrounds, sports fields, vineyards, orchards, cultivated ornamental gardens and commercial nurseries. Most common will be gardens and lawns within curtilage of buildings. These areas will be managed to meet the requirements of an asset protection zone.

## **National Construction Code (NCC)**

The National Construction Code, published by the Australian Building Codes Board, comprising the Building Code of Australia as Volumes One and Two, and the Plumbing Code of Australia as Volume Three.

## **Outer protection area (OPA)**

The outer component of an asset protection zone, where fuel loads are maintained at a level where the intensity of an approaching bush fire would be significantly reduced. Applies to forest vegetation only.

## **Performance-based solution**

A method of complying with the Performance Criteria other than by an acceptable solution.

## **Primitive camping**

A predetermined site which is part of a commercially operated venture where there may already be a site for a tent and a fire pit.

## **Setback**

The distance required through planning provisions to separate a building from the bush fire hazard, street frontage or from adjacent buildings or property boundary.

## **Short fire run**

A fire run which has a single point of ignition and a short distance to travel, where the calculated resultant head width is less than 100 metres.

## **Special fire protection purpose (SFPP) developments**

Developments where the vulnerable nature of the occupants means a lower radiant heat threshold is required in order to allow the evacuation of occupants, and emergency services to operate in support of those occupants.

## **State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP)**

An environmental planning instrument prepared under Part 3 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

## **Subdivision**

As defined in the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

## **Suitably qualified consultant**

A consultant practising bush fire risk assessment who has been accredited by a recognised accreditation scheme (see section A2.6 in this document).

## **Tourist accommodation**

A building or place that provides temporary or short-term accommodation on a commercial basis including backpackers accommodation, bed and breakfast accommodation, farm stay accommodation, hotel or motel accommodation and serviced apartments.

## **Vegetation classification**

Vegetation type identified using the formations and classifications within *Ocean Shores to Desert Dunes: The Native Vegetation of New South Wales and the ACT* (Keith, 2004).

## References

- Alexander, M.E.** (1982) "Calculating and Interpreting Forest Fire Intensities." *Can. J. Bot.* 60;349-357
- AUSLIG** (1990) "Atlas of Australian Resources. Third Series, Volume 6, Vegetation". Commonwealth of Australia
- Australia ICOMOS** (2004) Illustrated Burra Charter.
- Australian Building Codes Board** (2016). *National Construction Code Volume One - Building Code of Australia*. ABCB
- Australian Building Codes Board** (2016). *National Construction Code Volume two - Building Code of Australia*. ABCB.
- Australian Building Codes Board** (2014). *Performance Standards for Private Bushfire Shelters*. ABCB.
- Australian Building Codes Board** (2014). *Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges*. ABCB.
- Butler B.W., Cohen, J.D.** (1998). Firefighter safety zones: how big is big enough? *Fire Management Notes*. Vol. 58, 13-16.
- Butler, B.W., Cohen, J.D.** (1998). Firefighter safety zones: a theoretical model based on radiative heating. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*. Vol. 8, 73-77.
- Byram, G.M.** (1959). Combustion of Forest Fuels in: "Forest Fire Control and Use" (Ed. Davis, K.P.) McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Catchpole, W.R., Bradstock, R.A., Choate, J., Fogarty, L.G., Gellie, N., McCarthy, G.J., McCaw, W.L., Marsen-Smedley, J.B. and Pearce, G.** (1998) Co-operative Development of Equations for Heathland Fire Behaviour. In 'Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> Int. Conference. Forest Research and 14<sup>th</sup> Conf. on Fire and Forest Meteorology". (Ed. Viegas, D.X.) Luso, Coimbra, Portugal, 631-645.
- Cheney P. and Sullivan A.** (undated) "Comment on Risk Analysis Process for NSW". CSIRO Forest and Forest Products Division.
- Cheney P. and Sullivan A.** (2008) Grassfires. CSIRO Publishing.
- COAG** (2011) National Disaster Resilience Strategy.
- CSIRO** (2000) "Review of Current Methodology of Assessment of Bush fire Hazard and the Prescription of Appropriate Separation Distances and Building Standards. The Development of a Robust Model to Achieve this for Different Vegetation Types". Client Report 901, CSIRO Forestry and Forest Products Divisions Bush fire Behaviour and Management Team, ACT.
- CSIRO** (2005) "Review of Methodology for prescribing separation distances between structures and vegetation." Client Report No.1537, CSIRO Forestry and Forest Products Division, Feb. 2005.
- Douglas, G.B. and Ellis, P.** (2001) "Integrating Land Use Planning and Construction Standards for Protection of Bush Fires in NSW - a Model". Bushfire 2001, Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Douglas, G.B. and Tan, Z.** (2005) Integrating Site Assessment and Performance Planning Outcomes for Bushfire Prone Areas at "Planning for Natural Hazards - How we can Mitigate the Impacts?" Symposium, University of Wollongong, 2-5 February 2005, Wollongong.
- Douglas, G.B., Tan Z. and Midgley S.** (2006) A Verification Method for Evaluating Alternative Building Solutions in Bushfire-Prone Areas at International Fire Safety Engineering Conference 2006, Gold Coast, 23 May 2006.
- Forestry Commission of NSW** (1991) Control Burning in Eucalypt Forests, Metric Conversion of McArthur Leaflet 80, Sydney.
- Gill, A.M. et al. (ed)**(1981) "Fire and the Australian Biota" Australian Academy of Science, Canberra.
- Good R.** (1994) "Fuel Dynamics, Preplan and Future Research Needs". Pers. Communication.
- Haddad, S.G.** (1982) "A Systematic Approach to Risk Assessment for Major Plants", CHEMECA '82, University of Sydney.
- Keith, D.A.** (2004). *Ocean Shores to Desert Dunes: The Native Vegetation of New South Wales and the ACT*. NSW Department of Environment and Conservation.
- Leicester, R.H.** (1987) "Building Technology to Resist Fire, Flood and Drought." Operations January 21, 1987, The Fireman, Oakleigh.

- Luke R.H. and McArthur, A.G.** (1978) "Bush Fires in Australia" Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.
- National Association of Steel Framed Housing** (2014). "Steel Framed Construction in Bush Fire Prone Areas. NASH
- Noble, I.R., Bary, G.A.V. and Gill, A.M.** (1980) McArthur's fire-danger meters expressed as equations. *Aust. J. Ecology* 5;201-203.
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment** (2013) Circular PS 13-006 EP&A Amendment (Bushfire Prone Land) Regulation 2014.
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment** (2012) Circular PS 12-004 Development assessment on bush fire prone land - section 79BA.
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment** (2013) Circular PS 13-003 Proclamation of certain provisions of the EP&A Amendment Act 2012.
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment** (2010) PS 10-028 Environmental Planning & Assessment Amendment Act 2008 - Commencement Proclamation - bush fire prone land
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment** (2012) Circular PS 12-003 Initiatives to improve housing supply
- Purton, C.M.** (1982). *Equations for the McArthur Mark 4 Grassland Fire Danger Meter*. Bureau of Meteorology, Meteorological Note 147.
- Raj, P.** (2008). A review of the criteria for people exposure to radiant heat flux from fires. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. Vol. 169, issue 1, 61-71.
- Ramsay, C and Rudolph, L** (2003) "Landscape and Building Design for Bush fire Areas". CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood.
- Ramsay G.C. and Dawkins D.** (eds), (1993) "Building in bush fire prone areas - information and advice", Standards Australia [SAA HB 36-1993].
- Resources and Energy NSW** (2016). *ISSC 3 Guide for the Managing Vegetation in the Vicinity of Electrical Assets*. NSW Government
- Rural Fire Service NSW** (2005) "Standards for Asset Protection Zones"
- Standards Australia** (1997). "AS/NZS 1221 Fire Hose Reels".
- Standards Australia** (2005). "AS 2441 Installation of Fire Hose Reels".
- Standards Australia** (2005). "AS 2419 Fire hydrant installations - System design, installation and commissioning".
- Standards Australia** (2007). "AS/NZS 1530.8.1 Methods for fire tests on building materials, components and structures - Tests on elements of construction for buildings exposed to simulated bush fire attack - Radiant heat and small flaming sources".
- Standards Australia** (2009). "AS 3959, Construction of buildings in bush fire prone areas".
- Standards Australia** (2007). "AS/NZS 1530.8.2 Methods for fire tests on building materials, components and structures - Tests on elements of construction for buildings exposed to simulated bush fire attack - Large flaming sources".
- Standards Australia** (2014). "AS/NZS 1596 The Storage and Handling of LP Gas".
- Watson P.** (2005) Chapter 9 Fuel Accumulation in "Fire Frequencies for Western Sydney's Woodlands: Indications from Vegetation Dynamics" Doctor of Philosophy, University of Western Sydney.
- Webster, J.K.** (1986) "The Complete Australian Bushfire Book." Nelson. Vic,
- Webster, Joan** (2000), "The Complete Bushfire Safety Book." Random House.
- Wilson A.A.G** (1984) "Assessing the Bushfire Hazard of Houses: A Quantitative Approach". Rural Fire Research Centre, Vic.
- Wilson, A.A.G. and Ferguson I.S.** (1984) "Australian Forestry", pp230-236. "Fight or Flee? - A Case Study of the Mount Macedon Bush Fire".

# NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE

## Postal address

NSW Rural Fire Service  
Locked Bag 17  
GRANVILLE NSW 2142

## Street address

NSW Rural Fire Service  
15 Carter Street  
LIDCOMBE NSW 2141

**T** (02) 8741 5555  
**F** (02) 8741 5550  
[www.rfs.nsw.gov.au](http://www.rfs.nsw.gov.au)

## Social Media

 [www.facebook.com/nswrfs/](http://www.facebook.com/nswrfs/)

 @NSWRFS